IDEA Public Schools

CONSTRUCTION AND FACILITIES REQUEST FORM

11/26/2024

Date of r	equest <u>11/5/24</u>			Facilities committee approval date
	11/7/24	_		
Request	er Name and Title David G	omez-Construction Pi	oject Manager	
Ca	mpus/Department Facilities	& Construction		
	Organization IDEA Pเ	ıblic Schools Texas		Select one from the drop down menu
	Vendor Name NM Cor	ntracting, LLC		
	CO-OP M	lember Yes No	If YES, which one	e
	Vendor is a former em	ployee Yes No	If YES, last day o	f employment
Will this ser	vice provider be on campus wher	students are present?	Yes No	
-	Type of Document Contract	or Rankings	Other	
	n of services			
	Service dates Amount \$ 3,459 Fund Source (Account string)		End date	240 calendar days from NTP
SectionI.	 Public works contracts a 	of a COOP	emises struction projects)	e of approval
Section II.	Urgent request Yes	If YES, provide reason and	date needed by	
Section	Approvals			
SectionIII.	Approvals Auhvia Peña	c	vlvia Pana	Feh 12 2025
MSI/IDEA RN	1 Sylvia Peña	Name and Title S		Date Feb 12, 2025
Facilities	Daniel Garza	Name and Title $^{ t L}$	Daniel Garza	Date Feb 12, 2025
Treasun	Calvis T. Brooks	Name and Title	Calvis Brooks	Date Feb 14, 2025

BID TABULATION

IDEA Public Schools - CSP#9-EPC-1124
IDEA Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria
Edinburg, Texas

Thursday, October 31, 2024

CONTRACTOR	Gerlach Builders LLC	NM Contracting LLC		
BID BOND	yes	yes		
ADDENDUMS 1-3	yes	yes		
BASE BID	\$3,905,000.00	\$3,459,600.00		
Schedule	Transformers may take 12-18 months	240 Working Days		



Ranking Summary (CSP#9-EPC-1124) Monday, November 1, 2024 2:00PM - TEAMs Meeting

CSP#9-EPC-1124 - IDEA Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria

Proposer	Cost Proposal	F	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management		Relevant K-12 Work Experience			Prior Experience with the Project Team				Total Score					
Maximum Points	50			40					5					5			100
Waximum Points	30	DG	RG	DM	EH	SG	DG	RG	DM	EH	SG	DG	RG	DM	EH	SG	100
Gerlach Builders	4.4	36	38	37	37	36	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	00.60
LLC	44			36.80					4.80					5.00			90.60
NM Contracting	50	32	37	36	38	36	5	4	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	3	04.60
LLC	30			35.80					4.40					4.40			94.60

CSP#9-EPC-1124 - Ranking Committee Member Signatures:

		• 1	
1)	\mathbf{a}	/IA	Gomez
$\boldsymbol{\smile}$	uv	' I U	OUTTICE

David Gomez (Construction Project Manager)

Daniel Munoz

Daniel Munoz (CNP Assistant Director of Operations)

Roan Gomez

Roan Gomez (Nov 1, 2024 15:53 CDT)

Roan Gomez (GMS Engineering Team)

Erik Humphrey

Erik Humphrey (Sr. Assistant Principal of Operations

Santos Galvan

Santos Galvan (Regional Manager of Facilities & Construction)

Bonnibelle Caballero

Bonnibelle Caballero (Facilities Procurement Manager)

Signature: David Gomez

Email: david.gomez3@ideapublicschools.org

Signature: 12 Sun ##

Email: santos.galvan@ideapublicschools.org

Signature: Daniel Munoz

Email: daniel.munoz@ideapublicschools.org

Signature: Erik Humphrov (Nov. 1

Email: erik.humphrey@ideapublicschools.org

Average of costs provided by offeror

Proposer	Offeror's Proposed	Calculation	Assigned Point
Gerlach Builders LLC	\$3,905,000.00	\$3,459,600.00	44
NM Contracting LLC	\$3,459,600.00	\$3,459,600.00	50
CSP#9-EPC-1124 IDEA Edi	nburg College Prep Cafeteria		
Vendor	Point of Contact		
Gerlach Builders LLC	44		
NM Contracting LLC	50		



CSP#9-EPC-1124 IDEA Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria									
Proposer	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	Cost Proposal	Relevant K-12 Work Experience	Prior Experience with the Project Team	Total Score				
Maximum Points	40	50	5	5	100				
Gerlach Builders LLC	36	44	5	5	90				
NM Contracting LLC	32	50	5	5	92				

	_	_		_	
				Evaluator Name	
LDEA				Proposor Name	Gerlach Builders LLC
IDEA					
Public Schoo					
	A Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria				
STEP ONE - Complete sul	mission.				The overall maximum possible score is 100
EVALUATION CRITERIA					
	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Firm Experience/Key Pers	onnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	36	36	
	Include an organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications.]		
	Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s),				
1	project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time.	10	10		
	Staffing strength is significant to the Owner and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of the Owner may be grounds for termination				
	prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)				
	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges				Similar challenges were not identified, and staff
2	and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the	5	3		memers were not identified for those projects.
	related Owner and Architect (Max 5 points)				
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your	5	5		
	firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points) Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work (Max 5				Did not describe "how" the OC team would
4	Describe now your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and now will you address non-conforming work (Max's points)	5	4		measure quality.
5	points) Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5			measure quanty.
	By 12:00 pm on 11/1, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the	-	-	ì	Montez Plumbing is rated 2.0 per chamber of
6	subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. (Max 10 points)	10	9		commerce
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Cost Proposal: Price and to	otal long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	44	44	
	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50				
1	= Points Received. As an example, the following sample scoring matrix is provided (Max 50 points)	50	44		
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Relevant K-12 Work Expe	rience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. Provide contact information for the owner's representative for	5	- 5		
	all projects completed in the last five years. Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Max 5 points)	,	,		
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Prior Experience with the l		5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	5		
GRAND TOTAL SCO	RE	100	90	90	

IDE/ Public Scho	ools			Evaluator Name Proposor Name	David Gomez NM Contracting LLC
	EA Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria				
TEP ONE - Complete s	ubmission.				The overall maximum possible score is 1
ALUATION CRITERIA					
ALUATION CRITERIA	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
m Europianos/V su Do	Evaluation Stability Management	Max Points	32	32 32	Evaluator Comments
ii Experience/Key Pe	Include an organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications.	40	32	32	
1	include at organizational criter to lay proposed in angenient cent. The proposed team win be evaluated based unter hearent experience and qualinations. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-charge for the firm as well as the following staff, project renarger (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to the Owner and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of the Owner may be grounds for termination prior to contruction phase services. (Max 10 points)	10	10		
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (MAS 5 points).	5	3		Similar challenges were not identified, and sta memers were not identified for those projects.
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5]	
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	5		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	4		Warranty service support is not specifically described.
6	By 12:00 pm on 11/1, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. (Max 10 points)	10	10		
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
t Proposal: Price and	total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	50	50	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	50		
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
evant K-12 Work Exp	perience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. Provide contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Max 5 points)	5	5		
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
or Experience with the	e Project Team	5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	5		
RAND TOTAL SC	CORE	100	92	92	



CSP#9-EPC-1124 IDE	A Edinburg	College Prei	Cafeteria .

Proposer	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	Cost Proposal	Relevant K-12 Work Experience	Prior Experience with the Project Team	Total Score					
Maximum Points	40	50	5	5	100					
Gerlach Builders LLC	38	0	5	5	48					
NM Contracting LLC	37	0	4	4	45					





P ONE - Com	plete submission.			The overa	ll maximum possible score
LUATION CRITI	FDIA				
LOXIION CRIT		Max Points	Coore	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
- Canadiana di	(eq Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	38	38	Evaluator Comment
i Experiencers	Include an organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and	70	30	30	
	qualifications, include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff; project manager (primary decision				
1	maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project	10	10		
	manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to the Owner and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior				
	approval of the Owner may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. [Max 10 points]				
	approver or the Owner made grounds for termination prior to constitution phase services. Fireal to Domist's property of the projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years, Identify				
2	similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with	5	5		
_	names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Maz 5 points)				
	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number		-		
3	of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety companylies). (Max 5 points)	5	5		
	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming				
4	work (Max 5 points)	5	,		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	5		
,	By 12:00 pm on 11/1, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be	10	8		
	evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other	10	°		
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comment
t Proposal: P	rice and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	0	0	
	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid I				
1	Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the following sample scoring matrix is provided (Max 50 points)	50			
		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comment
vant K-12 Voi	rk Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting childr		5	5	
	Provide details of all K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. Provide contact information for the owner's				
1	representative for all projects completed in the last five years. Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest	5	5		
		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comment
r Experience s	with the Project Team	5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. [Max 5 points]	5	5	,	
ND TOTAL S		100	48	48	

IDEA Public Schools

SP#3-EPC-1124 IDEA Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria	
TEP ONE - Complete submission.	The

ALUATION CRITER					
	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
rm Ezperience/Ke	q Personnel and Firm Stabilitq/Management	40	37	37	
	Include an organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and				
	qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision				
1	maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project	10	10		
	manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to the Owner and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior				
	approval of the Owner may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. [Max 10 points]				
	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify				
2	similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with	5	5		
	names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect [Max 5 points]				
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number	5	5		
	of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). [Max 5 points]	- 1			
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming	5	5		
· ·	work. [Max 5 points]	-			
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	3		
6	By 12:00 pm on 11/1, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be	10	9		
•	evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other				
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
st Proposal: Pri	ce and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	0	0	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors? ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid I	50			
	Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	,,,			
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
levant K-12 ∀ork	Experience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children	5	Ť	4	
1	Provide details of all K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. Provide contact information for the owner's		,		
'	representative for all projects completed in the last five years. Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest	,	•		
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
ior Experience wi	th the Project Team	5	ì	4	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. [Max 5 points]	5	4		
RAND TOTAL SC		100	45	45	



CSP#9-EPC-1124 IDEA Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria								
	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm	Cost Proposal	Relevant K-12 Work	Prior Experien				

Proposer	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	Cost Proposal Relevant K-12 Work Experience the Project To			Total Score
Maximum Points	40	50	5	5	100
Gerlach Builders LLC	36	10	4	5	55
NM Contracting LLC	36	38	3	3	80

				_	
		_			
				Evaluator Name	
C IDEA				Proposor Name	Gerlach Builders LLC
IDEA Public Schools					
CSP#9-EPC-1124 IDEA	Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria				
STEP ONE - Complete subm	nission.				The overall maximum possible score is 100
EVALUATION CRITERIA					
	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Firm Experience/Key Person	anel and Firm Stability/Management	40	36	36	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Include an organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications.				
1	Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s),				
1	project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time.	10	10		
	Staffing strength is significant to the Owner and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of the Owner may be grounds for termination				
	prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)				
	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges			1	
2	and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the	5	5		
	related Owner and Architect (Max 5 points)				
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your	- 5	- 5		
	firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	-	,		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work (Max 5	4	4		
·	points)	-	,		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	4		
6	By 12:00 pm on 11/1, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the	10	8		
	subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. (Max 10 points)				
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Cost Proposal: Price and tota	al long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	10	10	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50	50	10		
	= Points Received. As an example, the following sample scoring matrix is provided (Max 50 points)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Relevant K-12 Work Experie	ence: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	4	4	
1	Provide details of all K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. Provide contact information for the owner's representative for	5	4		
	all projects completed in the last five years. Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Max 5 points)	-			
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Prior Experience with the Pro		5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	5		Contractor has worked extensively with team.
GRAND TOTAL SCOR	E	100	55	55	

IDEA				Evaluator Name Proposor Name	Santos Galvan NM Contracting LLC
CSP#9-EPC-1124 IDE	A Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria				
STEP ONE - Complete sul	bmission.				The overall maximum possible score is 100
EVALUATION CRITERIA					
	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Firm Experience/Key Pers	connel and Firm Stability/Management	40	36	36	
1	Include an organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to the Owner and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of the Owner may be grounds for termination prior to construction hase services. (Max 10 points).	10	9		
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years, identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max Spoints)	5	5		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5	5		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	4		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	4		
6	By 12:00 pm on 11/1, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. (Max 10 points)	10	9		
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Cost Proposal: Price and to	otal long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	38	38	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	38		
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Relevant K-12 Work Expe	rrience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	3	3	
1	Provide details of all K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. Provide contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Max 5 points)	5	3		
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Prior Experience with the l		5	3	3	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	3		
GRAND TOTAL SCO	DRE	100	80	80	



CSP#9-EPC-1124 IDEA Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria											
Proposer	Firm Experience/Key Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	Cost Proposal	Relevant K-12 Work Experience	Prior Experience with the Project Team	Total Score						
Maximum Points	40	50	5	5	100						
Gerlach Builders LLC	37	44	5	5	91						
NM Contracting LLC	38	50	5	5	98						

	, <u> </u>			-	
				Touchaster Manage	Erik Humphrey
					Gerlach Builders LLC
IDEA				Proposor Name	Gerach Builders E.E.C
Public School					
	EA Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria				
STEP ONE - Complete su					The overall maximum possible score is 100
3					
EVALUATION CRITERIA					
)	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Firm Experience/Key Pers	sonnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	37	37	
	include an organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications.				
	Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s),				A safety manager is not listed in the safety team
1	project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time.	10	9		branch.
	Staffing strength is significant to the Owner and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of the Owner may be grounds for termination				Orange II.
	prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)				
	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges				Did not identify which staff members were
3 2	and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the	5	4		involved in the projects listed.
	related Owner and Architect (Max 5 points) Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your				
3	rrounde the number of suffer companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the surety companing, and the number or years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(les). (Max 5 points)	5	5		Travellers
	nm has consistently engaged the source companyles). (MMX 5 points) Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work (MMX 5).				
4	points)	5	5		All questions answered.
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	4		Answered the question but not detailed enough.
		-			rimmerca are question out not actuated chough.
6	By 12:00 pm on 11/1, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the	10	10		Pending list of contractors.
	subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. (Max 10 points)	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
C (D LD)	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points 50			Evaluator Comments
Cost Proposal: Price and to	otal long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services. Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50	50	44	44	
1	Foints will be alwarded cased on Offerors: ratio to the lowest price received. Foints will be alwarded cased on the following formula: Lowest Did / Froposer's Did x 30 = Points Received. As an example, the following sample scoring matrix is provided (Max 50 points)	50	44		Formula applied based on lowest bid.
	= Found received. As an example, the following sample scoring matrix is provided (wax 50 points) Evaluation Criteria.	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Palament V. 12 Work Dane	Evaluation Circuita 3 reinec: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	S S	50010	101AL	Evaluator Comments
	renered: the proposal explains seground information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting enlaters a classrooms. [Provide details of all K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. Provide contact information for the owner's representative for		3	- 5	
1	all projects completed in the last five years. Recent experience and experience of the project completed in the last five years. Becent experience and experience of the project team will receive highest consideration. (Max 5 points)	5	5		All information was provided with details
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Prior Experience with the		5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	5		All projects listed with details.
GRAND TOTAL SCO	DRE	100	91	91	

Provide Justification if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:

Provide Justification if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:

Evaluation Criteria 3: Contractor has demonstrated vast experience with K-12 school projects, provided contacts, as well as vast experience with a variety of projects.

Evaluation Criteria 4: Extensive details were provided of all projects completed.

Committee Member (Printed Name): Erik Humphrey

IDEA Public Schools				Evaluator Name Proposor Name	Erik Humphrey NM Contracting LLC
	Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria				
STEP ONE - Complete submi	ission.				The overall maximum possible score is 100
EVALUATION CRITERIA					
	Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Firm Experience/Key Personn	el and Firm Stability/Management	40	38	38	
1	Include an organizational form for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be availuated based on their relevant experience and quisifications, include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff; project manager, organization maker), superintendent(s), project angience, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to the Owner and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of the Owner may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services, (MMX 10 points).	10	9		A safety manager is not listed in the safety team branch.
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max Sounts)	5	4		Did not specify which staff members were involved in projects listed
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). (Max 5 points)	5	5		Listed Assured Partners and Specialty Insurance Company
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	5	5		Very detailed on their quality control plan and approach
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	5		Clear and detail warranty policy
6	By 12:00 pm on 11/1, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. (Max 10 points)	10	10		The vendor provided a very detailed quote by vendor along with each name and contact name.
E	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Cost Proposal: Price and total	long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	50	50	
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample scoring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	50		Formula applied based on lowest bid.
E	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Relevant K-12 Work Experier	nce: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. Provide contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Max 5 points)	5	5		All information was provided with details
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Prior Experience with the Pro-		5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	5		All projects listed with details.
GRAND TOTAL SCORE	3	100	98	98	

Provide Justification if Scoring O Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:								
Provide Justification if Scoring N	fax Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:							
Evaluation Criteria 2: Contractor	r provided the lowest bid, and a very detailed quote for every element of the project.							
Evaluation Criteria 3: Contractor has demonstrated vast experience with K-12 school projects, provided contacts, as well as vast experience with a variety of projects.								
Evaluation Criteria 4: Extensive details were provided of all projects completed.								
Committee Member (Printed Na	ime):							
Erik Humphrou								



CSP#9-EPC-1124 IDEA Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria Firm Experience/Key Relevant K-12 Work | Prior Experience with Personnel and Firm Cost Proposal **Total Score** Proposer Experience the Project Team Stability/Management Maximum Points 50 5 100 40 5 Gerlach Builders LLC 37 5 44 5 91 50 5 36 5 NM Contracting LLC 96

IDEA Public School:		Ev <mark>aluator Na Dacist/Nucos</mark> Proposor Na <u>Geslach Bulders LLC</u>					
	A Edinburg College Prep Cafeteria	1					
STEP ONE - Complete su	ubmission.			Tì	ne overall maximum possible score is 100		
EVALUATION CRITERIA	·						
E	valuation Criteria 1	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments		
Firm Experience/Key Pe	rsoinel and Firm Stability/Management	40	37	37			
1	Include an organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff; project manager (primary decision maken), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Isstaffing strength is significant to the Owner and changes to proposed staff (or staff options) without the prior approval of the Owner may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. (Max 10 points)	10	9		All roles mentioned in key personnel are mentioned in contract with exception of project engineer.		
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years. Identify similar claiming and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Owner and Architect (Max S points)	5	5				
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(les). (Max 5 points)	5	4				
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work (Max 5 points)	5	4		Missing a timeline of actions throughout meeting with sub- contractors.		
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	5	1			
6	By 12:00 pm on 11/1, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and other relevant factors. (Max 10 points)	10	10				
E	valuation Criteria 2	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments		
	total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	50	44	44			
1	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid / Proposer's Bid x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the following sample scoring matrix is provided (Max 50 points)	50	44				
F.		Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments		
	periones: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting children's classrooms.	5	5	5			
1	Provide details of all K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. Provide contact information for the owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will receive highest consideration. (Max 5 points)	5	5				
E	valuation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments		
Prior Experience with th	ne Project Team	5	5	5			
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	5				
GRAND TOTAL SCOR		100	91	91			

Provide Justification if Scoring (Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Provide Justification if Scoring I	Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:
Committee Member (Printed N	ame): Daniel Munoz
Committee Member Signature:	Daniel Munoz 11.1.24
	200
	Date: 11/1/24

IDEA Public Schools	-	Evaluator Nam <u>Daniel Munos</u> Proposor Nam <mark>MM Contracting LLC</mark>			
	Édinburg College Prep Cafeteria	<u> </u>			
STEP ONE - Complete	submission.			The over	all maximum possible score is 100
EVALUATION CRITERI			-		
	raluation Criteria 1	Max Points		TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Firm Experience/Key	Personnel and Firm Stability/Management	40	36	36	
1	Include an organizational chart for your proposed management team. The proposed team will be evaluated based on their relevant experience and qualifications. Include, at a minimum, the name of the principal-in-charge for the firm as well as the following staff: project manager (primary decision maker), superintendent(s), project engineer, and safety manager. The safety manager may have other roles, such as project superintendent or project manager, but must be on-site full time. Staffing strength is significant to the Owner and changes to proposed staff (or staff organization which the prior approval of the Owner may be grounds for termination prior to construction phase services. [Max 10 points]	10	9		No clear specification of someone serving as safety manager
2	Provide information on a minimum of five projects of comparable type, size, and quality that your firm has completed in the last five years, Identify similar challenges and describe your approach. Regarding these projects, identify which staff members were on the featured projects, along with names and contact information for the related Quiner and Architect (Max 5 points)	5	5		
3	Provide the number of Surety companies that your company has engaged over the last 2 years, the name(s) of the Surety company, and the number of years that your firm has consistently engaged the Surety company(ies). [Max 5 points]	5	4		
4	Describe how your firm's quality control team will measure the quality of construction and commissioning and how will you address non-conforming work. (Max 5 points)	3	5		detailed outline provided.
5	Describe your firm's warranty service support philosophy and your approach to warranty service implementation. (Max 5 points)	5	5		1 yr
6	By 12:00 pm on 11/1, submit a list of proposed subcontractors for major trades of work (scope over \$50,000). Subcontractor strength will be	10	8		
	evaluated based on the subcontractors' reputation, experience with the project team, strength in the market where the project is located, and			TOTAL	5.16
	Eraluation Criteria 2 and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services.	Max Points		50	Evaluator Comments
	Points will be awarded based on Offerors' ratio to the lowest price received. Points will be awarded based on the following formula: Lowest Bid /	50	50	50	
1	Project's Bild x 50 = Points Received. As an example, the sample sociring matrix is provided on CPC Tab. (Max 50 points)	50	50		Lowest bidder
	Fraluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
	sperience: The Proposal explains background information for working with secondary institutions without disrupting childr		5	5	
1	Provide details of all K-12 school construction projects completed or underway over the past ten years. Provide contact information for the	5	5		
1	owner's representative for all projects completed in the last five years. Recent experience and experience of the proposed project team will	5	5		
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments
Prior Experience with		5	5	5	
1	Provide details of all projects completed with the client and/or design team. (Max 5 points)	5	5		
GRAND TOTAL SCOR	<u>F</u>	100	96	96	
Describe to self-	estina il Canala a O Dainta ana Fundantina Critaria Cantina				
Provide Justino	eation if Scoring 0 Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:				
	1				
B . I I					
Provide Justific	cation if Scoring Max Points per Evaluation Criteria Section:				
Committee Men	nber (Printed Name):Daniel Munoz				
	(A) ///				
Committee Men	phor Signature:				
Committee Men	inter ordinature.				
	Date: 11/1/24				