IDEA Public Schools

-068F0403D3EE45E...

8/7/2024

Date of re	equest <u>8/7/24</u>		Fa	acilities committee approval dat
	eded by <u>8/7/24</u>	<u> </u>		
Request	er Name and Title David G	omez-Construction Project Mar	nager	
Ca	mpus/Department_IDEA Ph	arr, San Juan, Alamo, Weslaco		
	Organization IDEA F	Public Schools Texas	Select one	e from the drop down menu
	Vendor Name America	an Contracting USA, Inc.		
	CO-OP	Member Yes No If	YES, which one	
	Vendor is a former er	mployee Yes VNo If	YES, last day of employ	ment
Will this ser	vice provider be on campus who	en students are present? 🗸 Yes [No	
-	Type of Document Contrac	et	Other	
Description	n of services			
based on	ranking selection commi	TDD	_{End date} 180 da	ys from NTP
			. Elia date 199 da	ye
	Amount <u>\$1,197</u>			
	Fund Source PBK As	ssessment Funds		
	(Account string)			
SectionI.	Board approval required	!? ✓ Yes No If YES,	provide date of app	proval
	Criteria:Above \$250K & not pa	rt of a COOP		
	Contract funding not o			
	 Real Estate contract / F 	Rental of space outside our premises		
		above \$50K (Facilities & Constructi cost + service/installation above \$5		
Section II.	Urgent request Ye	If YES, provide reason and date	needed by	
<u>Section II.</u>	Urgent request Y∈			
Section III.	_ _{signed by} rovals			
PMSI/IDEA RŊ	- 1	Sylvia —— Name and Title	Pena	8/16/2024 Date
Facilities	Signed by: E229799ADFA3417	Danie ¹	l Garza	8/20/2024
(Signed by: 23B532CC1501463		w Clarence	Date 9/12/2024
Treasury	d <u>ndrew (larence</u>	Name and Title		Date

Bid Tabulation

IDEA Public Schools - CSP#34-MVE-0424

Mid Valley Building Envelope Alamo, Pharr, San Juan, and Weslaco, TX

Tuesday, July 16, 2024

Contractor	American Contracting	Argio Roofing	Conperm Specialties	L&H Masonry	FW Walton
Bid Bond	yes	yes	No (sub bid)	No (sub bid)	yes
Addendums 1-4	yes	yes	=	-	1,2,3
BASE BID	\$ 1,197,200.00	\$ 1,445,496.26	-	-	\$ 1,993,968.00
Campus Breakdown					
IDEA Alamo	\$ 273,032.00	\$ 434,415.88	-	-	\$ 574,267.00
IDEA Pharr	\$ 514,867.00	\$ 432,926.53	-	-	No Bid
IDEA San Juan	\$ 262,624.00	\$ 340,530.84	-	-	\$ 387,477.00
IDEA Weslaco	\$ 146,668.00	\$ 237,623.02	-	-	\$ 253,059.00

Signed by:

Undrew Clarence

1068E0403D3EE45E

 $\label{local_problem} \begin{subarray}{ll} \textbf{IDEA Senior VP of Financial Planning} \\ \textbf{Interim} \end{subarray}$

Daniel Garza

IDEA VP of Facilities & Construction

—signed by: Sylvia Puna

IDEA Director of Construction

Evaluator: SG



		Project Nan	ne e		
Proposer	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	Cost Proposal	Relevant K-12 Work Experience	Prior Experience with the Project Team	Total Score
Maximum Points	40	50	5	5	100
Argio Roofing	0	0	0	0	0
American Contracting	0	0	0	0	0
Walton	0	0	0	0	0

Ave	rage of costs provid	ed by Contracto	rs		BASE Pr	oposals	
Proposer	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Calculation	Assigned Point Value	Alamo	Pharr	San Juan	Weslaco
Argio Roofing	\$1,445,496.26	\$1,197,200.00	41	\$434,415.88	\$432,926.53	\$340,530.84	\$237,623.02
American Contracting	\$1,197,200.00	\$1,197,200.00	50	\$273,021.00	\$514,867.00	\$262,624.00	\$146,668.00
Walton	\$1,993,968.00	\$1,197,200.00	30	\$574,267.00		\$387,477.00	\$253,059.00

CSP#34-MVE-0424 Mid	Valley Building Envelope
Vendor	Assigned Point Value
Argio Roofing	41
American Contracting	50
Walton	30

-, (l <u>I-1</u>	Evaluator Name Proposor Name A	Docusign Envelo
Public Schools			_	<u>.</u>	
Project Name					
STEP ONE - Complete submission.	Ssion.		ı		The overall maximum possible sc. 51
EVALITATION CRITERIA			ı		CEE
	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Firm Experience/Key Personn	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	0	0	
_	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA vublic Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA vublic Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)	10			A2D-4C6A-/
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15			\1E2-1
6	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5			29E7
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5			A76
٧	Describ wour firm's warranty service sumont nhilosonby and vour annurant to warranty service implementation (Max 5 noints)	۶			SA!
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments 196
Cost Proposal: Price and total	Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	0	0	
-	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50			
Evs	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Relevant K-12 Work Experien	Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	0	0	
		2			
3	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. (Max 1 point) Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Max 2 points)	1 2			
Evs	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Prior Experience with the Project Team	yect Team Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5 5	0	0	
GRAND TOTAL SCORE		100	0	0	
Provide Justification if Scoring	Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:				
Provide Justification if Scoring	Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:				
Committee Member (Printed Name):	Name):				
Committee Member Signature:					
_					

1	IDEA Public Schools

GRAND TOTAL SCORE

Evaluator Name
Proposor Name American C

100

Project Name STEP ONE - Complete submission. The overall EVALUATION CRITERIA Max Points **Evaluation Criteria 1** TOTAL Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior 10 to construction phase services. (Max 10 points) Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points) Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your 3 firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)

Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points) Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points) Evaluation Criteria 2 Max Po TOTAL Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services. 0 Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Point 50 Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points) TOTAL Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms. 0 K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. (Max 2 points) Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. (Max 1 point) Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Maz 2 points) TOTAL **Evaluation Criteria 4** Max Poi Prior Experience with the Project Team

Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Committee Member (Printed Name):
Committee Member Signature:

Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)





EVALUATION CRITERIA	A			
	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
Firm Experience/Key Per	rsonnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	0	0
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)	10		
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5		
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
Cost Proposal: Price and	total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	0	0
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50		
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
Relevant K-12 Work Exp	perience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	0	0
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. (Max 2 points)	2		
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. (Max 1 point)	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Maz 2 points)	2		
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
rior Experience with the	e Project Team	5	0	0
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5		
GRAND TOTAL SC	ORE	100	0	0

Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Committee Member (Printed Name):
Committee Member Signature:

Evaluator: DG

IDEA Public Schools					
		Project Name	le		
Proposer	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	Cost Proposal	Relevant K-12 Work Experience	Prior Experience with the Project Team	Total Score
Maximum Points	40	95	3	5	100
Argio Roofing	24	41	5	5	75
American Contracting	24	95	5	4	83
Walton	19	30	4	0	53

Ave	Average of costs provide	ed by Contractors	rs		BASE Proposals	oposals	
Proposer	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Calculation	Assigned Point Value	Alamo	Pharr	San Juan	Weslaco
Argio Roofing	\$1,445,496.26	\$1,197,200.00	41	\$434,415.88	\$434,415.88 \$432,926.53 \$340,530.84 \$237,623.02	\$340,530.84	\$237,623.02
American Contracting	\$1,197,200.00	\$1,197,200.00	50	\$273,021.00 \$514,867.00 \$262,624.00 \$146,668.00	\$514,867.00	\$262,624.00	\$146,668.00
Walton	\$1,993,968.00	\$1,197,200.00	30	\$574,267.00		\$387,477.00 \$253,059.00	\$253,059.00

CSP#34-MVE-0424 Mid	CSP#34-MVE-0424 Mid Valley Building Envelope
Vendor	Assigned Point Value
Argio Roofing	41
American Contracting	50
Walton	30

co 4 2

Project Name

DE Public Schools				Evaluator Name Proposor Name	Docusign Envelope ID	Docusign Envelope ID
Project Name STEP ONE - Complete submission	uu				The overell maximum nossible so): 2B
area our - comprete submission					THE OVERAIL MAXIMUM POSSIDIE SC	4CI
EVALUATION CRITERIA						I EBI
Evalu	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	B0-
Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	and Firm Stability/Management	40	24	24		 -9/
o a a a o	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff; project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager, manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior socialization phase services, (Max 10 points)	10	7		No org chart provided, but resumes v provided for key personnel	 \2D-4C6A-7
Pro 2 de Oo	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15	10		Although many projects have been contablenghes and approaches were not identified.	<u>ड</u> ुँ \1E2-1
3 Pro	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(les). (Max 5 points)	5	3		Only present bonding company is ide	ਚੂਂ 29E7
4 De	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	2		No quality control mentioned.	A76
S De	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	2		No warranty mentioned	A9A
Evalu	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	F
Cost Proposal: Price and total lon	Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	90	50		П
1 Po Po	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	20			
Evalu	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	
Relevant K-12 Work Experience:	Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	5	5		
2 K-	K-I2 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. (Max 2 points) Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. (Max 1 point)	7 -	7		Information was provided Information was provided	
		2	2		Information was provided	
Evalu	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	
Prior Experience with the Project Team	and the second s	vo u	4	4		
GRAND TOTAL SCORE	rtoviue uetanis of an projects completed with the citem and/of design feam. (wax 5 points)	100	83	83		
Provide Justification if Scoring 0 P	Provide Justification if Scoring O Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:					
Provide Justification if Scoring Ma	Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:					
Committee Member (Printed Name):	ne);					
Committee Member Signature:						
ä	Date:					

nmittee Member (Printed Name)

mittee Member Signature

ı	ı	
1	_	
	Z	
-	Ĕ	j
ļ	1	
	à	•
ı	1	Ì
1	Z	
	۵	٠
	ď	
	₫	•
(_	ٔ
•	٦	•
	7	
9	Ė	
	7	
	4	
	ĉ	j
(4	
ı	`	
i	ζ	
ı	1	
	×	
9	2	
1	-	۰
1	Ė	
•	п	
	č	
	<u>C</u>)
	ď	
	Ć	
ı	_	
	٤	
•	ũ	9

IDEA © Public Schools						
		Projec	Project Name			
Proposer	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	Cost Proposal	Relevant K-12 Work Experience	Prior Experience with the Project Team	Pass/Fail Criteria	Total Score
Maximum Points	40	09	5	5		100
Argio Roofing	28	41	4	5		78
American Contracting	29	95	5	0		84
Walton	27	30	1	4		62

Ave	rage of costs provid	ed by Contracto	rs		BASE Pr	oposals	
Proposer	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Calculation	Assigned Point Value	Alamo	Pharr	San Juan	Weslaco
Argio Roofing	\$1,445,496.26	\$1,197,200.00	41	\$434,415.88 \$432,926.53 \$340,530.84 \$237,623.9			\$237,623.02
American Contracting \$1,197,200.00 \$1,197,200.00 50 \$273,021.00 \$514,867.00 \$262,624.00 \$146,6						\$146,668.00	
Walton	\$1,993,968.00	\$1,197,200.00	30	\$574,267.00		\$387,477.00	\$253,059.00

CSP#34-MVE-0424 Mid	Valley Building Envelope		
Vendor Assigned Point Value			
Argio Roofing	41		
American Contracting	50		
Walton	30		

					Docusign	Docusign
LDEA Public Schools				Evaluator Name Proposor Name	Sergio Cruz Argio Roofing	Envelope ID
Project Name): 2
STEP ONE - Complete submission.	ission.				The overall maximum possible sc.)1 § B4
						CE
EVALUATION CRITERIA						BI
Evs	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	30-
Firm Experience/Key Personn	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	28	28		9/
_	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to DEA Public Schools may changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may the proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior proposed staff (or staff options).	10	ى		unclear	 \2D-4C6A-
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15	13		submitted list of more than 5	 A1E2-1
м	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5	4		2001	29E7
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	2		did not provide evidence of	7A76
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	4			Α9
Evi	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	AF
Cost Proposal: Price and total		50	41	41		
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	41			
Evs	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	
Relevant K-12 Work Experien	Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	10	4 (4		
2	N-12 school construction projects completed of underway over the past ten years, (Max 1 point) Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years, (Max 1 point)	7	1			
3		2	1			
Eve	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	
Prior Experience with the Project Team		5	5	5		
	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	2	1		
GRAND TOTAL SCORE	ω ω	100	- 8/	78		
Provide Justification if Scoring	Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:					
Provide Justification if Scoring	Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:					
						•





EVALUATION CRITERIA				
	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
Firm Experience/Key Pers	sonnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	29	29
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)	10	6	
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15	13	
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5	4	
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	2	
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	4	
Evaluation Criteria 2			Score	TOTAL
Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.			50	50
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	50	
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
elevant K-12 Work Exp	erience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	5	5
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. (Max 2 points)	2	2	
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. (Max 1 point)	1	1	
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Maz 2 points)	2	2	
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
ior Experience with the	Project Team	5	0	0
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5		
GRAND TOTAL SCO	ORE	100	84	84

Provide Justification if Scoring O Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Committee Member (Printed Name):
Committee Member Signature:





EVALUATION CRITERIA				
	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
Firm Experience/Key Per	rsonnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	27	27
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)	10	10	
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15	14	
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5	1	
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	2	
5 Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)				
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.		50	30	30
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	30	
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
elevant K-12 Work Exp	erience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	1	1
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. (Max 2 points)	2	0	
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. (Max 1 point)	1	1	
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Maz 2 points)	2	0	
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
rior Experience with the	e Project Team	5	4	4
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	4	
GRAND TOTAL SCO	ORE	100	62	62

Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Committee Member (Printed Name):
Committee Member (Frince) Manie).
Committee Member Signature:

Evaluator: OC



		Project Nan	ne		
Proposer	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	Cost Proposal	Relevant K-12 Work Experience	Prior Experience with the Project Team	Total Score
Maximum Points	40	50	5	5	100
Argio Roofing	24	0	5	4	33
American Contracting	27	0	5	3	35
Walton	21	0	5	3	29

Ave	rage of costs provid	ed by Contracto	rs		BASE Pr	oposals	
Proposer	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Calculation	Assigned Point Value	Alamo	Pharr	San Juan	Weslaco
Argio Roofing	\$1,445,496.26	\$1,197,200.00	41	\$434,415.88	\$432,926.53	\$340,530.84	\$237,623.02
American Contracting	\$1,197,200.00	\$1,197,200.00	50	\$273,021.00	\$514,867.00	\$262,624.00	\$146,668.00
Walton	\$1,993,968.00	\$1,197,200.00	30	\$574,267.00		\$387,477.00	\$253,059.00

CSP#34-MVE-0424 Mid	Valley Building Envelope
Vendor	Assigned Point Value
Argio Roofing	41
American Contracting	50
Walton	30

			<u> </u>	Evaluator Name 6	Oscar Cantu	Docusign Envelo
Public Schools			<u>.</u>			pe ID: 2
NE - Complete submission.	ission.				The overall maximum possible sci] 2B4
						-CE
ATION CRITERIA						BE
Ev	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	30-
perience/Key Persont	cperince/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	24	24		9,
-	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff; project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant LDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)	10	S		1	 \2D-4C6A- <i>F</i>
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15	15		11	\1E2-1
8	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5	4		2901	29E7
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	0			7A76
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	0		A:	AS
Ev	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	AF
oposal: Price and tota	oposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	0	0		
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	90				
Ev	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	
t K-12 Work Experie	K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	5	5		
_ (2	7			
7 8	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last rive years. (Max 1 point) Recent experience and experience of the accorded rate and variety and the contact of the accorded rate	1 (1 0			
Ev	Examen experience and experience on the proposed project team into receive ingreat connectation. (The Prints)	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	
operience with the Project Team	ier Team	w	4	4		
	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	4			
ID TOTAL SCORE		100	33	33		
Justification if Scoring	Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:					
Justification if Scoring	Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:					

Committee Member (Printed Name):

ommittee Member Signature:



GRAND TOTAL SCORE

Proposor Name American Co

35

35

100

Project Name STEP ONE - Complete submission. The overall EVALUATION CRITERIA Max Poi Evaluation Criteria 1 TOTAL Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior 10 8 to construction phase services. (Max 10 points) Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related 15 Owner and Architect (Max 15 points) Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your 3 3 firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)

Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 1 points) Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points) 0 Evaluation Criteria 2 Max Po TOTAL Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services. 0 Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Point 50 Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points) TOTAL Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms. 5 K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. (Max 2 points) Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. (Max 1 point) Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Maz 2 points) TOTAL **Evaluation Criteria 4** Max Poi Prior Experience with the Project Team

Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Committee Member (Printed Name):
Committee Member Signature:

Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)





EVALUATION CRITERIA				
	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
Firm Experience/Key Pers	onnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	21	21
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)	10	5	
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15	13	
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5	3	
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	0	
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	0	
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
Cost Proposal: Price and to	otal long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	0	0
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50		
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
elevant K-12 Work Expe	rience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	5	5
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. (Max 2 points)	2	2	
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. (Max 1 point)	1	1	
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Maz 2 points)	2	2	
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
rior Experience with the	Project Team	5	3	3
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	3	·
GRAND TOTAL SCO	RE	100	29	29

Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Committee Member (Printed Name):
Committee Member Signature:

Evaluator: PC

IDEA Public Schools					
		Project Name	ıe		
Proposer	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	Cost Proposal	Relevant K-12 Work Experience	Prior Experience with the Project Team	Total Score
Maximum Points	40	95	5	5	100
Argio Roofing	33	35	4	0	72
American Contracting	35	95	5	0	06
Walton	28	25	5	0	58

Ave	Average of costs provide	ed by Contractors	ırs		BASE Proposals	oposals	
Proposer	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Calculation	Assigned Point Value	Alamo	Pharr	San Juan	Weslaco
Argio Roofing	\$1,445,496.26	\$1,197,200.00	41	\$434,415.88	\$434,415.88 \$432,926.53 \$340,530.84 \$237,623.02	\$340,530.84	\$237,623.02
American Contracting	\$1,197,200.00	\$1,197,200.00	95	\$273,021.00	\$514,867.00	\$514,867.00 \$262,624.00 \$146,668.00	\$146,668.00
Walton	\$1,993,968.00	\$1,197,200.00	30	\$574,267.00		\$387,477.00 \$253,059.00	\$253,059.00

CSP#34-MVE-0424 Mid	CSP#34-MVE-0424 Mid Valley Building Envelope
Vendor	Assigned Point Value
Argio Roofing	41
American Contracting	50
Walton	30

IDEA © Public Schools			<u> # * </u>	Evaluator Name Proposor Name	Paul Clesner Argio Roofing	Docusign Envelope ID:
Project Name STEP ONE - Complete submission.	ilssion.				The overall maximum possible sc	
EVALUATION CRITERIA						CEE
EVALUATION CRITERIA	Evaluation Criteria 1	May Points	Score	TOTAL	Fvoluator Comments	3B0
E. E.	E Francisco III. and and Elic Collision.	40	33	10101)-9
	Organizations that statement the proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff; project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA bublic Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services, (Max 10 points)	01	8 %	3		 A2D-4C6A- <i>i</i>
7	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15	15		Currently has 21 in progress jobs	\ \1E2-1
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5	5			 29E
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	8	5			/A76
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	5			A 9
E	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	ΑF
Cost Proposal: Price and tota	Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	35	35		
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	35			
Ex	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	
Relevant K-12 Work Experie	Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	4	4		
- 0	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. (Max 2 points) Control information for the counter's completed for all projects completed in the last fine course. (Max 1 points)	7 -	7 -			
1 60		2	-			
Ev	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	
Prior Experience with the Project Team		w	0	0		
GRAND TOTAL SCORE	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points) E.	2 100	0 22	72		
Provide Justification if Scoring Have not worked with vendor	Provide Justification if Scoring O Points per Evaluation Criteria Section: Have not worked with vendor					
Provide Justification if Scoring IN All data required was submitted	Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section: All data required was submitted					
Committee Member (Printed Name): Paul Closner	Name):					
Committee Member Signature: Paul Closner	e: Paul Closner					
_	Date: _7/31/24					





LUATION CRITERIA				
EUATION CRITERIA	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL
Experience/Key Per	rsonnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	35	35
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)	10	10	
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15	10	
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5	5	
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	5	
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	5	
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTA
Proposal: Price and	l total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	50	50
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	50	
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTA
ant K-12 Work Exp	perience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	5	5
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. (Max 2 points)	2	2	
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. (Max 1 point)	1	1	
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Maz 2 points)	2	2	
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTA
Experience with the	e Project Team	5	0	0
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	0	
AND TOTAL SCO	ORE	100	90	90

Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Have not worked with vendor
Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Committee Member (Printed Name):
Paul Closner
Committee Member Signature: _ Paul Closner

IDEA © Public Schools			Evaluator Name Proposor Name	Docusign Envelope ID	Docusign Envelope ID
Project Name STEP ONE - Complete submission.				The overall maximum possible sc P4	<u>≒</u>): 2B4
EVALIATION CHIEDIA				CEE	CEE
EVALUATION CHILDRIA Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	BBC
Management	40	28	28)-9/
Organizational charf for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff; project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services, (Max 10 points)	10	m		Å2D-4C6A- <i>f</i>	 A2D-4C6A- <i>F</i>
Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max 15 points)	15	15		A1E2-1	 \1E2-1
Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5	5		29E7	29E7
Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	5		7A76	 7A76
5 Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	0		A9	AS
	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments 4)AF
Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	25	25		
Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula. Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	25			
	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	
Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	5	5		
1 K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. (Max 2 points)	2 -	2 -			
	2	2			
Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments	
Prior Experience with the Project Team	5	0	0		
1 Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points) CRAND TOTAL, SCORE	100	o a	58		
Provide Justification if Scoring O Points per Evaluation Criteria Section: Have not worked with vendor					
Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:					
Committee Member (Printed Name):					
ו ממו היסטורי					
Committee Member Signature: _Paul Closner					
Date: _7/31/24					



8/5/2024

Ms. Sylvia Pena Director of Construction IDEA Public Schools 2115 W. Pike Blvd. Weslaco, TX 78596

Dear Ms. Pena:

The IDEA Review Committee has met to evaluate the proposals for the IDEA Public Schools - CSP - #34-MVE-0424 and offer the following recommendation. Based on the review of the submitted proposals received on 7/16/2024, the committee recommends awarding the contract to American Contracting with acceptance of their base bid proposal amount of \$1,197,200.00

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact my office.

Roan G. Gomez, AIA

Principal

1150 Paredes Line Rd, Brownsville, TX 78521 P 956.546.0110 F 956.546.0196 www.gmsarchitects.com





7/23/2024

Ms. Sylvia Pena Director of Construction IDEA Public Schools 2115 W. Pike Blvd. Weslaco, TX 78596

Dear Ms. Pena:

While preparing the design documents for IDEA Public Schools - CSP - #34-MVE-0424, we encountered several discrepancies between the budgeted amounts and the extent of the work from our site visit which needs to be performed for the respective line items.

The line items from the assessment which are undervalued are:

- 1. Alamo Replace outdated exterior windows.
 - a. There has not been any complaint for leaks, nor are there any visible damage to the windows or frames. The cost to replace the windows and exterior surroundings will not provide a good return on investment.
- 2. Adding San Juan and Pharr Campuses to the bid package.
 - a. We made this request to balance out the number of campuses in the three regions after Donna was bid out as a separate single project.

During our scope and budget review with IDEA, the agreed path forward was to not include the window replacement at this time and to add San Juan and Pharr campuses to the bid package along with Weslaco and Alamo.

Roan G. Gomez, AIA

Principal

1150 Paredes Line Rd, Brownsville, TX 78521 P 956.546.0110 F 956.546.0196 www.gmsarchitects.com

