

Solicitation No.: <u>38-FLAUDIT-0524</u>

Solicitation Title: IDEA Florida Inc. Professional Auditing Services

Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Criteria (Refer to Scoring Guide)	Weight [W]	ВКНМ СРА	Carr, Rigs & Ingram CPA (Total Average)	Moss, Krusick & Associates (Total Average)	Neville Wainio CPAs (Total Average)	Sharff Wittmer Kurtz (Total Average)
			(Total Average)				
Evaluation Criteria 1 Cost Proposal	Costs (Grand Total Cost for Services must be Provided) (MAX 30 POINTS)						
	<u>Formula:</u> (Lowest Proposed Price / Proposed Price) x Assigned Points	30%					
Evaluation Criteria 2 References	The quality/reputation of the respondent's services		14.47	24.69	30.00	19.00	18.0
	Minimum of four (4) references with at least two (2) references being comparable nonprofit audit clients and at least one (1) of the references preferably a Charter School included (MAX 20 POINTS)	20%					
	Points will be awarded based on the following: +0 to 5 points for each positive reference		5.00	2.50	10.00	0.00	5.0
Evaluation Criteria 3 Experience & Qualifications of Personnel	Resumes for partners, audit manager, and field staff who will be assigned to audit are included in proposal (MAX 20 POINTS) Points will be awarded based on the following: Audit Team +0 to 10 points	20%					
Evaluation Criteria 4	Primary Contacts +0 to 10 points Vendor is able to meet the timeline of 9/13/24 as specified within the RFP		18.25	19.50	18.50	16.25	19.5
Vendor's Ability to Meet the Timeline	(MAX 10 POINTS) Points will be based on the following: +10 points (if able to meet 9/13/24) -20 points if not included timeline not included	10%	10.00	8.75	10.00	3.75	7.5
Evaluation Criteria 5 Experience & Qualifications of Firm	The firm/vendor must have a minimum of 5 years' experience in education auditing. The firm/vendor should have a proven successful history of providing similar services to institutions in the State of Florida. (MAX 10 POINTS)	10%					
	<u>Points will be based on the following:</u> Experience with charter schools +0 to 5 points Experience with governmental entities +0 to 5 points		7.75	8.75	10.00	7.50	8.7
Evaluation Criteria 6 Understanding of Task and Proposed Plan	Vendor/firm provided a specific audit approach that will allow the vendor to meet the proposed timeline including an explanation of the audit methodology to be followed to perform the services specified within the RFP (MAX 10 POINTS)	10%					
	<u>Points will be based on the following:</u> Understanding of task and proposed plan +0 to 10 points		8.25	8.25	9.00	5.50	9.0
		100%			·		•
Grand Total	Score		63.72	72.44	87.50	52.00	67.81

38-FLAUDIT-0524							
NAME OF FIRM	Final Score	RANKING					
ВКНМ СРА	63.72	4					
Carr, Rigs & Ingram CPA	72.44	2					
Moss, Krusick & Associates	87.50	1					
Neville Wainio CPAs	52.00	5					
Sharff Wittmer Kurtz	67.81	3					