**IDEA Public Schools** 

## Yukon Academy

## 2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



## **Mission Statement**

IDEA Public Schools prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

# Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

## **Core Values**

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

•	We achieve Academic Excellence
•	We deliver <b>Results</b>
•	We ensure <b>Equity</b>
•	We build <b>Team &amp; Family</b>
•	We act with <b>Integrity</b>
•	We bring <b>Joy</b>
•	We Sweat the Small Stuff

## **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	9
Priority Problem Statements	10
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	11
Goals	12
Goal 1: All IDEA students matriculate to college	12
Goal 2: IDEA achieves an A Rating	13
Goal 3: Increase student persistence	19
Goal 4: Increase student daily attendance	22
Goal 5: Increase staff retention	24
Goal 6: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)	26
Campus Funding Summary	27

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

### **Demographics**

**Demographics Summary** 

Demographics:

•	Number of students by grade level		
	1. KG: 117		
	2. 1 <sup>st</sup> : 123		
	3. 2 <sup>nd</sup> : 115		
	4. 3 <sup>rd</sup> : 120		
•	Ethnic Distribution		
	1. Hispanic – Latino: 328		
	2. American Indian – Alaskan Nati	ve:	1
	3. Asian:		12
	4. Black – African American:		37
	5. Native Hawaiian – Pacific Island	ler:	2
	6. White:		94
	7. Two $-$ or $-$ More:		1
•	Sex		
	1. Female: 237		
	2. Male: 238		
•	Socioeconomic Status		
	1. Economic Disadvantage:	271	
	2. Free Meals:	163	
	3. Reduced – Price Meals	23	
	4. Other Economic Disadvantage:	85	
•	504: 1		
•	Foster Care: 0		
	TT 1 0		

- Homeless: 0
- Immigrants: 6
- Migrant: 0
- Title 1: 475
- Dyslexia: 4
- Military Connected: 0

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Our At-Risk student population currently is 40% which gives us the opportunity to support scholars that more likely would not graduate from HS.

#### Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Currently 57% of our population is economically disadvantaged, which is below the district average **Root Cause:** Geographically, economically disadvantaged families leave in the west side of town which is far from our campus. Families do not have transportation to bring scholars to this side of town.

Problem Statement 2: 2nd grade had the lowest enrollment out of all grade levels. Root Cause: The transition from team teaching to departmentalized can cause communication problems.

### **Student Learning**

**Student Learning Summary** 

Math Mock	March 2023		
	Overall	Sped	EB
90%	84%	70%	100%
60%	46%	40%	63%
30%	16%	10%	50%

ELAR Mock	Feb 2023		
	Overall	Sped	EB
90%	49%	33%	45%
60%	14%	11%	29%
30%	5%	0%	0%

DI Data as of May 18, 2023			
Kinder	First	Second	Overall
72%	64%	64%	67%

AR Data	Word Masters	Royal Readers
First	100%	
Second	100%	
Third	47%	10%
Overall	82%	10%

CSI

32 scholars in CSI in 3rd grade, at the last benchmark 14 met their goal on RenStar.

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

Increase in Math score from Semester Exam (December 2022) data to Mock STARR (March 2023) data.

Emergent Bilinguals are hitting the 90/60/30 in Math Mock STAAR (March 2023) data.

Strong internal data tracking and progress monitoring happening in 3rd grade.

100% of First and Second scholars were Word Masters.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** Students struggle with reading, writing and thinking skills that enable them to perform at or above grade level in Reading. **Root Cause:** The campus needs to build a love of reading culture across campus to help build the skills to increase reading, writing and thinking,

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Sped, CSI or struggling scholars are not meeting the benchmark 90/60/30 on any of the major assessments. Root Cause: Rolling out differentiated strategies to work with struggling scholar did not happen until March.

### **School Processes & Programs**

#### School Processes & Programs Summary

IDEA Yukon Academy is focused on teachers receiving coaching frequently so that all scholars receive a highly rigorous education. All leaders have weekly check-in with teachers aligned with weekly coaching conversations. Currently, our teachers use the teacher career pathway to determine what level teacher they are which is linked to performance pay. 50% of teachers that work at IDEA Yukon Academy are a level 3 or 4 teacher which is considered high performing or advanced teacher. Teachers are rated frequently on our GET (guideposts for excellent teaching) rubric. Our school is averaging at least proficient, a rating of 3, on all guideposts.

#### School Processes & Programs Strengths

A majority of our second year teachers are high performing teachers. All teachers scored, on average, higher than 3 on the guideposts for excellent teacher (GET) rubric.

#### Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Teacher development lacks differentiation amongst staff. Root Cause: Limited leaders were available to present deliberate practice and there was not a set scope and sequence.

Problem Statement 2: Coaching to mastery was not implemented across the school. Root Cause: There was a lack of tracking of teacher skills to hold teachers accountable.

### Perceptions

#### **Perceptions Summary**

Conflict is reduced by scheduling peer mediations with scholars who have displayed peer conflict with others. Peer mediation is an optional intervention facilitated by the counselor for scholars to practice interpersonal communication skills to discussing the issue. Counselor will teach and model effective listening and communication skills for scholars. School climate is scoring at a 3.2/5 on our spring panorama survey from a total of 99 survey takers. The item that scored the lowest was the question, "At your school, how much does the behavior of other students hurt or help your learning", with 21% indicating others' behavior hurts their learning a tremendous amount. Parent/guardian/community participation is measured by taking attendance at family events, looking at views on social media posts and newsletters, responses on Remind. Barriers include Remind being synced from Powerschool and being difficult at times to navigate through several classes.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

I definitely feel pride in my work and that I am making a difference when I see scholar celebrations and joy. I also appreciate hearing scholars talking about their own goals and investing in their own tracking. My leadership team is one that I can count on for support in big and small tasks; our principal models support of big and small tasks and that trickles down to all of us. I feel connected to some staff which keeps me energetic about coming to work. Working at IDEA has caused me to develop new skills and leadership practice.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Scholar behavior impunes the classroom environment, which hurts our staff retention and scholar learning. **Root Cause:** There is a lack of investment in proactive measures to build and strengthen social-emotional skills in order to movtivate positive scholar behavior.

**Problem Statement 2:** Teachers are easily frustrated by behaviors in the classroom. **Root Cause:** Staff use available resources as a reactive measure, then become discouraged when it doesn't fix, rather than building the skills from the beginning.

**Problem Statement 3:** There is a lack of fidelity to our social emotional curriculum. **Root Cause:** Our social-emotional curriculum use often competes with morning duties such as breakfast, checking planners, arrival, etc. Teachers have gotten much better at showing content but do not actively engage with the program; perhaps from lack of investment and doubt that the curriculum is effective.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

Problem Statement 1: Teacher development lacks differentiation amongst staff.

Root Cause 1: Limited leaders were available to present deliberate practice and there was not a set scope and sequence.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: Currently 57% of our population is economically disadvantaged, which is below the district average

Root Cause 2: Geographically, economically disadvantaged families leave in the west side of town which is far from our campus. Families do not have transportation to bring scholars to this side of town.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: Sped, CSI or struggling scholars are not meeting the benchmark 90/60/30 on any of the major assessments.Root Cause 3: Rolling out differentiated strategies to work with struggling scholar did not happen until March.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Scholar behavior impunes the classroom environment, which hurts our staff retention and scholar learning.Root Cause 4: There is a lack of investment in proactive measures to build and strengthen social-emotional skills in order to movtivate positive scholar behavior.Problem Statement 4 Areas: Perceptions

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

#### **Accountability Data**

• Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Attendance data
- Discipline records

#### **Employee Data**

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus leadership data

#### Parent/Community Data

• Parent surveys and/or other feedback

#### Support Systems and Other Data

• Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation

## Goals

#### **Goal 1:** All IDEA students matriculate to college

Performance Objective 1: By May 2023, all scholars K-4 will grow an average of 1.5 years in both reading and math using RenStar data results

**High Priority** 

**Evaluation Data Sources:** RenStar

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
<ul> <li>Strategy 1: Conduct a bi-monthly OCS for RenStar results and implement new strategies for the following two months</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase on or above grade level performance</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: API</li> <li>Problem Statements: Student Learning 2</li> </ul>		Formative		
		Jan	Mar	June
		35%	5%	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discontinue			

#### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

 Student Learning

 Problem Statement 2: Sped, CSI or struggling scholars are not meeting the benchmark 90/60/30 on any of the major assessments. Root Cause: Rolling out differentiated strategies to work with struggling scholar did not happen until March.

**Performance Objective 1:** IA: Third-grade students performing at or above grade level in reading as measured by the Meets Grade Level Standard on STAAR will increase from 46% to 48% in Spring 2024 (HB3)

**High Priority** 

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Semester Exam, Mock STAAR Exam, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Strategy 1 Details Reviews		iews	
Strategy 1: 3rd grade ELA teacher will begin lesson rehearsals in semester 1	Formative Su		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase ELA student achievement scores	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: API         Title I:         2.5         - TEA Priorities:         Build a foundation of reading and math         Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1         Funding Sources: Library Books - Title I, Part A (4120) - \$1,000	30%	40%	25%	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

School Processes & Programs
Problem Statement 1: Teacher development lacks differentiation amongst staff. Root Cause: Limited leaders were available to present deliberate practice and there was not a set scope and sequence.

**Performance Objective 2:** IA: Third-grade students performing at or above grade level in math as measured by the Meets Grade Level Standard on STAAR will increase from 35% to 37% in Spring 2024 (HB3)

**High Priority** 

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Semester Exams, Mock STAAR, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Use revised GET rubric to provide systematic feedback to develop strong lesson planning	rategy 1: Use revised GET rubric to provide systematic feedback to develop strong lesson planning Format			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase STAAR math scores	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: API         Title I:         2.6         - TEA Priorities:         Build a foundation of reading and math	10%	25%		
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### Performance Objective 3: 42% of students taking TELPAS assessments will maintain or increase a proficiency level. ((TEC 11.253(d)(2))

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Mock TELPAS, TELPAS

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Begin TELPAS/EB tutorials in November after Mock TELPAS results	<b>Formative</b>		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase TELPAS scores		Jan	Mar	June
<ul> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: API</li> <li>Title I:</li> <li>2.6</li> <li>Funding Sources: Tutorial Materials - Title I, Part A (4120) - \$340.56</li> </ul>	N/A	20%	N/A	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	Discontinue		

### Performance Objective 4: 100% of identified scholars meet required minutes per House Bill 4545 (HB4545)

Evaluation Data Sources: HB4545 Tracking, Powerschool

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Data minutes are updated monthly by testing coordinator	Formative		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase STAAR scores	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Testing Coordinator         TEA Priorities:         Build a foundation of reading and math	15%	20%			
Image: No Progress     Image: No Progress     Image: Continue/Modify	Discontinue				

### Performance Objective 5: 60% of SPED Students attain approaches in STAAR by June 2024 (TEC 11.253(d)(2))

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Mock STAAR, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement daily accommodation walkthroughs for all SpEd scholars		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase SpEd scores on STAAR	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: SpEd Content Lead         Title I:         2.6         - TEA Priorities:         Build a foundation of reading and math	5%	20%	N/A	
Image: No Progress     Image: No Progress     Image: Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue		

#### Performance Objective 6: School achieves 90/60/30 in approaches/meets/masters as measured by the STAAR testing

Evaluation Data Sources: Mock STAAR, Semester Exam, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Track each student's performance in domains 1-3 using the Locus dashboard and respond to data appropriately.	1-3 using the Locus dashboard and respond to data appropriately. <b>For</b>		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve 90/60/30 score	Oct Jan Mar			June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal         Title I:         2.4         - TEA Priorities:         Build a foundation of reading and math	5%	25%	10%		
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	·	·	

**Performance Objective 1:** IDEA Yukon Academy will receive a score of proficient or higher on the campus safety scorecard for the 2023- 24 school year (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

Evaluation Data Sources: Scorecard data, safety walkthrough data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement monthly CCT (campus crisis team) meetings		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase scholar persistence	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO	10%	20%	5%	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Performance Objective 2:** IDEA Yukon Academy will be in compliance with the Title 1 Family Engagement requirements through the following events: Meet the Teacher, Public Hearing, Spring Town Hall and Semester 1 Report Card Pick Up. (TEC 11.253(d)(9))

#### **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: School counselor data, parent survey data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Timely communication to families regarding school events to promote family engagement and attendance.	Formative			Summative
(TEC 11.253(d)(9))	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase scholar persistence Staff Responsible for Monitoring: School Counselor	10% 25% N/A			
Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished     Image: Continue/Modify	X Discontinue			

**Performance Objective 3:** IDEA Yukon will complete the Anti-Bullying and Safer, Smarter School curriculum by deadline indicated on the district calendar. (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

Evaluation Data Sources: school counselor data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Partner with school counselor to create a schedule for all lessons to be completed		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase scholar persistence	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: school counselor Title I: 2.5	10%	25%	10%	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### Performance Objective 1: IA: 100% of students from 2nd thru 5th Grade meet their MVPA minutes goal of X. (TEC 11.253(d)(10))

Evaluation Data Sources: MVPA tracker

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Review minutes during weekly check-in		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase attendance	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: API Title I: 2.5	20%	30%	20%	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### Performance Objective 2: IDEA Yukon Academy will achieve a 96% annual attendance rate for the 23-24 school year

**High Priority** 

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Daily attendance tracker

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Fidelity to ADA plan (matrix and celebrations)		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase student attendance	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO	15%	40%	N/A	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Performance Objective 1:** 100% of full-time staff members complete all tasks within IDEA's staff development cycle including: goal setting, 2x2 and annual performance reviews during the 2023-24 school year.

#### **High Priority**

**Evaluation Data Sources:** progress tracking spreadsheets

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Managers provide feedback and professional development/coaching for each staff member through each SDC		Summative		
cycle.	Oct Jan		Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase staff retention         Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrative Assistant         TEA Priorities:         Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals	20%	40%	15%	
Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished     Image: Continue/Modify	X Discontinue			1

Performance Objective 2: IDEA Yukon Academy is 100% staffed for all teacher positions throughout the 2023-24 school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Staff retention tracker

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Recruit and hire high quality candidates per role by using high quality resume criteria	Formative S			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase staff retention	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: principal         TEA Priorities:         Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals	10%	40%		
Image: Moment of the second	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 6: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)

# **Campus Funding Summary**

Title I, Part A (4120)						
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
2	1	1	Library Books		\$1,000.00	
2	3	1	Tutorial Materials		\$340.56	
				Sub-Total	\$1,340.56	
			Bu	dgeted Fund Source Amount	\$1,340.56	
				+/- Difference	\$0.00	
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$1,340.56	
				Grand Total Spent	\$1,340.56	
				+/- Difference	\$0.00	