

RFQ #6-SPED-0723 (LOUISIANA)										
NAME OF FIRM	Final Score	RANKING								
Vendor 1- Adelphi Medical	91.75	1								
Vendor 2- Gifted Healthcare	91.000	2								
Vendor 3-Health Pro Pediatrics	90.75	3								
Vendor 4-Maxim Healthcare Staffing	78.50	5								
Vendor 5- NOVO Staffing	89.75	4								
Vendor 6- Stepping Stones	91.000	2								



#6-SPED-0723 Instructional & Related Services (LOUISIANA)									
PONE - STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION							The overall maximum possible score is		
1 Title Page									
Respondents must complete the Title Page and include it as the cover sheet for proposals submitted in response to this RFP.	Not Scored								
2 Preface/Table of Contents									
The Respondent shall provide a resume(s) and/or certifications of individuals that will be servicing scholars, complete the Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, complete the Vendor Questionnaire, and provide a minimum of three (3) verifiable references.					N	ot Scored			
3 – Evaluation Criteria									
3 – Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments		
4 – Vendor's level of expertise & range of service	35	35	35	35	35	35.00			
Vendor is able to provide services specific to all regions listed in Attachment G of the RFQ (MAX POINTS 35)		35	35	35	35				
	M . D . 4	E 1//2 C	E 1//0 C	F 1//10 C	E 1///1 0	тоты			
					Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments		
5 – Vendor's ability to comply with RFQ	25	25	25	20	25	23.75			
Vendor has provided all required documentation to include resume(s), certification(s), Vendor Questionnaire, Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, and a minimum of three (3) verifiable references (Max 13 pts.).		25	25	10	25				
Vendor is able to compy with all "Statement fo Qualifications Submission Requirements" within the RFQ (Max 12 pts.).				10					
Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments		
6 – Vendor's ability t o provide in-person services	15	15	15	15	15	15.00			
Vendor is able to provide in-person services for the disciplines specified within the RFQ (MAX 15 POINTS)		15	15	15	15				
Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments		
7 – Vendor's ability to provide own computers, testing kits, and equipment	15	15	15	15	15	15.00			
Vendor is able to provide their own computers, testing kits, and equipment for services requested within the RFQ (MAX 15 POINTS)		15	15	15	15				
Evaluation Criteria 5	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments		
8 –Vendor's reputation and quality of services	10	3	3	3	3	3.00			
Vendor has provided a minimum of three (3) verifiable references (Max 3 pts.).		3	3	3	3				
References have verified vendor is capable of providing exceptional service (Max 7 pts).									

EVALUATOR # _____ Organization: Houston Airport System Name of Firm: Vendor 1- Adelphi Medical



	Public Schools												
RFQ #6-SP	ED-0723 Instructional & Related Services (LOU	JISIANA)											
STEP ONE -	STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION							The overall maximum possible score is 100					
TAB 1 Title Pa	ge												
1	as the cover sheet for proposals submitted in response to					N	Not Scored						
<u> </u>	Alaia DED					1.	tot Scorca						
TAB 2 Preface	Table of Contents The Kespondent snan provide a resume(s) and/or												
1	certifications of individuals that will be servicing						Jot Coored						
1	scholars, complete the Geographic Coverage		Not Scored										
Chartion noine complete the Vender Operation noine and ΓΑΒ 3 – Evaluation Criteria													
TAB 3 – Evalua	ation Criteria 1	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments					
TAPA Vond	lor's level of expertise & range of service	35	35	35	30	35	33.75						
TAD 4 – Vend	for s level of expertise & range of service	33	33	33	30	33	33./3						
	Vendor is able to provide services specific to all regions												
1	listed in Attachment G of the RFQ (MAX POINTS 35)		35	35	30	35							
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments					
TAB 5 – Veno	lor's ability to comply with RFQ	25	25	25	23	25	24.50						
	Vendor has provided all required documentation to												
	include resume(s), certification(s), Vendor												
1	Questionnaire, Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, and		13	13	12	13							
	a minimum of three (3) verifiable references (Max 13												
	pts.).												
	Vendor is able to compy with all "Statement fo												
2	Qualifications Submission Requirements" within the		12	12	11	12							
	RFQ (Max 12 pts.).												
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments					
TAB 6 – Vend	lor's ability t o provide in-person services	15	15	15	14	15	14.75						
	Vendor is able to provide in-person services for the												
1	disciplines specified within the RFQ (MAX 15		15	15	14	15							
	POINTS)												
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments					
TAB 7 – Venc	lor's ability to provide own computers, testing kits, and	15	15	15	15	15	15.00						
	Vendor is able to provide their own computers, testing												
	kits, and equipment for services requested within the		15	15	15	15							
	RFQ (MAX 15 POINTS)												
	Evaluation Criteria 5	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments					
TAR 8 -Vend	or's reputation and quality of services	10	3	3	3	3	3.00						
THE VIII	Vendor has provided a minimum of three (3) verifiable	10					5.00						
	references (Max 3 pts.).		3	3	3	3							
	References have verified vendor is capable of providing												
	exceptional service (Max 7 pts).												
GRAND TO	OTAL SCORE	100	93	93	85	93	91.00						



	nstructional & Related Services (LOUISIANA)										
STEP ONE - STATEMEN	NT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION							The overall maximum possible score is 1			
ΓAB 1 Title Page											
Respondents	s must complete the Title Page and include it as the cover sheet for proposals submitted					N	ot Scored				
in response t		Not Scored									
TAB 2 Preface/Table of Cont The Respond	ident shall provide a resume(s) and/or certifications of individuals that will be servicing										
scholars, cor	implete the Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, complete the Vendor Questionnaire, a minimum of three (3) verifiable references.					N	ot Scored				
ΓAB 3 – Evaluation Criteria											
TAB 3 – Evaluation Criteria	11	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
TAB 4 – Vendor's level of	expertise & range of service	35	35	35	30	35	33.75				
Vendor is ab POINTS 35	ble to provide services specific to all regions listed in Attachment G of the RFQ (MAX 5)		35	35	30	35					
	Evaluation Cuitaria 2	May Daint	Evol #7 Carre	Eval#9 C	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Com	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
	Evaluation Criteria 2				Eval#18 Score		TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
TAB 5 – Vendor's ability t		25	25	25	23	25	24.50				
1 Questionnair	provided all required documentation to include resume(s), certification(s), Vendor ire, Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, and a minimum of three (3) verifiable Max 13 pts.) .		25	25	12	25					
2 Vendor is ab the RFQ (M	ble to compy with all "Statement fo Qualifications Submission Requirements" within Iax 12 pts.) .				11						
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
	t o provide in-person services	15	15	15	14	15	14.75				
1 Vendor is ab POINTS)	ble to provide in-person services for the disciplines specified within the RFQ (MAX 15		15	15	14	15					
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
	to provide own computers, testing kits, and equipment	15	15	15	14	15	14.75				
	ble to provide their own computers, testing kits, and equipment for services requested RFQ (MAX 15 POINTS)		15	15	14	15					
	Evaluation Criteria 5	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
	ion and quality of services	10	3	3	3	3	3.00				
	provided a minimum of three (3) verifiable references (Max 3 pts.).		3	3	3	3					
References h	have verified vendor is capable of providing exceptional service (Max 7 pts).										
GRAND TOTAL SCO	ORE	100	93	93	84	93	90.75				



RFO #6-SI	PED-0723 Instructional & Related Services (LOUISIANA)										
	STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION							The overall maximum possible score is 100			
STEI UNE -	STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION							The overall maximum possible score is 100			
TAB 1 Title P	age	_									
1	Respondents must complete the Title Page and include it as the cover sheet for proposals submitted in response to this RFP.	Not Scored									
TAB 2 Prefac	e/Table of Contents										
1	The Respondent shall provide a resume(s) and/or certifications of individuals that will be servicing scholars, complete the Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, complete the Vendor Questionnaire, and provide a minimum of three (3) verifiable references.					N	ot Scored				
TAB 3 – Evalu	aation Criteria										
TAB 3 – Eval	nation Criteria 1	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
TAB 4 – Ver	dor's level of expertise & range of service	35	35	35	34	35	34.75				
1	Vendor is able to provide services specific to all regions listed in Attachment G of the RFQ (MAX POINTS 35)		35	35	34	35					
	Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
TAB 5 – Ver	dor's ability to comply with RFQ	25	25	25	23	25	24.50				
1	Vendor has provided all required documentation to include resume(s), certification(s), Vendor Questionnaire, Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, and a minimum of three (3) verifiable references (Max 13 pts.).		13	13	12	13					
2	Vendor is able to compy with all "Statement fo Qualifications Submission Requirements" within the RFQ (Max 12 pts.).		12	12	11	12					
	Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
TAB 6 – Ver	dor's ability t o provide in-person services	15	15	15	14	15	14.75				
1	Vendor is able to provide in-person services for the disciplines specified within the RFQ (MAX 15 POINTS)		15	15	14	15					
	Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
TAB 7 – Ver	dor's ability to provide own computers, testing kits, and equipment	15	0	0	6	0	1.50				
	Vendor is able to provide their own computers, testing kits, and equipment for services requested within the RFQ (MAX 15 POINTS)		0	0	6	0		school to provide all testing supplies and technology. I am not designagted to con			
	Evaluation Criteria 5	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments			
TAB 8 –Ven	dor's reputation and quality of services	10	3	3	3	3	3.00				
	Vendor has provided a minimum of three (3) verifiable references (Max 3 pts.). References have verified vendor is capable of providing exceptional service (Max 7 pts).		3	3	3	3					
GRAND T	OTAL SCORE	100	78	78	80	78	78.50				



RFQ #6-SPED-0723 Instructional & Related Services (LOUISIANA)											
STEP ONE - STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION							The overall maximum possible score is 100				
TAB 1 Title Page	1										
Respondents must complete the Title Page and include it as the cover sheet for proposals submin response to this RFP.	itted	Not Scored									
TAB 2 Preface/Table of Contents											
The Respondent shall provide a resume(s) and/or certifications of individuals that will be service scholars, complete the Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, complete the Vendor Questionnaire and provide a minimum of three (3) verifiable references.					N	ot Scored					
TAB 3 – Evaluation Criteria	14 5.1	D 1//E C	F 1//0 C	F 1//40 G	D 1/// C	TOTAL I					
TAB 3 – Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments				
TAB 4 – Vendor's level of expertise & range of service	35	35	35	34	35	34.75					
Vendor is able to provide services specific to all regions listed in Attachment G of the RFQ (N POINTS 35)	IAX	35	35	34	35						
Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments				
TAB 5 – Vendor's ability to comply with RFQ	25	25	25	23	25	24.50					
Vendor has provided all required documentation to include resume(s), certification(s), Vendor Questionnaire, Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, and a minimum of three (3) verifiable references (Max 13 pts.).		13	13	12	13						
Vendor is able to compy with all "Statement fo Qualifications Submission Requirements" within the RFQ (Max 12 pts.).	n	12	12	11	12						
Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments				
TAB 6 – Vendor's ability t o provide in-person services	15	15	15	14	15	14.75					
Vendor is able to provide in-person services for the disciplines specified within the RFQ (MAX POINTS)	(15	15	15	14	15						
Evaluation Criteria 4	May Points	Eval #7 Sagra	Eval #9 Saara	Eval #18 Saara	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments				
			Eval #8 Score	Eval#16 Score			Evaluator Comments				
TAB 7 – Vendor's ability to provide own computers, testing kits, and equipment Vendor is able to provide their own computers, testing kits, and equipment for services request within the RFQ (MAX 15 POINTS)	ed 15	15	15	6	15 15	12.75					
Evaluation Criteria 5	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments				
TAB 8 –Vendor's reputation and quality of services	10	3	3	3	3	3.00					
Vendor has provided a minimum of three (3) verifiable references (Max 3 pts.). References have verified vendor is capable of providing exceptional service (Max 7 pts).		3	3	3	3						
GRAND TOTAL SCORE	100	93	93	80	93	89.75					



DEO #C CDED 0722 Last and a Data of Care (LOUIGIANA)											
RFQ #6-SPED-0723 Instructional & Related Services (LOUISIANA)											
STEP ONE - STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION							The overall maximum possible score is 100				
TAD 1 TWI. D											
TAB 1 Title Page Respondents must complete the Title Page and include it as the cover sheet for proposals submitted											
in response to this RFP.					N	ot Scored					
TAB 2 Preface/Table of Contents											
The Respondent shall provide a resume(s) and/or certifications of individuals that will be servicing	· 1										
scholars, complete the Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, complete the Vendor Questionnaire,					N	ot Scored					
and provide a minimum of three (3) verifiable references.											
TAB 3 – Evaluation Criteria											
TAB 3 – Evaluation Criteria 1	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments				
TAB 4 – Vendor's level of expertise & range of service	35	35	35	30	35	33.75					
Vendor is able to provide services specific to all regions listed in Attachment G of the RFQ (MAX		35	35	30	35						
POINTS 35)		33	33	30	33						
Evaluation Criteria 2	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments				
TAB 5 – Vendor's ability to comply with RFQ	25	25	25	23	25	24.50					
Vendor has provided all required documentation to include resume(s), certification(s), Vendor											
1 Questionnaire, Geographic Coverage Questionnaire, and a minimum of three (3) verifiable		13	13	12	13						
references (Max 13 pts.).	_										
Vendor is able to compy with all "Statement fo Qualifications Submission Requirements" within		12	12	11	12						
the RFQ (Max 12 pts.).											
Evaluation Criteria 3	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments				
TAB 6 – Vendor's ability t o provide in-person services	15	15	15	14	15	14.75					
Vendor is able to provide in-person services for the disciplines specified within the RFQ (MAX 15		15	15	14	15						
POINTS)	-										
	_										
Evaluation Criteria 4	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments				
TAB 7 – Vendor's ability to provide own computers, testing kits, and equipment	15	15	15	15	15	15.00					
Vendor is able to provide their own computers, testing kits, and equipment for services requested		15	15	15	15						
within the RFQ (MAX 15 POINTS)	-										
Evaluation Criteria 5	Max Points	Eval #7 Score	Eval #8 Score	Eval #18 Score	Eval #11 Score	TOTAL	Evaluator Comments				
TAB 8 –Vendor's reputation and quality of services	10	3	3	3	3	3.00					
Vendor has provided a minimum of three (3) verifiable references (Max 3 pts.).		3	3	3	3						
References have verified vendor is capable of providing exceptional service (Max 7 pts).											
GRAND TOTAL SCORE	100	93	93	85	93	91.00					
GIVILD TOTAL SCORE	100			0.5	/3	71.00					



Evaluatio	on Criteria 1						
TAB 4 – Cost Summary		Vendor 1- Adelphi Medical	Vendor 2- Gifted Healthcare	Vendor 3-Health Pro Pediatrics	Vendor 4-Maxim Healthcare Staffing	Vendor 5- NOVO Staffing	Vendor 6- Stepping Stones
1	Overall Cost (Max 17 Points)						
2	Cost within Budget (Max 17 Points)	35.00	33.75	33.75	34.75	34.75	33.75
3	Proposed Cost Within Market Value (Max 17 Points)						
Evaluatio	on Criteria 2						
TAB 5 – Fiduciary Compliance & Res							
1	Fiduciary Compliance and Responsibility: IDEA is seeking a partner who will serve as a steward of the budget that it dedicates toward student enrollment, staff recruitment, and brand recognition. On a quarterly basis, the degree to which the Vendor completes services as contracted pays for requested services in compliance with state and federal requirements, and stays within the budget and Cost Per Student Enrolled (CPSE) metrics will be evaluated. The Vendor will provide this report to the district and the district's Business Office will provide information on any potential non-compliance. (Max 7 points)	23.75	24.50	24.50	24.50	24.50	24.50
2	Cost Per Student Enrolled Metrics Data (Max 6 Points)						
Fyaluatio	on Criteria 3						
TAB 6 – Student Enrollment							
1	Student Enrollment: The district is on track to meet its application targets in every school and region at the agreed-upon CPSE. The district will collect this data and provide it to the vendor with consideration for growth and the degree of support provided to a given region or type of campaign. (Max 5 Points)	15.00	14.75	14.75	14.75	14.75	14.75
2	Ability to Collect Data (Max 4 Points)						
5 E14*	Growth Ideas (Max 4 Points)						
TAB 7 – Staff Recruitment	on Criteria 4						
1 2	Staff Recruitment: The district is on track to meet its staff recruitment goals. The district will collect this data and provide it to the vendor with consideration for growth and the degree of support provided to a given region or type of campaign. (Max 7 Points) Ability to Track Goals (Max 6 Points)	15.00	15.00	14.75	1.50	12.75	15.00
Evaluatio	on Criteria 5						
TAB 8 – Business Partnership							
2	Business Partnership: Positive and transparent business partnerships are critical components of the culture of our organization and to the integration of an external firm into the IDEA team. As such, IDEA will hold regular documented business partnership meetings with national and regional personnel as well as district leadership. The district will review these meetings minutes on a quarterly basis and will measure the degree to which the vendor is meeting expectations. (Max 5 Points) Proposed Meeting Schedule (Max 5 Points)	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
GRAND TOTAL SCORE							
		91.75	91.00	90.75	78.50	89.75	91.00