# IDEA Public Schools Parmer Park Academy 2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan

**Accountability Rating: Not Rated** 



Public Presentation Date: September 12, 2023

# **Mission Statement**

IDEA Public Schools will prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

# Parmer Park Academy Vision 2022-2023â€∢

At IDEA Parmer Park academy, our staff will work synergistically by instilling our panther values of pride, academic excellence, accountability and community. As a result, every single one of our students will leave our building as proud panthers, readily equipped with crucial skills to be agents of change and transformative leaders within their communities.

# Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

# **Core Values**

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

We achieve **Academic Excellence** 

We deliver **Results** 

We ensure **Equity** 

We build **Team & Family** 

We act with **Integrity** 

We bring **Joy** 

We Sweat the Small Stuff

# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	5
Demographics	5
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	8
Priority Problem Statements	9
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	13
Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating	13
Goal 2: Increase student persistence	19
Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance	22
Goal 4: Increase staff retention	25
Goal 5: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)	27
Campus Funding Summary	29

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

# **Demographics**

#### **Demographics Summary**

IDEA Parmer Park sets high expectations for every student and goes the extra mile to make sure every student realizes their own potential. Personalized learning, critical thinking skills, mastery of core subjects is our recipe for success. School was founded in 2019, we serve grade levels k-5, we currently have 714 students, 30% of our students are bilingual/ESL, 33.7% of our students are categorized at risk, our students are predominantly african american and hispanic, teachers have an average experience on 1.6 years. The majority of our staff are also African American and Hispanic. See PDF in adendum for more information.

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Teachers appreciated implementation of behavior matrix along with following due process of code of conduct

Teachers appreciated dojo communication, dojo platform and using dojo for incentives

Teachers appreciated comradery from their peers and consistent training on academics pedagogy and support from the lead team.

Parents appreciated immediate response and consistent communication from teachers

Parents appreciated additional tutoring opportunities offered for students

Parents appreciated the increased opportunities for community involvement

School moved consistently along the district in STAAR Assessments for 3rd Math, 3rd ELA, 5th ELA and 5th science

See PDF in addendum for more information.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Accountability for expectations was inconsistent for students, staff, and parents **Root Cause:** Mid year hires, onboarding gaps and staff vacancies lead to gaps in communication and investment from all stake holders, meet the teacher night did not provide clear opportunity for families to be reset on expectations

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** Lack of buy in for school incentive system Root Cause: Part of incentives were started later on in the year, lack of tangibility delayed student buy in

# **Student Learning**

#### **Student Learning Summary**

STAAR Performance 22 23Students grew especially in our focus 3rd grade. Stagnation occurred in 4th in general this year.

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

Students showed growth and completed all intervention requirements through the year. At risk/critical students generally grew the most but not enough to be on grade level

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** Excessive STAAR Prep Prevented student buy in and love for literacy **Root Cause:** Students engaged in consistent STAAR practice but not actual engagement with critical thinking skills with math curriculum and ELA curriculum. Teachers lacked skill in making curriculum transfer over to tangible test-prep support

**Problem Statement 2:** Budget cuts and delay in material access created a barrier for conducive learning environment Root Cause: Mid year move to teaching staff how to teach curriculum online and on paper with limited technology and paper supply created stress

**Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized):** 4th grade student achievement data was the lowest comparatively skills as mid year hires

# **School Processes & Programs**

#### **School Processes & Programs Summary**

CNA Low Inference DataTeachers received coaching and development, teacher content mastery was inconsistent through the year.

Teachers wanted more opportunities to collaborate with one another to learn from one another.

Teachers wanted strong communication operating systems and joy factors on campus.

Families requested more events on campus and field trips.

#### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

We enabled alot of systems last year and will use feedback to tighten systems for next year.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Internal Staff communication felt overwhelming Root Cause: Too many systems caused staff to miss important messaging, communication not concise enough made staff miss important messages

**Problem Statement 2:** Not all families were connected on dojo **Root Cause:** Lack of investment on dojo with some families caused tension between families and staff when they felt communication fell through

# **Perceptions**

#### **Perceptions Summary**

Instability and changes through the year created stress for staff.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

Lead team support and listening to feedback, involving staff in the decision making process

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Average of all Great Place to Work Survey statements was below 50% for IDEA Parmer Park employees for 22-23 school year Root Cause: Teachers expressed accountability with colleagues was inconsistent dependent on manager causing sentiments around inequity and contributing to low morale

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** Staff retention was below 85% for IDEA Parmer Park Employees for 22-23 school year **Root Cause:** Including staff in the decision making process did not occur until Semester 2

# **Priority Problem Statements**

**Problem Statement 1**: Accountability for expectations was inconsistent for students, staff, and parents

**Root Cause 1**: Mid year hires, onboarding gaps and staff vacancies lead to gaps in communication and investment from all stake holders, meet the teacher night did not provide clear opportunity for families to be reset on expectations

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

**Problem Statement 2**: Lack of buy in for school incentive system

Root Cause 2: Part of incentives were started later on in the year, lack of tangibility delayed student buy in

**Problem Statement 2 Areas**: Demographics

**Problem Statement 3**: 4th grade student achievement data was the lowest comparatively

Root Cause 3: Inconsistent staff, staffing gaps, novice teachers developing skills as mid year hires

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Internal Staff communication felt overwhelming

Root Cause 4: Too many systems caused staff to miss important messaging, communication not concise enough made staff miss important messages

**Problem Statement 4 Areas**: School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 5**: Average of all Great Place to Work Survey statements was below 50% for IDEA Parmer Park employees for 22-23 school year

Root Cause 5: Teachers expressed accountability with colleagues was inconsistent dependent on manager causing sentiments around inequity and contributing to low morale

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 6: Staff retention was below 85% for IDEA Parmer Park Employees for 22-23 school year

Root Cause 6: Including staff in the decision making process did not occur until Semester 2

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Perceptions

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card and accountability data
- Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) data
- Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- · State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- ASPIRE
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- · Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- · School safety data
- Enrollment trends

#### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- Equity data

# Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

#### **Support Systems and Other Data**

• Organizational structure data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
   Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
  Study of best practices
  Action research results

- Other additional data

# Goals

Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating

**Performance Objective 1:** IA: Third-grade students performing at or above grade level in reading as measured by the Meets Grade Level Standard on STAAR will increase from 49% to 51% in Spring 2024 (HB3)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: API's real time coach and tight loop coach with fidelity		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased teacher proficiency	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy - Results Driven Accountability	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Performance Objective 2:** IA: Third-grade students performing at or above grade level in math as measured by the Meets Grade Level Standard on STAAR will increase from 35% to 37% in Spring 2024. (HB3)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: APIS Real time coach and tight loop coach with fidelity		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: teacher proficiency around pedagogy and data driven instruction will improve	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy - Results Driven Accountability	85%	45%	N/A	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: IA & CP: X% of students taking TELPAS assessments will maintain or increase a proficiency level. ((TEC 11.253(d)(2))

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Tutoring after school		Formative		
Title I:	Oct	Oct Jan Mar		
2.4 - Targeted Support Strategy Funding Sources: Tutoring - Title I, Part A (4120) - PAR - \$5,000	100%	100%	N/A	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		1

Performance Objective 4: IA & CP: 100% of identified scholars meet required minutes per House Bill 4545 (HB4545)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide tutoring during and after school 3 times a week		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Individualized support for critical students	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5: IA & CP: X% of SPED Students attain approaches in STAAR by June 2024 (TEC 11.253(d)(2))

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students receive minutes from the beginning of the year		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: SPED students receive legally required minutes	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: instructional leaders and HQ SPED support  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Performance Objective 6:** IA & CP: School achieves 90/60/30 in approaches/meets/masters as measured by the STAAR testing Required Strategy: Track each students performance in domains 1-3 by using the Locus dashboard and respond to data appropriately (TEC 11.253(d)(3))

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Train teachers in best DDI practices		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: teachers use data to adjust instruction to improve student achievement outcomes	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

# Goal 2: Increase student persistence

**Performance Objective 1:** IA & CP: Campus receive a score of proficient or higher on the campus safety scorecard for the 2023- 24 school year (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Mantain fidelity to safety processes and operating mechanisms		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: ensure everyone is on the same page around safety	Oct	Oct Jan Mar		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals and APO  ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## Goal 2: Increase student persistence

**Performance Objective 2:** IA & CP: 100% of schools will be in compliance with the Title 1 Family Engagement requirements through the following events: Meet the Teacher, Public Hearing, Spring Town Hall and Semester 1 Report Card Pick Up. (TEC 11.253(d)(9))

Required Strategy: timely communication to families regarding school events to promote family engagement and attendance. (TEC 11.253(d)(9))

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Plan and advertise required events at least a month in advance		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strong attendance outcomes from families	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals APOS and SC  TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture	100%	35%	N/A	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

# Goal 2: Increase student persistence

**Performance Objective 3:** IA & CP: 100% of schools will complete the Anti-Bullying and Safer, Smarter School curriculum by deadline indicated on the district calendar. (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

Summative Evaluation: Exceeded Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Complete curriculum as part of onboarding students		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: students are well versed in curriculum before BOY	Oct	Oct Jan Mar		
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

# Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance

Performance Objective 1: IA: 100% of students from 2nd thru 5th Grade meet their MVPA minutes goal of X. (TEC 11.253(d)(10))

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: 100% of students have access to IHT working devices		Formative		
Title I:	Oct	Oct Jan Mar		
2.4  Funding Sources: IHT Monitors - Title I, Part A (4120) - PAR - \$2,000	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

# Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance

Performance Objective 2: IA & CP: IDEA XX will achieve a XX% annual attendance rate for the 23-24 school year

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Daily, Monthly and Weekly student engagement		Formative		
Title I:	Oct Jan Mar			June
4.2 - Targeted Support Strategy Funding Sources: Money for incentives and field trips - Title I, Part A (4120) - PAR - \$5,000	100%	35%	100%	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

# Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance

**Performance Objective 3:** CP: 100% of students from 6th-12th grade meet their MVPA minutes goal of X. (TEC 11.253(d)(10))

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Equipment works and is ready for usage		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: students work toward fitness goals every day	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: coaches  TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	85%	85%	N/A	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		

#### Goal 4: Increase staff retention

**Performance Objective 1:** IA & CP: 100% of full-time staff members complete all tasks within IDEA's staff development cycle including: goal setting, 2x2 and annual performance reviews during the 2023-24 school year.

Required Strategy: Managers provide feedback and professional development/coaching for each staff member through each SDC cycle.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Complete SDC together as a campus		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Everyone completes it accurately and receives support	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## Goal 4: Increase staff retention

**Performance Objective 2:** IA & CP: IDEA XX is XX% staffed for all teacher positions throughout the 2023-24 school year.

Required Strategy: recruit and hire high quality candidates per role by using high quality resume criteria

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide strong onboarding experience for new hires		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers feel supported and become invested in their development	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 5: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)

Performance Objective 1: IA & CP: IDEA Parmer Park is 100% enrolled by the second week of school for 2023-24 school year.

**High Priority** 

Evaluation Data Sources: Enrollment data

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Next Year's Recommendation: Include mandatory super recruitment days at the beginning of the school year, focus on rolling enrollment at BOY

Goal 5: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)

Performance Objective 2: IA & CP: IDEA Parmer Park is achieves 90% student persistence for the 2023-24 school year.

**High Priority** 

Evaluation Data Sources: Persistence data/powerschool data

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Next Year's Recommendation: ensure students who are onboarded receive strong experience and families are clear on transportation

# **Campus Funding Summary**

Title I, Part A (4120)					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	1	Tutoring	PAR	\$5,000.00
3	1	1	IHT Monitors	PAR	\$2,000.00
3	2	1	Money for incentives and field trips	PAR	\$5,000.00
•		•		Sub-To	tal \$12,000.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount			ınt \$2,903.72		
+/- Difference			-\$9,096.28		
Grand Total Budgeted			<b>ed</b> \$2,903.72		
				Grand Total Sp	ent \$12,000.00
				+/- Differer	-\$9,096.28