**IDEA Public Schools** 

San Benito College Prep

2023-2024 Improvement Plan



Public Presentation Date: August 31, 2023

# **Mission Statement**

IDEA Public Schools prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

IDEA College Prep San Benito will cultivate a powerful culture of achievement where all scholars are engaged in collegiate, rigorous learning. We will equip our students with skills needed to excel, overcome and innovate! Through grit, resiliency and determination our scholars will forge their path to and through college and will transform their lives and communities. Our Mighty Dragons will set the world on FIRE!!

In order to make this vision a reality we will commit ourselves to the following pillars:

Foster a college identity within each scholar.

Ignite a strong culture of achievement.

Raise the roof and celebrate student success!

Enrich students' lives through profound learning experiences.

# Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

IDEA College Prep San Benito will cultivate a powerful culture of achievement where all scholars are engaged in collegiate, rigorous learning. We will equip our students with skills needed to excel, overcome and innovate! Through grit, resiliency and determination our scholars will forge their path to and through college and will transform their lives and communities. Our Mighty Dragons will set the world on FIRE!!

In order to make this vision a reality we will commit ourselves to the following pillars:

Foster a college identity within each scholar.

Ignite a strong culture of achievement.

Raise the roof and celebrate student success!

Enrich students' lives through profound learning experiences.

# **Core Values**

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

•	We achieve Academic Excellence
•	We deliver <b>Results</b>
•	We ensure <b>Equity</b>
•	We build <b>Team &amp; Family</b>
•	We act with <b>Integrity</b>
•	We bring <b>Joy</b>
•	We Sweat the Small Stuff

# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	5
Demographics	5
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	9
Priority Problem Statements	10
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	12
Goals	14
Goal 1: Increase staff retention	15
Goal 2: All IDEA students matriculate to college	18
Goal 3: IDEA achieves an A rating	22
Goal 4: Increase student daily attendance	30
Goal 5: Increase student persistence	31
Title I Personnel	35
Campus Funding Summary	36
Addendums	37

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

Revised/Approved: August 31, 2023

# Demographics

#### **Demographics Summary**

Our campus serves students in San Benito, Harlingen and surrounding areas. 100% of our students graduated from high school in 2022 and 84% earned CCMR points. Below is our Demographic breakdown:

% Average Daily Attendance	95.6%
% Overall Persistence	96.26
% New Student Persistence	
# of Admin Withdrawals/ Level 3 Offenses	0
% SPED	6%
% ELL	28.8%
% Eco Dis	78.90%
% Migrant	0.13%
% Race: American-Indian- Alaska-Native	0%
% Asian	0.91%
% White-Hispanic	95.15%
% Multi	0
% Black-African-American	0.26%

% Average Daily Attendance	95.6%
% Native-Hawaiian-Pacific- Islander	0
% White	3.65%
% Male	47.72%
% Female	52.28%

See PDF addendum for more information

#### **Demographics Strengths**

- We have met student persistence goal of 90% or higher for three years in a row
- Our ADA grew from 93% to 95.6% this school year
- We are fulfilling our mission by serving 80% economically disadvantaged students

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Students and parents don't feel safe at our campus Root Cause: Students and parents are unaware of our safety measures, systems and protocols

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Students leave our campus to attend traditional campus Root Cause: Students state that lack of clubs and activities are reasons they wish to attend traditional campuses with more offerings

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Our campus did not meet attendance target Root Cause: Lack of a consistent response to poor attendance

# **Student Learning**

#### **Student Learning Summary**

Our campus has not received official state results yet. Last year, we earned a 92 (an A rating from TEA). Student Achievement was 92, School Progress was 94 and Closing the Gaps was 88. We received distinctions in ELA, Math and Post Secondary Readiness. We also met our targets for TELPAS, Special Education and Economically Disadvantaged students. In addition, we earned the status of AR Honor Roll School and iLearning Honor Roll School. Areas for improvement are in math subpopulations for Domain 3 and AP Scholars.

As we prepared for the new STAAR/EOC exams, we identified gaps in writing across all grade levels. In addition, only 84% of our seniors were able to earn CCMR points.

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

- 100% graduation rates
- 100% matriculation
- On Track to graduate rate for 6-12 is 98%
- Mock data prediction: 89
- Strong data tracking systems for students and teachers
- Two full CTE programs available to scholars (Biomedical and Computer Science)
- Growth of EL students in TELPAS
- AR honor roll school
- iLearning honor roll school
- Overall student academic growth in math 6-8

#### Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Students struggle on writing tasks Root Cause: Our campus does not have a common writing strategy to help students respond to writing tasks across disciplines

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): The percent of seniors earning CCMR points has decreased over the past year. Root Cause: Our campus relied solely on TSI to earn these points

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Social Studies scores for students in 8th grade have not improved in the last three years. Root Cause: Our campus has not prioritized this course with appropriate curriculum, resources and coaching needed for growth and success.

## **School Processes & Programs**

#### School Processes & Programs Summary

Our campus systems have become stronger and more efficient over the past three years. Our API's manage consistent coaching loads of 10 to 12 teachers. Our professional development sessions have focused on TEKS instruction and intervention strategies for struggling learners. Culture was also an area of focus for our campus. Systems such as culture rounds, instructional rounds and team meetings have yielded positive results and have improved our systems and procedures. Two areas where we need to continue to grow is in ourCTE program and our EL support system.

#### School Processes & Programs Strengths

Curriculum & Instruction:

- At least one-third of IDEA students graduate with the "AP Scholar" designation, evidence of earning a qualifying score on at least three AP exams, demonstrating successful completion of college-level coursework prior to graduation.
- IDEA provides students access to accelerated instruction through the 1:1 technology device, including adaptive software for mathematics and literacy and access to hundreds of thousands of books in the district's digital library.
- IDEA curricular resources include high-quality instructional materials that earn the highest ratings on national evaluation measures.
- IDEA curriculum is regularly evaluated using a quality evaluation tool, ensuring alignment to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills and AP course standards, as well as the STAAR/EOC and AP exams.
- IDEA implements a variety of training opportunities for teachers and leadership staff with the goal of developing teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and leaders' coaching skills.
- For 15 years in a row, 100% of IDEA students have been accepted into college, demonstrating that IDEA academic programming is adequately preparing students for post-secondary education.
- In 2022, four IDEA schools were ranked in the top 10 Most Challenging Schools in the Nation and 13 were ranked in the top 1% of schools nationwide, by the Jay Mathews Challenge Index High School Rankings.

#### Special Programs

- IDEA exceeded the expected growth target for EL student performance on TELPAS in 2021 with 48% of ELs meeting that target.
- IDEA provide targeted research based instruction to students who have a 2.0 year gap in Reading and/or Math with the goal of closing that gap by 2.0 years during the school year with our initiative called Critical Student Intervention (CSI). During the 21-22 school year, 2,562 out of 6,632 students meet that goal for Reading and 703 out of 1,268 students met the goal for Math.
- IDEA is in Year 3 of our TEA awarded Autism Grant. Through this grant we were able to increase support for 195 students with autism in Rio Grande Valley and Austin who met the criteria outlined by TEA for this grant.
- IDEA submitted and was awarded a Dyslexia Grant by the TEA so that we will be able to provide increased professional development to teachers and leaders on working with students with dyslexia and increase the support resources for our students with dyslexia.

#### SEL/ Mental Health and Family Engagement

• IDEA has increased the number of licensed counselors and Social Workers by 50% over the past 2 years

- IDEA pre-pandemic ADA averaged 97.4%, district is committed to get back to that average
- IDEA reatins an average of 84% of students year over year
- IDEA parents rate IDEA as 4.8/5 on the statement "My school is preparing my child for college"
- IDEA parents rate IDEA as 4.8/5 on the statement "My school hold my child to high academic standards"
- IDEA students rate IDEA as 4.6/5 on the statement "My teachers challenge me to do my best"

IDEA's individualized coaching and development of its employees is a contributing factor in getting new employees to proficiency quickly and providing an internal pool for promotions.

Ongoing feedback, effective accountability mechanisms, and consistent follow through also provide a supportive environment where employees have clear expectations for performance.

IDEA is currently undertaking an initiative to redefine its employee value proposition (EVP) to better identify, promote, and implement recruitment and retention strategies that can provide the talent needed to be successful

#### Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): EL students did not meet the expected district growth target of 42% Root Cause: EL students need more practice with speaking skills

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Our CTE program only produced 3 Industry based certifications Root Cause: Students need hands on training and practice for these examinations

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Our students in Special Education are not making progress on their state and national assessments Root Cause: General education teachers need knowledge pf students' needs and training on accommodations

# Perceptions

#### **Perceptions Summary**

Our high school graduation rate is 100%, and for the past 10 years, IDEA College Prep San benito has matriculated 100% of our graduates to college. We met our student persistence goal of 90%, but our new student persistence rate is 80%. Families have shared that they leave our campus because students want a more traditional school experience such as sports, clubs and different career pathways.

Student and staff survey trends:

- Top responses: My teacher respects the students in class(4.6) & My teacher makes sure all students in class are successful (4.6)
- Lowest responses: I am excited to go to school (3.5), I have fun at school (3.7), & My classmates behave the way my teacher expects them too -.3 (4.2)

Great Places to Work

- Top response: My work is meaningful
- Lowest response: IDEA is an emotionally safe place to work

#### Staff Retention:

For the first time in 3 years, our staff turnover rate has dropped. We lost 2 teachers the first week of school, and 2 more the 2nd semester. In addition, teachers absenteism has increased.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

Great places to work strengths:

- 1. I have at least one close friend at work (90%)
- 2. My work is meaningful (89%)

Our staff members feel connected to one another, they operate as teams and they find their work meaningful.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): New student persistence was below 90% target: 80% Root Cause: Our campus did not have solid communication systems to engage with parents

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Our campus did not meet Great Places to Work target of 80 average. Root Cause: Staff stated that they did not feel that our district is an emotionally safe place to work

 Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): New staff members do not stay at our campus
 Root Cause: New staff do not receive the onboarding and support needed to feel invested at IDEA

 San Benito College Prep
 Campus #108807005

 Generated by Plan4Learning.com
 10 of 38

# **Priority Problem Statements**

Problem Statement 1: Students and parents don't feel safe at our campusRoot Cause 1: Students and parents are unaware of our safety measures, systems and protocolsProblem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

**Problem Statement 2**: Our campus did not meet attendance target **Root Cause 2**: Lack of a consistent response to poor attendance **Problem Statement 2 Areas**: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: The percent of seniors earning CCMR points has decreased over the past year.Root Cause 3: Our campus relied solely on TSI to earn these pointsProblem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: EL students did not meet the expected district growth target of 42%Root Cause 4: EL students need more practice with speaking skillsProblem Statement 4 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: Our CTE program only produced 3 Industry based certificationsRoot Cause 5: Students need hands on training and practice for these examinationsProblem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: New student persistence was below 90% target: 80%Root Cause 6: Our campus did not have solid communication systems to engage with parentsProblem Statement 6 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 7: Our campus did not meet Great Places to Work target of 80 average.Root Cause 7: Staff stated that they did not feel that our district is an emotionally safe place to workProblem Statement 7 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 8: Students leave our campus to attend traditional campusRoot Cause 8: Students state that lack of clubs and activities are reasons they wish to attend traditional campuses with more offeringsProblem Statement 8 Areas: Demographics

San Benito College Prep Generated by Plan4Learning.com Problem Statement 9: Students struggle on writing tasksRoot Cause 9: Our campus does not have a common writing strategy to help students respond to writing tasks across disciplinesProblem Statement 9 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 10: Social Studies scores for students in 8th grade have not improved in the last three years.Root Cause 10: Our campus has not prioritized this course with appropriate curriculum, resources and coaching needed for growth and success.Problem Statement 10 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 11: Our students in Special Education are not making progress on their state and national assessmentsRoot Cause 11: General education teachers need knowledge pf students' needs and training on accommodationsProblem Statement 11 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 12: New staff members do not stay at our campusRoot Cause 12: New staff do not receive the onboarding and support needed to feel invested at IDEAProblem Statement 12 Areas: Perceptions

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card and accountability data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Postsecondary college, career or military-ready graduates including enlisting in U. S. armed services, earning an industry based certification, earning an associate degree, graduating with completed IEP and workforce readiness
- Advanced Placement (AP) and/or International Baccalaureate (IB) assessment data
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant information
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Observation Survey results
- · Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- · Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant achievements by race, ethnicity,

gender, etc.

- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data
- Dual-credit and/or college prep course completion data
- STEM and/or STEAM data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

#### **Employee Data**

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data

#### **Parent/Community Data**

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate

#### Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- · Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Other additional data

# Goals

Goal 1: Increase staff retention

Performance Objective 1: Retain 85% of our campus staff by the end of the 2023-24 school year

**High Priority** 

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Evaluation sources: 2x2's, mid-year staff survey and GPTW survey

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Ensure manager and employee have a positive and supportive relationship		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers feel supported and emotionally safe	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
<ul> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: managers</li> <li>TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals</li> <li>- ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Perceptions 2</li> </ul>	30%			
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Provide consistent and clear communication regarding campus activities such as testing, events, changes to schedule, parent concerns and celebrations.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers know ahead of time what is going on at campus and feel empowered, hence a positive school climate Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Lead Team	Oct 30%	Jan	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Perceptions 2, 3				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Ensure all new staff receive positive onboarding and a mentor		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Retain our new staff members	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lead team and GLL's         TEA Priorities:         Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals         - ESF Levers:         Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture         Problem Statements: Perceptions 2, 3	60%			
No Progress Or Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

# Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Perceptions					
roblem Statement 2: Our campus did not meet Great Places to Work target of 80 average. Root Cause: Staff stated that they did not feel that our district is an emotionally safe lace to work					
roblem Statement 3: New staff members do not stay at our campus Root Cause: New staff do not receive the onboarding and support needed to feel invested at IDEA					

Performance Objective 2: Improve Great Places to Work survey results from a 74 average to an 80 average of all statements.

**High Priority** 

**Evaluation Data Sources:** GPTW survey data, 2x2's, pulse checks, check-ins

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teacher retention committee includes teachers' input		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will feel their opinion matters	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, school counselors and committee members	N/A			
<ul> <li>TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals</li> <li>- ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Perceptions 2, 3</li> </ul>				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Managers celebrate teachers through praise, shout outs and support		Formative		Summative
				-
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers and staff members feel supported and appreciated Staff Responsible for Monitoring: all managers	Oct	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Survey teachers and gather input on how to make our campus an emotionally safe place		Formative		
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Clear understanding of teachers' perspective</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lead team</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals</li> <li>- ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture</li> <li>Problem Statements: Perceptions 2</li> </ul>	Oct 40%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 4 Details		Rey	views	
<b>Strategy 4:</b> Principal will partner with Human Assets Team to recruit highly effective teachers through recruitment events and ongoing communication.		Formative		Summative
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus will attract, hire, onboard and retain highly effective teachers.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, lead team and Human Assets Partner</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals</li> <li>- ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> </ul>	Oct 65%	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Ow Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

Perceptions					
	em Statement 2: Our campus did not meet Great Places to Work target of 80 average. Root Cause: Staff stated that they did not feel that our district is an emotionally safe to work				
D11.	Best Commission of the sector				

Problem Statement 3: New staff members do not stay at our campus Root Cause: New staff do not receive the onboarding and support needed to feel invested at IDEA

Performance Objective 1: Improve parent communication regarding college acceptance and financial aid.

**High Priority** 

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Acceptance letters, awarded financial aid award letters, College orientation dates, college schedules

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Strengthen our financial aid support systems		Formative		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Families will feel confident and comfortable becasue their children will have financial means to attend college.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
<ul> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: College counselors and principal</li> <li>Title I:</li> <li>2.6, 4.1, 4.2</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Connect high school to career and college</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning</li> <li>Problem Statements: Perceptions 1</li> <li>Funding Sources: College Counselor - Federal Grant</li> </ul>	55%			
Strategy 2 Details		Re	views	
Strategy 2: Create a strong communication system for parents of seniors to keep them updated on college application and		Formative		Summative
acceptance process.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents will be knowledgeable and supportive throughout college application process.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: College counselors and principal</li> </ul>	55%			
Title I: 2.5, 2.6, 4.1, 4.2 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1	X Discon	tinue		

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: New student persistence was below 90% target: 80% Root Cause: Our campus did not have solid communication systems to engage with parents

Performance Objective 2: Increase ACT and TSI scores for class of 2024 which will lead to more college acceptances and more scholarships.

**High Priority** 

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Mock ACT scores and ACT scores

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Implement a stronger system to improve ACT scores in RTTC course using Mastery Prep.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Higher ACT scores will lead to students getting accepted to more T1/T2 colleges as well as more scholarships and aid.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: College counselors, ACT teacher and principal	40%			
Title I:				
2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Increase student practice time on ACT questions through rotations with content teachers during CCR (College		Formative		Summative
Career Readiness) period.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will practice and improve content skills needed to improve their ACT	<u> </u>	ł	ł	-

scores	N/A		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Content teachers, RTTC teachers and College Counselors			
<ul> <li>Title I:</li> <li>2.5, 2.6</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> </ul>			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 Funding Sources: College Counselor - Federal Grant			
$^{\circ\circ} \text{ No Progress} \qquad ^{\circ\circ\circ} \text{ Accomplished} \qquad  \text{ Continue/Modify}$	X Discon	tinue	

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning	
Problem Statement 2: The percent of seniors earning CCMR points has decreased over the past year. Root Cause: Our campus relied solely on TSI to earn these points	

### Performance Objective 1: Increase our STAAR Social Studies scores

**High Priority** 

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Interim assessments, Mock exams and STAAR/EOC scores

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 1: Identify students who failed STAAR reading and place them in appropriate intervention and track their		Formative		Summative	
progress.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Struggling students will have a solid instructional support system to close their academic gaps					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and API's	10%				
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 3					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	- i	
Strategy 2: Provide training and TEKS aligned instructional resources to teachers		Formative		Summative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Teachers will better prepare students for STAAR/EOC exams because students will have multiple opportunities to practice and demonstrate mastery of TEKS	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and API's					
Start Responsible for Monitoring. Teachers and Mins	30%				
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 3					
No Progress Complished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

#### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Students struggle on writing tasks **Root Cause**: Our campus does not have a common writing strategy to help students respond to writing tasks across disciplines

Problem Statement 3: Social Studies scores for students in 8th grade have not improved in the last three years. Root Cause: Our campus has not prioritized this course with appropriate curriculum, resources and coaching needed for growth and success.

Performance Objective 2: Increase STAAR performance and growth for all special population students in Reading and Math

**High Priority** 

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Interim assessment data, exit tickets, Mock exams and STAAR/EOC scores

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Track data for sub-populations and special education students to provide targeted interventions in domains 1-3		Formative		Summative
through the Locus dashboard.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students including sub-populations and special education students will increase scores				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and API's	25%			
<b>Title I:</b> 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1, 3				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Provide strategic tutoring for special education, EB students and HB4545 students to push from meets to masters in Reading and Math		Formative	1	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will improve their Reading and Math skills and will achieve higher	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
assessment scores				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and API's	25%			
Stan Responsible for Monitoring. Teachers and Arrs				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1, 3				
Funding Sources: Workbooks and study material for home use - Title I, Part A (4120) - PAR - \$3,057.07				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction <b>Problem Statements:</b> School Processes & Programs 1, 3				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Train parents on reading and math strategies that will help scholars practice skills at home	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents will be invested in their children's academic growth and development	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: API's and math and reading teachers	N/A			
Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 4 Details		Revi	iews	
Strategy 4 Details           Strategy 4: Students requiring accelerated learning support will receive the proper time and aligned resources to master		Revi Formative	iews	Summative
Strategy 4: Students requiring accelerated learning support will receive the proper time and aligned resources to master content.	Oct		iews Mar	Summative June
Strategy 4: Students requiring accelerated learning support will receive the proper time and aligned resources to master	Oct 25%	Formative		

## Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs					
Problem Statement 1: EL students did not meet the expected district growth target of 42% Root Cause: EL students need more practice with speaking skills					
Problem Statement 3: Our students in Special Education are not making progress on their state and national assessments Root Cause: General education teachers need knowledge pf students' needs and training on accommodations					

## Performance Objective 3: 50% of EL/EB students will make 1 level composite growth in TELPAS

**High Priority** 

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS Mock scores and TELPAS exam

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: EL/EB students will practice speaking skills during RTTC lessons, making speeches and recording themselves		Formative		Summative
prior to exam	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: EL/EB students will practice speaking and feel confident prior to TELPAS Staff Responsible for Monitoring: RTTC teachers, API's, and principal	25%			
<ul> <li>Title I:</li> <li>2.4, 2.6</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Build a foundation of reading and math</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> <li>Problem Statements: School Processes &amp; Programs 1</li> </ul>				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: EL/EB students will practice writing skills across curriculum and receive feedback to improve their writing		Formative		Summativ
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: EL/EB students will write well-developed, strongly organized essays in all content areas</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and API's</li> <li>Title I:</li> <li>2.4, 2.5, 2.6</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> <li>Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes &amp; Programs 1</li> </ul>	Oct 25%	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress ON Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

#### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Students struggle on writing tasks **Root Cause**: Our campus does not have a common writing strategy to help students respond to writing tasks across disciplines

## **School Processes & Programs**

Problem Statement 1: EL students did not meet the expected district growth target of 42% Root Cause: EL students need more practice with speaking skills

## Performance Objective 4: Increase percentage of students meeting CCMR requirement

### **High Priority**

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Increase number of AP courses offered so students pass at least 1 before graduation	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased number of students pass at least 1 AP exam which will qualify as a CCMR point	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AP Coordinator and College Counselors	65%			
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Connect high school to career and college				
- ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Provide TSI preparation for students and track their progress		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will pass TSI and earn a CCMR point	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: College Counselors	25%			
Title I:	25% -			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
	Formative Sumn			Summative
Strategy 3: Increase the number of students earning Industry Based Certifications (IBC's) through our CTE program				

<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Connect high school to career and college <b>Problem Statements:</b> Student Learning 2 - School Processes & Programs 2	35%		
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue	

**Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:** 

Student Learning				
Problem Statement 2: The percent of seniors earning CCMR points has decreased over the past year	Root Cause: Our campus relied solely on TSI to earn these points			
School Processes & Programs				
Problem Statement 2: Our CTE program only produced 3 Industry based certifications Root Cause	: Students need hands on training and practice for these examinations			

Performance Objective 1: Average daily attendance will increase from 93.7% to 97% for the 2022-23 school year

**High Priority** 

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Daily attendance and escalation matrix

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Daily call center team will contact absent students and document and track absences		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fewer students will be absent because parents will feel school cares about their child	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO, SIS and teachers	50%			
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 3				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Pro-active attendance incentives will encourage students to attend school daily		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved attendance rates	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO and Grade Level Leaders	30%			
ESF Levers:	50%			
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3				
No Progress ON Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue	<u> </u>	1

### Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

 Demographics

 Problem Statement 1: Students and parents don't feel safe at our campus
 Root Cause: Students and parents are unaware of our safety measures, systems and protocols

 Problem Statement 3: Our campus did not meet attendance target
 Root Cause: Lack of a consistent response to poor attendance

Performance Objective 1: Students will be engaged and invested in our campus

#### **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: Student withdrawals and exit ticket surveys

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Persistence Pow wow and mission list will be used to identify and intervene with persistence concerns.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students of concern will be flagged so that we can contact families	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: School counselor, APO and teachers         Title I:         2.6, 4.1, 4.2         - ESF Levers:         Lever 3: Positive School Culture         Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2	35%			
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 2: Increase opportunities for students to join clubs and activities		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will feel a sense of belonging and will persist at school	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
<ul> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Club sponsors and principal</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 3: Positive School Culture</li> </ul>	20%			
Problem Statements: Demographics 2				
No Progress Accomplished  Continue/Modify	X Discont		ł	1

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Students and parents don't feel safe at our campus Root Cause: Students and parents are unaware of our safety measures, systems and protocols

Problem Statement 2: Students leave our campus to attend traditional campus Root Cause: Students state that lack of clubs and activities are reasons they wish to attend traditional campuses with more offerings

### Performance Objective 2: Increase new student persistence from 80% to 90%

#### **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: Student withdrawals and exit ticket surveys

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Each new IDEA family will receive a phone call from their homeroom teacher welcoming them and sharing communication tools with them         Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: New families will feel connected and know who to call for assistance         Staff Responsible for Monitoring: School counselor and teachers		Formative		
		Jan	Mar	June
Title I:				
4.1, 4.2 - ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
<ul> <li>Strategy 2: Families will receive invitations and outreach to attend family engagement events from GLL's</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Families will feel welcome and informed</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: GLL's</li> <li>Problem Statements: Demographics 1</li> </ul>		Formative		
		Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Oscomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:** 

	Demographics
Problem Statement 1: Students and parents don't feel safe at our campus	Root Cause: Students and parents are unaware of our safety measures, systems and protocols

Performance Objective 3: Our school is a physically and emotionally safe place for students

#### **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: Students rate the statement "I feel safe at school" a 4.5 out of 5 on safe school survey

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students are engaged in daily Move this World activities.	Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students learning coping mechanisms to regulate their emotions		Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: School Counselor				
Title I:	25%			
2.6				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Students engage in monthly safety and security program training as well as required monthly safety drill practice		Formative		
		Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students feel safe at school and are prepared for incidents that may occur on		• • • •		
campus				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: all staff				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
				I
No Progress Ow Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

	Demographics
Problem Statement 1: Students and parents don't feel safe at our campus	Root Cause: Students and parents are unaware of our safety measures, systems and protocols

## Performance Objective 4: Students will participate in our campus coordinated health program

#### **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: Survey data, fitness gram exams and active minutes logged in PE classes

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement Healthy Kids Here nutrition guidelines	Formative Su		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will develop healthy eating habits		Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: school nurse				
ESF Levers:	35%			
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
<ul> <li>Strategy 2: Students will participate in sports and exercise in our PE and athletic programs to help them develop healthy physical activity habits</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will engage in physical activities and be active and healthy</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PE teachers, coaches and principal</li> </ul>		Formative		
		Jan	Mar	June
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2				
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	inue		

### **Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:**

Demographics
Problem Statement 1: Students and parents don't feel safe at our campus Root Cause: Students and parents are unaware of our safety measures, systems and protocols
Problem Statement 2: Students leave our campus to attend traditional campus Root Cause: Students state that lack of clubs and activities are reasons they wish to attend traditional campuses with more offerings

# **Title I Personnel**

Name	Position	Program	FTE
	RTTC I TEACHER 9TH GRADE		1
	SCHOOL COUNSELOR		1
	SR. COLLEGE COUNSELOR		1
	SR. DIRECTOR OF COLLEGE COUNSELING		1
Alyssondra Mesa	RTTC 1 Teacher	Title 1	1
Claudia Villalobos	College Counselor	Title I	1
Erika Rodriguez	Director of College Counseling	Title 1	1
Veronica Flores	College Counselor	Title !	1

# **Campus Funding Summary**

	Title I, Part A (4120)								
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code						
3	2	2	Workbooks and study material for home use	Workbooks and study material for home use PAR					
				Sub-Total	\$3,057.07				
			Bud	geted Fund Source Amount	\$3,057.07				
				+/- Difference	\$0.00				
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$3,057.07				
				Grand Total Spent	\$3,057.07				
				+/- Difference	\$0.00				

# Addendums

т			Reporting Checklist	PTG		100%	PTG	
	Data Source	Data Tabs	Guidance	Status	Principal Notes o	or Questions	VP Verification	Notes & Next Steps
ep	KEYS Tab (Tab 3)	Student Achievement Improvement Plan 2021-2022 School Year	is SAIP plan will require logging concrete initiatives put in place for student provement, as well as their associated timelines, resources, and responsible staff. ch initiative will be tracked mid-year and at the end of the year. Mid-year sessments should be completed by each campus between December 1, 2022 and later than January 31, 2023.				Action Required	
		Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 English Language Arts		Complete	Pending AP scores		Action Required	
	Locus Dashboards: CIS STARR, AP	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Math	These Pages should include the <u>percentage</u> of students who achieved each listed score for the 2021-2022 School Year.	Complete	Pending AP scores		Action Required	
	Campus IB Reports EOY Assessments	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Science	For each subject, list a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete	Pending AP scores		Action Required	
		Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Humanities		Complete	Pending AP scores		Action Required	
	State TELPAS Report	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 TELPAS	This data set will outline the <u>percentage</u> of students that earned proficiency in the areas of Listening, Speaking, Reading, and Writing, as indicated in the State TELPAS report. Llist a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete			Action Required	
	Locus Dashboards: ACT, AP, TSI Campus IB Reports Campus Matriculation Reports	100% College Matriculation 2021-2022 School Year	This page will only be applicable to campuses that had graduating classes in the Spring of 2022. List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete			Action Required	
	Locus Dashboard: Staff Rentention	Staff Quality, Retention, & Recruitment 2021-2022 School Year	Under the %SPED Data Point, this should relfect the <u>percentage</u> of SPED certified teachers, compared to the overall SPED staff. Under number of teacher applicants, note how many teachers were interviewed by campus leaders for positions during the 2021-2022 School Year. List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete			Action Required	
	Locus Dashboards: Student Persistence, Enrollment, ADA	School Culture & Climate 2021-2022 School Year	For the Withdrawals/Level 3 Offenses data point, list the <u>number</u> of withdrawals or offenses. All other data points should reflect <u>percentages</u> . List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete			Action Required	
с	Campus Academic Counselor Tracking Systems	2022 Family & Community Involvement	In addition to listing data percentages, list a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete			Action Required	

### 2021-22 Student Achievement Improvement Plan - College Prep

### **COLLEGE PREP**

	COLLEGE PREP							
APO	Initiatives	Needs Assess.	Special Pops.	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline Start/End	Resources: Human/ Material/Fiscal	Documentation	Formative Evaluation
1E	Identify students who failed STAAR/EOC and place them in appropriate intervention	s	ELL/S	API's	August 8-May 26	Training and implementation plan	GRPI and schedule/tracker	STAAR and STAR scores/growth
1A	Develop college identity in middle school through pro-active touchpoints with CC's	0	All	Casey Theivagt	August 8-May 26	CC ssope and sequence for MS	Attendance and surveys for sessions	HS transition attendance and persistence numbers
2B,C	Family engagement activities calendared, planned and communicated throughout school year	0	All	Janette Loftis	August 8-May 26	calendar, planning time, budget for decorations, awards and recpgnitions	Attendance and surveys for sessions	Persistence and attendance numbers
2B,C	SEL/CCR implementation during academic block, differentiated to meet students' needs	DR	All	Janette Loftis and Erika Rodriguez	August 8-May 26	CCR/SEL Scope and sequence and Move your World curriculum and training	Walk- through/coaching/obser vations	Attendance, persistence and discipline reports
2B	Clean up Powerschool data and implement REMIND as school's communication system	0	All	Jose Lopez	July 6-May 25	Registrar cleans up PowerSchool and BOY training on communication protocol	All grade teams using REMIND	Parent survey
2C	Meet the teacher and back to school kick-off to support persistence and enrollment	0	All	Carrie Sauceda	August 7,2020	GRPI created by lead team, clear roles, excellent communication and teacher engagement	GRPI with clear expectations	90% or higher attendance for Meet the teacher
1E	Early intervention with OTG concerns and academic accountability	0	All	Erika Rodriguez, GLL's and API's	August 8-May 26	OTG tracker, OTG task force	Grade reports and tactcal data deck	OTG quarterly reports and # of students being retained
2C	GRPI for student persistence	0	All	Janette Loftis	July 20, 2020- August 10, 2021	Clear, schoolwide strategies, purchase student incentives, celebrations and fun activities for students	GRPI and timeline	Persistence numbers, student and parent surveys
2B,C	Roll out and investment in Culture priorities	DR	All	Moriah Jones, Janette Loftis and Carla Pereira	25	BOY Culture Walk-through form and culture tenet training	BOY Culture Walk-thro	Teachboost ratings, culture ratings and discipline reports
1I	Content support for ACT prep	А	All	Erika Rodriguez	September 3- May 25	Training, ACT resources, data tracking	ACT prep GRPI	ACT scores increase
1B	Identify T1/T2 students early and differentiate for these students	0	All	Casey Theivagt	September 3- May 25	Training, messaging and investment strategies	Use scores to identify stu	
1E	Updated intervention plan to include students who did not pass STAAR in 2021	s	ELL/S	Carla Pereira	August 9-May 27	Training and implementation plan	GRPI and schedule/tracker	STAAR and STAR scores/growth

### 2021-22 Student Achievement Improvement Plan - College Prep

### COLLEGE PREP

				COLLEGE				
1D	AP Scholars GRPI and tracking	AP	All	Moriah Jones	July 11-May 27	Data and teacher/leader investment. Training for teachers	GRPI and schedule/tracker	AP scores and increase in AP Scholars
2A/1E	Training for TEKS aligned instruction	S	All	Carrie Sauceda	August 3-7 and ongoing	AST developed training or outside training	Walk- through/coaching/obser vations	Teachboost Ratings
1D	Training for AP teachers	AP	All	Moriah Jones	Summer 2022	Data and teacher/leader investment. Training for teachers	GRPI and schedule/tracker	AP scores and increase in AP Scholars
1C	Ensure all seniors have a track to earn CCMR points	0	All	College Counselors	August 8-May 26	TSI resources and industry certification options for seniors	Tracker , TSI resources, teacher accountability and boot camps	CCMR audit: 100% of seniors earn CCMR point
1E	Utilize GLL's and content leaders to assist with culture and instructiional priorities	0	All	API's	Summer 2022	Data and teacher/leader investment. Training for teachers	Monthly training and scheduled rounds	Discipline improves and scores and grades improve
1E	EL/EB students will practice speaking skills during RTTC lessons	0	ELL	API's		Recorders, teacher training and lesson plans incorporating speaking and listening practice	Observations	Mock data and TELPAS scores improve to 42% growth

Title I Q	ualifying <b>P</b>	rograms	Initiatives Status					
Int	ernal Use O	nly	Mid	Year	End o	f Year		
Supplemental	New Program			Increase/Decreas		Increase/Decreas		
Program (Y/N)		Budget Allocation		ed by X%	Outcome	ed by X%		
	ations only need to t are <u>BOTH</u> Supple		Use these columns	of the		he middle and end		
programs that	are <u>born</u> suppr				, year			

Title I Q	Title I Qualifying Programs			Initiatives Status				
Int	Internal Use Only			Year	<b>End of Year</b>			
Supplemental	New Program			Increase/Decreas		Increase/Decreas		
Program (Y/N)	(Y/N)	<b>Budget Allocation</b>	Outcome	ed by X%	Outcome	ed by X%		

#### **Annual Performance Objectives (APO)**

#### PRIORITY #1: Students Graduate College-Ready

1A. % of graduates who matriculate to a College or University: 100%

1B. % of graduates matriculate to a Tier I/II College or University:  $15\% \mid 25\%$ 

1C. % of Seniors accepted to a College or University: 100%

1D. % of Seniors named AP scholars | Earn IB diploma: 30% | 25%

1E. Earned State Rating: A

1F. % Basic | % Mastery | % Advanced on LEAP: 82% | 44% | 11%

1G. % Approaches | % Meets | % Masters on STAAR/EOC: 90% | 60% | 30%

1H. % Level 3 | % Level 4 | % Level 5 on FSA/FSAA: 70% | 40% | 20%

11. % of Pre-K-2nd Students End The Year On/Above Grade Level in Reading - Year 1 Campuses | Year 2 Campuses: 80% | 90%

1J. % of students in CSI achieve 2 years growth in Reading | Math (measured by Ren STAR) 50% | 60%

1K. Average ACT score: 21

1L. % of students graduating college in 4 | 6 years: 25% | 55%

#### Needs Assessment

S-STAAR D-DIBELS E-EOC A-ACT RR-Reading Renaissance ST-STAR for Math DR-Discipline Report AP-AP Tests O-Other

#### **Special Populations**

All AR-At Risk ELL-English Language Learners ED-Economically Disadvantaged M-Migrant SE-Special Education

Comprehensive Needs Assessment									
	COLLEGE PREP								
Data Source: CSI									
% Meeting CSI Goal	Reading CP CSI								
% CSI (EOY Ren.)	53%								
% of CSI Passing STAAR	29%								
% of SPED Passing STAAR	46/17/4 = 26								
			ata Source: STAA						
	STAAR Reading 6th	STAAR Reading 7th	STAAR Reading 8th	STAAR Writing 7th	Eastish LEOC	Eastish ILEOC			
					English I EOC	English II EOC			
% Approaches	76	87	88	n/a	72	82			
% Meets	50	62	71	n/a	54	67			
% Masters	25	45	50	n/a	13	11			
% Student Achievement Average	50	65	70	n/a	46	53			
		Data So	ource: AP/IB/E	lectives					
AP Scores	Pass AP Lit	Pass AP Lang	IB Scores	IB Group 1	% Royal Readers	% Word Master			
% Score 1	58%	69%	% Score 1-3	n/a	26%	73%			
% Score 2	22%	23%	% Score 4-5	n/a					
% Score 3+	21%	9%	% Score 6-7	n/a					
					RR Goal Met/Not Met	WM Goal Met/Not Met			
					Met	Met			

Reflections						
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need					
1. Implementation of the 5 steps to Reading success across the entire	1. Need a common writing strategy for ELA					
content						
2. Data tracking by student performance	2. Practice with computer based texts and					
	assessments					
3. Strategic tutoring and intervention groups	3. Training on TEKS and new testing format					

Comprehensive Needs Assessment - College Prep								
COLLEGE PREP								
	Data Source: STAAR							
	STAAR Math	STAAR Math	STAAR					
	6th	7th	Alg I					
% Approaches	71	70	94					
% Meets	44	39	65					
% Masters	16	23	47					
% Student Achievement Average	44	44	69					
		Data Sou	rce: AP/IB					
	Pass AP	Pass AP	Pass AP Prob.					
AP Scores	Calculus AB	Calculus CD	& Stats.	IB Scores	IB Group 5			
% Score 1	38%	n/a	100%	% Score 1-3	n/a			
% Score 2	23%	n/a	0	% Score 4-5	n/a			
% Score 3+	39%	n/a	0	% Score 6-7	n/a			

Refle	ections
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need
	1. Revamp 6th and 7th grade curriculum to include
STAAR scores (tutoring, intervention, groups)	direct instruction and additional practice
2.API provided direct content support for teachers	2. Align iLearning topics to 6th and 7th grade courses
(revamped Algebra instruction)	
3. Domain 3 groupings provided targeted interventions	3. Proper tools and practice with math tools
to push students from meets to masters	

	Comprehensive Needs Assessment							
	COLLEGE PREP							
	Data Source: Internal Assessments							
	6th Grade	7th Grade						
	EOY	EOY						
	Assessment	Assessment						
% Passing	86/59/35	93/81/64						
			Data Source	e: STAAR				
	STAAR 8th	STAAR						
	Science	Biology						
% Approaches	n/a	93						
% Meets	n/a	72						
% Masters	n/a	33						
% Student								
Achievement	n/a	66						
Average								
			Data Source	e: AP/IB				
			Pass AP					
	Pass AP	Pass AP	Physics	Pass AP				
AP Scores	Biology	Chemistry	(1, 2, & C)	Env. Science	<b>IB</b> Scores	IB Group 4		
% Score 1	68%	n/a	n/a	68%	% Score 1-3	n/a		
% Score 2	18%	n/a	n/a	18%	% Score 4-5	n/a		
% Score 3+	14%	n/a	n/a	14%	% Score 6-7	n/a		

Reflections							
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need						
1 Team is experienced with strong content knowledge and	1. Teachers need to conduct labs and teach lab reports						
pedagogy							
2. Strong record of results for AP Bio and Bio	2. More hands on activities						
3. Addition of AP Environmental Science	3. Chemistry continues to be a concern due to low results						

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP							
	Data Source: Internal Assessments						
	6th Grade EOY Assessment	7th Grade EOY Assessment					
% Passing	92/64/54	97/74/49	Data Sour	ce: STAAR	_	_	
Data Source: STAAR       STAAR 8th     EOC							
	US History	US History					
% Approaches	69	94					
% Meets	28	74					
% Masters	15	52					
% Student Achievement Average	37	73					
			Data Sour	ce: AP/IB			
AP Scores	Pass AP Human Geography	Pass AP World History	Pass AP US History	Pass AP Goverment	Pass AP Economics	IB Scores	IB Group 3
% Score 1	74%	54%	82%	83%	91%	% Score 1-3	n/a
% Score 2	15%	34%	16%	6%	4%	% Score 4-5	n/a
% Score 3+	11%	12%	2%	12%	4%	% Score 6-7	n/a

Reflections				
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need			
1. Humanities team (content leader and 11th US history) assisted with 8th grade social studies tutoring	1. Aligned curriculum for 8th grade			
2. Use of online platform for exit tickets and bi-weekly quizzes	2. Tracking individual students' progress			
3. Boot camps assisted with targeted practice on most tested TEKS	3. Common writing process for Huminities			

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP						
TELPAS Composite Rating (Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing)						
Listening Speaking Reading Writing levels						
% Beginning	1%	22%	3%	1%	40%	
% Intermediate	18%	38%	23%	10%		
% Advanced	40%	29%	25%	52%		
% Advanced High	43%	3%	41%	37%		

Reflection			
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need		
1. TELPAS Tuesday training for staff members	1. Students need practice speaking and respnding to questions outloud		
2. TELPAS tutoring groups durin CCR	2. Earlier identification of EL students and their accommodations		
3. Teacher understanding of TELPAS processes	3. Better tools (recorders, computers, microphones, anchor charts)		

	Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP					
			ge Matriculation			
Matriculation %	Matricuation % 4 year	Matriculation % 2 year	Tier 1/2 % Acceptances	Tier 1/2 % Matriculation		
100%	83%	17%	58%	28%		
Tier 1 % Matriculation	Tier 2 % Matriculation	Tier 3 % Matriculation	Tier 4 % Matriculation	Senior Class CCMR %	Senior Class TSI Completion %	
8%	20%	7%	65%	86%	81%	
	Campus Data					
Senior Class ACT Average	Junior Class ACT Average	Overall AP Scholars (3+ or more tests)	IB Medallion Scholars (Passing 3+ exams)	Overall % IB Diploma	Campus End of Year OTG	
17%	18%	16%	n/a	n/a	1%	

Reflections			
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need		
1 Early identification of T1/T2 students	1 Earlier, better established college going identity		
2 Strategic college list with stronger consideration of financial aid	2 Strategic groupings to ensure CCMR points are earned		
3 Open, frequent parent communication	3 Stronger content support to boost ACT scores		

Comprehensive Needs Assessment		
COLLEGE PREP		
Staff Quality, Recruitment, Retention		
	Percentage	
% School Lead Team Retention	100%	
% Instructional Support Retention	98%	
% Teacher Retention	95%	
% Campus Support Retention	94%	
% SPED Certified Teachers	100%	
% State/National Certified Teachers	53%	
% State Certified Leaders	50%	
% State/Board certified Counselors	1%	
Number of teacher applicants per 2020-21 school year	pending info. from HR	

Reflections			
Areas of Strength Areas of Need			
1 Teacher retention committee, and pro-active recognition and celebration of staff throughout school year	1. Ensure teachers need supplies needed to do their job		
2. Consistent coaching	2 Continue to work on areas identified in GPTW data: Respect and Credibility		
3. Most teachers have a friend and feel connected to campus	3 Consistent and clear communication regarding campus (testing, events, changes to schedule)		

### **IDEA San Benito**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment			
College Prep	-		
Data Source: School Culture	and Climate		
	Campus %		
% Average Daily Attendance	93.20%		
% Overall Persistence	96.29		
% New Student Persistence	92.73		
# of Admin Withdrawals/ Level 3 Offenses	2		
% SPED	5.24%		
% ELL	29.36%		
% Eco Dis	78.90%		
% Migrant	0.13%		
% Race: American-Indian- Alaska-Native	0.13%		
% Asian	0.39%		
% White-Hispanic	95.15%		
% Multi	0		
% Black-African-American	0.26%		
% Native-Hawaiian-Pacific- Islander	0		
% White	4.06%		
% Male	49.02%		
% Female	50.98%		

Data Source: School Culture and Climate			
Reflections			
Areas of Strength Areas of Need			
1. Attendance was not met, but was one of the highest in			
RGV	1. Poor onboarding led to new students and families leavings		
2. Eco Dis numbers increased due to strategic outreach to			
families	2. Lack of family engagement activities		
3. Better tracking of new students	3. Discipline incidents impacted culture and persistence		

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP			
Data Source: Family and Community Involvement			
Percentage			
% Families Attended WTI	88%		
% Families Attended Curriculum Night	n/a		
% Families Who Attended EOY Ceremonies	85%		
% Families who attended Fall Festival	n/a		
% Families who attended Winter Festival	n/a		
% Families who attended Spring Festival	n/a		

Reflections			
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need		
1. EOY evening awards and sports awards were very well attended	1. Need monthly family engagement events during the evening tailored to grade levels		
2. 8th grade HS preview night was well attended (92%)	2. Improve parent communication through REMIND, Facebook and parent newsletter		
3. Weekly positive parent calls improved communication between teachers and parents	3. Calendar events and invite staff members for planning purposes; set attendance expectations		