



Solicitation No.: 43-HTS-0824
 Solicitation Title: Houston Tutoring Services

Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Criteria (Refer to Scoring Guide)	Weight [W]	Above & Beyond Learning (Total Average)	Axiom Learning (Total Average)	Civil Society (Total Average)	Education Management Group, LLC (Total Average)	Hey Tutor (Total Average)	Huntington Learning Center (Total Average)	Kelly Education (Total Average)	Learn Academy (Total Average)	Student Nest (Total Average)	Tutor Me LA LLC (Total Average)	University Instructors (Total Average)	Van Robotics Inc (Total Average)
Evaluation Criteria 1 Cost Proposal	Price and total long-term cost to IDEA to acquire goods and/or services. The following formula will be used to determine cost points for scoring when applicable: Formula: (Lowest Proposed Price / Proposed Price) x Assigned Points	40%	11.58	7.48	15.63	14.21	11.94	5.14	17.61	9.21	11.67	12.02	10.15	40.00
Evaluation Criteria 2 The extent to which the goods or services meet IDEA's needs	Respondent's proposal for providing services and/or deliverables addresses the specifications and requirements for the project being procured, including the quality of respondent's services and/or deliverables and the extent to which said services and/or deliverables meet the school's needs. Respondent's principal place of business as related to ability to perform requirements of this RFP.	25%	17.67	15.33	19.00	15.33	17.83	15.33	20.17	15.67	15.17	17.50	14.50	17.58
Evaluation Criteria 3 Reputation of the Vendor	Respondent's reputation of goods and/or services and their past relationship with other customers including Texas public Schools. (Attachment 1 - Reference Sheet)	15%	10.92	7.17	11.17	7.33	7.83	9.17	10.83	9.33	9.83	10.17	8.83	8.50
Evaluation Criteria 4 Testing Results	Respondent demonstrates previous testing results. The vendor provides comprehensive, independently verified data showing a significant increase in student testing scores across multiple schools or districts. The data includes before-and-after comparisons. (Attachment N - Testing Results)	15%	10.08	9.50	10.42	7.50	10.00	8.67	8.00	9.17	10.33	7.83	10.00	9.42
Evaluation Criteria 5 Contract Terms	Respondent has valid references for projects similar to the scope of this RFP as well as an extensive client base.	5%	3.33	3.17	4.00	2.67	3.33	3.00	3.33	3.17	3.00	3.17	3.17	3.50
100%														
Grand Total Score			53.58	42.65	60.21	47.04	50.94	41.31	59.94	46.54	50.00	50.69	46.65	79.00

Notes

As stated on Part II: Scope and specifications of the proposal on page 4 and 5 of RFP 43-HTS-0824 all 6 campus locations are to be serviced. Also on part IV- Evaluation Criteria it states that if one vendor cannot meet all of the requirements outlined in this document, the award may be divided among several qualified vendors and the proposals shall be evaluated on criteria deemed to be in IDEA's best interest. The highest scoring vendor Van Robotics Inc cannot fulfill this requirement so then the second highest point vendor, Civil Society, will be awarded.