



# CONSTRUCTION AND FACILITIES REQUEST FORM

2/5/2025  
Facilities Committee Approval Date

Date of request 2/5/25

Date needed by 2/6/25

Requester Name and Title David Gomez, PM

Campus/Department Facilities and Construction

Organization IDEA Public Schools Texas Select one from the drop down menu

Vendor Name TADCO Roofing

CO-OP Member  Yes  No If YES, which one \_\_\_\_\_

Vendor is a former employee  Yes  No If YES, last day of employment \_\_\_\_\_

Will this service provider be on campus when students are present?  Yes  No

Type of Document Select one Other Bid Tab

### Description of services

Service dates Start date \_\_\_\_\_ End date \_\_\_\_\_

Amount \$994,360

Fund Source PBK Assessment Fund  
(Account string)

**Section I.** Board approval required?  Yes  No If YES, provide date of approval \_\_\_\_\_

#### Criteria:

- Above \$250K & not part of a COOP
- Contract funding not originally budgeted for
- Multiyear contract
- Real Estate contract / Rental of space outside our premises
- Public works contracts above \$50K (Facilities & Construction projects)
- Capital assets (CapEx): cost + service/installation above \$5k p/unit basis

**Section II.** Urgent request  Yes  No If YES, provide reason and date needed by \_\_\_\_\_

### Section III. Approvals

PMS/IDEA <u>Sylvia Peña</u>	Name and Title <u>Sylvia Pena</u>	Date <u>Feb 12, 2025</u>
Facilities <u>Daniel Garza</u>	Name and Title <u>Daniel Garza</u>	Date <u>Feb 12, 2025</u>
Treasury <u>Calvis T. Brooks</u>	Name and Title <u>Calvis Brooks</u>	Date <u>Feb 14, 2025</u>



**Ranking Summary (CSP #35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Envelope)**  
 Tuesday, December 17, 2024  
 3:00 PM - TEAMS Meeting

**CSP #35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Envelope**

Proposer	Cost Proposal	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management					Relevant K-12 Work Experience					Prior Experience with the Project Team					Total Score
		DG	OC	MM	JP	RG	DG	OC	MM	JP	RG	DG	OC	MM	JP	RG	
<b>Maximum Points</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>40</b>					<b>5</b>					<b>5</b>					<b>100</b>
Tadco Roofing	50	34	33	38	36	34	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	<b>94.60</b>
		35.00					5.00					4.60					
Argio Roofing	27	28	31	36	36	33	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	<b>69.40</b>
		32.80					5.00					4.60					

**CSP #35-LVE-0424 - Ranking Committee Member Signatures:**

*David Gomez*

David Gomez - IDEA Const Project Manager

*Oscar Cantu*

Oscar Cantu - IDEA Regional Const Director

Maria Munoz - Facilities Manager - Frontier

*Jose Perez*

Jose Perez - Facilities Manager - San Benito

Road Gomez - GIS Architect

*Verenice Marquez*

Verenice Marquez (Construction Accountant - F&C)



# Value Engineered Proposal

January 31st, 2025

RE: IDEA Lower Valley – Envelope Repairs

## Summary:

TADCO Roofing, at the request of IDEA, and GMS Architects, is pleased to present the below pricing for approval. Pricing is derived from the drawings and specifications provided by GMS Architects., dated August 2024, as such, the proposed pricing is inclusive of all derived scope of work.

## Exclusions:

- EFIS Repairs at Brick/Succo Transition
- EFIS Repairs Around Buildings
- Re-Finishing of EFIS Around Buildings
- EFIS Transition Flashing at Windows
- Removal & Re-Installation of Windows

## Proposed Price Impact:

\$994,360

X 

Luis Daniel Lucio

**Senior Project Manager**

**TADCO Roofing and Waterproofing**



Evaluator: DG

**CSP #35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Building Envelope**

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>	<b>Cost Proposal</b>	<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience</b>	<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
<b>Maximum Points</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100</b>
Tadco Roofing	34	50	5	5	<b>94</b>
Argio Roofing	28	27	5	5	<b>65</b>

<b>Average of costs provided by Contractors</b>				<b>BASE Proposals</b>		
Proposer	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Calculation	Assigned Point Value	Frontier	Brownsville	San Benito
Tadco Roofing	\$1,510,580.00	\$1,510,580.00	50	\$451,546.66	\$704,861.67	\$354,171.67
Argio Roofing	\$2,765,668.00	\$1,510,580.00	27	\$773,240.00	\$1,411,930.00	\$580,498.00

<b>CSP#35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Building Envelope</b>	
Vendor	Assigned Point Value
Tadco Roofing	50
Argio Roofing	27

Contractor	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Alternate #1	TOTAL
Tadco Roofing	\$1,510,580.00	\$355,010.00	\$1,865,590
Argio Roofing	\$2,765,668.00	\$404,000.00	\$3,169,668



Evaluator Name	David Gomez
Proposer Name	Tadco Roofing

**Project Name**  
**STEP ONE - Complete submission.** The overall maximum possible score is 100

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
<b>Evaluation Criteria 1</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	
<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>					
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	10		<p>Could not find the number of surety companies as requested.</p> <p>Non-conforming work is not addressed.</p> <p>Warranty service implementation was not completely described.</p>
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	3		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	3		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	3		
6	Within 48 hours of the proposal deadline, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	10		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 2</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. <b>(Max 50 points)</b>	50	50		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 3</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. <b>(Max 1 point)</b>	1	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 4</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>94</b>	

**Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Committee Member (Printed Name):**

---



---

**Committee Member Signature:**

---



---

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_



Evaluator Name: David Gomez  
 Proposer Name: Argio Roofing

**Project Name**  
 STEP ONE - Complete submission. The overall maximum possible score is 100

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
<b>Evaluation Criteria 1</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	
<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>					
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	10		Could not provide the number of surety companies as requested. Quality control and commissioning are not mentioned. No description of warranty or philosophy is provided.
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	3		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	0		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	0		
6	Within 48 hours of the proposal deadline, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	10		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 2</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. <b>(Max 50 points)</b>	50	27		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 3</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. <b>(Max 1 point)</b>	1	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 4</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>65</b>	

**Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Committee Member (Printed Name):**

---

**Committee Member Signature:**

---

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_



Evaluator: JP

**CSP #35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Building Envelope**

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>	<b>Cost Proposal</b>	<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience</b>	<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
<b>Maximum Points</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100</b>
Tadco Roofing	36	50	5	3	<b>94</b>
Argio Roofing	36	27	3	3	<b>69</b>



<b>Average of costs provided by Contractors</b>				<b>BASE Proposals</b>		
Proposer	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Calculation	Assigned Point Value	Frontier	Brownsville	San Benito
Tadco Roofing	\$1,510,580.00	\$1,510,580.00	50	\$451,546.66	\$704,861.67	\$354,171.67
Argio Roofing	\$2,765,668.00	\$1,510,580.00	27	\$773,240.00	\$1,411,930.00	\$580,498.00

<b>CSP#35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Building Envelope</b>	
Vendor	Assigned Point Value
Tadco Roofing	50
Argio Roofing	27

Contractor	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Alternate #1	TOTAL
Tadco Roofing	\$1,510,580.00	\$355,010.00	\$1,865,590
Argio Roofing	\$2,765,668.00	\$404,000.00	\$3,169,668



Evaluator Name	Jose Perez Jr.
Proposor Name	Tadco Roofing

**Project Name**  
**STEP ONE - Complete submission.** The overall maximum possible score is 100

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
<b>Evaluation Criteria 1</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	
<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>					
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	8		TADCO provided a chart in command for President -Crew Leaders (5)
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		TADCO provided more than 10 projects done in the last years, this shows Commitment and results.
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		Tadco provided the number of surety the company has been engaged for the last 5 years
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		Tadco complies with the warranty services implementations
6	Within 48 hours of the proposal deadline, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	9		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 2</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. <b>(Max 50 points)</b>	50	50		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 3</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. <b>(Max 1 point)</b>	1	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 4</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	3		
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>94</b>	

**Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Committee Member (Printed Name):**  
 Jose Perez Jr.

**Committee Member Signature:**

**Date:** 12-16-24



Evaluator Name Jose Perez Jr.  
 Proposer Name Argio Roofing

**Project Name**  
**STEP ONE - Complete submission.** The overall maximum possible score is 100

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
<b>Evaluation Criteria 1</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	
<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>					
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	8		ARIGO went above and beyond from not only providing 5 Projects but Over 10 done in the past 5 years, this shows commitments and results
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
6	Within 48 hours of the proposal deadline, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	9		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 2</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. <b>(Max 50 points)</b>	50	27		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 3</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.</b>					
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. <b>(Max 1 point)</b>	1	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 4</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>					
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	3	<b>3</b>	
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>69</b>	

**Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Committee Member (Printed Name):** Jose Perez Jr.

**Committee Member Signature:**

**Date:** 12-16-24



Evaluator: MM

**CSP #35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Building Envelope**

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>	<b>Cost Proposal</b>	<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience</b>	<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
<b>Maximum Points</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100</b>
Tadco Roofing	38	50	5	5	<b>98</b>
Argio Roofing	36	27	5	5	<b>73</b>

<b>Average of costs provided by Contractors</b>				<b>BASE Proposals</b>		
Proposer	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Calculation	Assigned Point Value	Frontier	Brownsville	San Benito
Tadco Roofing	\$1,510,580.00	\$1,510,580.00	50	\$451,546.66	\$704,861.67	\$354,171.67
Argio Roofing	\$2,765,668.00	\$1,510,580.00	27	\$773,240.00	\$1,411,930.00	\$580,498.00

<b>CSP#35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Building Envelope</b>	
Vendor	Assigned Point Value
Tadco Roofing	50
Argio Roofing	27

Contractor	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Alternate #1	TOTAL
Tadco Roofing	\$1,510,580.00	\$355,010.00	\$1,865,590
Argio Roofing	\$2,765,668.00	\$404,000.00	\$3,169,668



Evaluator Name	Mary Munoz
Proposer Name	Tadco Roofing

**Project Name**  
**STEP ONE - Complete submission.** The overall maximum possible score is 100

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
<b>Evaluation Criteria 1</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	
<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>					
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	10		
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
6	Within 48 hours of the proposal deadline, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	9		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 2</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.</b>					
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. <b>(Max 50 points)</b>	50	50	50	
<b>Evaluation Criteria 3</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.</b>					
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. <b>(Max 1 point)</b>	1	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 4</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>					
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5	5	
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>98</b>	

**Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**  
 Tadco has provided detailed information on previous jobs, I can see requested documents are in order.

---



---

**Committee Member (Printed Name):**  
 Mary Munoz

**Committee Member Signature:** 

**Date:** 12-16-24



Evaluator Name **Mary Munoz**  
 Proposer Name **Argio Roofing**

**Project Name**  
**STEP ONE - Complete submission.** The overall maximum possible score is 100

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
<b>Evaluation Criteria 1</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	
<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>					
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	9		
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
6	Within 48 hours of the proposal deadline, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	9		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 2</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. <b>(Max 50 points)</b>	50	27		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 3</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. <b>(Max 1 point)</b>	1	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 4</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>73</b>	

**Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---


**Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**  
 Argio has provided accurate documentation as requested.

---



---

**Committee Member (Printed Name):**

**Committee Member Signature:** 

**Date:** 12-16-24



Evaluator: OC

**CSP #35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Building Envelope**

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>	<b>Cost Proposal</b>	<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience</b>	<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
<b>Maximum Points</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100</b>
Tadco Roofing	33	50	5	3	<b>91</b>
Argio Roofing	31	27	5	4	<b>67</b>



<b>Average of costs provided by Contractors</b>				<b>BASE Proposals</b>		
Proposer	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Calculation	Assigned Point Value	Frontier	Brownsville	San Benito
Tadco Roofing	\$1,510,580.00	\$1,510,580.00	50	\$451,546.66	\$704,861.67	\$354,171.67
Argio Roofing	\$2,765,668.00	\$1,510,580.00	27	\$773,240.00	\$1,411,930.00	\$580,498.00

<b>CSP#35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Building Envelope</b>	
Vendor	Assigned Point Value
Tadco Roofing	50
Argio Roofing	27

Contractor	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Alternate #1	TOTAL
Tadco Roofing	\$1,510,580.00	\$355,010.00	\$1,865,590
Argio Roofing	\$2,765,668.00	\$404,000.00	\$3,169,668



Evaluator Name	Oscar Cantu
Proposor Name	Tadco Roofing

**Project Name**  
**STEP ONE - Complete submission.** The overall maximum possible score is 100

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
<b>Evaluation Criteria 1</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	
<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>					
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	10		subcontractors list provided
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	1		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
6	Within 48 hours of the proposal deadline, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	8		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 2</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.</b>					
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. <b>(Max 50 points)</b>	50	50	50	
<b>Evaluation Criteria 3</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.</b>					
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. <b>(Max 1 point)</b>	1	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 4</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>					
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	3	3	
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>91</b>	

**Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---

**Committee Member (Printed Name):**

---

**Committee Member Signature:**

---

**Date:**

---



Evaluator Name **Oscar Cantu**  
 Proposer Name **Argio Roofing**

**Project Name**  
**STEP ONE - Complete submission.** The overall maximum possible score is 100

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
<b>Evaluation Criteria 1</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	
<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>					
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	9		subcontractors List Provided
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	3		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	3		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	3		
6	Within 48 hours of the proposal deadline, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	8		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 2</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. <b>(Max 50 points)</b>	50	27		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 3</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. <b>(Max 1 point)</b>	1	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 4</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>67</b>	

**Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---

---

---

---

---

**Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---

---

---

---

**Committee Member (Printed Name):**

---

**Committee Member Signature:**

---

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_



Evaluator: RG

**CSP #35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Building Envelope**

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>	<b>Cost Proposal</b>	<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience</b>	<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
<b>Maximum Points</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100</b>
Tadco Roofing	34	50	5	5	<b>94</b>
Argio Roofing	33	27	5	5	<b>70</b>

<b>Average of costs provided by Contractors</b>				<b>BASE Proposals</b>		
Proposer	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Calculation	Assigned Point Value	Frontier	Brownsville	San Benito
Tadco Roofing	\$1,510,580.00	\$1,510,580.00	50	\$451,546.66	\$704,861.67	\$354,171.67
Argio Roofing	\$2,765,668.00	\$1,510,580.00	27	\$773,240.00	\$1,411,930.00	\$580,498.00

<b>CSP#35-LVE-0424 Lower Valley Building Envelope</b>	
Vendor	Assigned Point Value
Tadco Roofing	50
Argio Roofing	27

Contractor	Offeror's Proposed Cost	Alternate #1	TOTAL
Tadco Roofing	\$1,510,580.00	\$355,010.00	\$1,865,590
Argio Roofing	\$2,765,668.00	\$404,000.00	\$3,169,668

Evaluator Name **Roan Gomez, AIA**  
 Proposer Name **Tadco Roofing**

**Project Name**

**STEP ONE - Complete submission.**

The overall maximum possible score is 100

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

<b>Evaluation Criteria 1</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	9		
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
6	Within 48 hours of the proposal deadline, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	8		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 2</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. <b>(Max 50 points)</b>	50	50		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 3</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. <b>(Max 1 point)</b>	1	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 4</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>94</b>	

Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:

Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:

Committee Member (Printed Name): **Roan Gomez, AIA**

Committee Member Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: **12/17/2024**



Evaluator Name **Roan Gomez, AIA**  
 Proposor Name **Argio Roofing**

**Project Name**

STEP ONE - Complete submission.

The overall maximum possible score is 100 points.

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

Evaluation Criteria 1		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
<b>Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management</b>		40	33	33	
1	Organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to IDEA Public Schools and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of IDEA Public Schools may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	8	33	
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	4		
6	Within 48 hours of the proposal deadline, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. <b>(Max 10 points)</b>	10	8		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 2</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Cost Proposal: Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.</b>		50	27	27	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. <b>(Max 50 points)</b>	50	27		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 3</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Relevant K-12 Work Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.</b>		5	5	5	
1	K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2	5	
2	Contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. <b>(Max 1 point)</b>	1	1		
3	Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. <b>(Max 2 points)</b>	2	2		
<b>Evaluation Criteria 4</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Evaluator Comments</b>
<b>Prior Experience with the Project Team</b>		5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. <b>(Max 5 points)</b>	5	5		
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>70</b>	

**Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---



---



---

**Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:**

---




---



---

**Committee Member (Printed Name):** **Roan Gomez, AIA**

**Committee Member Signature:** 

**Date:** **12/17/2024**

**BID TABULATION**  
**IDEA Public Schools - CSP #35-LVE-0424**  
**Lower Valley Building Envelope**  
**Brownsville and San Benito, Texas**  
**Tuesday, December 10, 2024**

<b>CONTRACTOR</b>	<b>Tadco Roofing</b>	<b>Argio Roofing</b>		
<b>BID BOND</b>	X	X		
Acknowledge - Addenda	X	X		
Acknowledge - Allowances	X	X		
<b>BASE BID</b>	\$1,510,580.00	\$2,765,668.00		
Alternate #1 - Perform work after 5pm and on weekends	\$355,010.00	\$404,000.00		
<b>Unit Prices</b>				
Replace Individual Standing Seam Metal Roof Panel - Full Length	\$1,200.00	\$1,500.00		
Replace 12'-0" length of Sidewalk Canopy Purlins	\$1,060.00	\$250.00		