IDEA Public Schools Lake Houston College Prep 2023-2024 Improvement Plan



Public Presentation Date: August 24, 2023

Mission Statement

IDEA Public Schools prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

Core Values

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

•	We achieve Academic Excellence
•	We deliver Results
•	We ensure Equity
•	We build Team & Family
•	We act with Integrity
•	We bring Joy
•	We Sweat the Small Stuff

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	6
Perceptions	7
Priority Problem Statements	8
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	12
Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating	13
Goal 2: Increase student persistence	18
Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance	22
Goal 4: Increase staff retention	24
Goal 5: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)	26
Campus Funding Summary	28

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: September 14, 2023

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Lake Houston CP was founded in 2021-2022 and currently serves students in grades 6-8. For the 22-23 school year, we served 254 scholars in 6th and 7th grade, and 48% of our students are ELs. We are currently leading the region in ADA and district exams.

Demographics Strengths

- IDEA offers a competitive salary for teachers with experience.
- IDEA is achieving it's mission of college for all in serving a majority of economically disadvantaged students.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There is a need to ensure equitable interventions and disciplinary consequences for all students. **Root Cause:** There has not been a clear system to train/develop staff members on intervention techniques. Staff must increase cultural competency and awareness to lead equitable interventions and disciplinary consequences. Families have not been onboarded correctly.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): There is a need to expand mile-radius for transportation to get scholars to and from campus daily. **Root Cause:** Our campus is off a feeder road, and it is unsafe for scholars to get to and from campus. The set radius is 2 to 8 miles and stops are at a public business location.

Student Learning Student Learning Summary We are currently pending STAAR results; however, preliminary results show the following: Key: # of students that LDNP- likely did not pass, ZOU- zone of uncertainty, LP- likely passed See PDF in Addendum for more information. **Student Learning Strengths**

- Increase in all subjects compared to the 21-22 school year on all Mock and STAAR exams
- 100% of scholars took the ELA STAAR exam
- 99% of scholars took the Math STAAR exam
- EL and SpEd population tracking higher this year on Math exams 25%/56%

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The percent of likely passed (6th ELA & Math, 7th Math) is less than 60%. Root Cause: Inconsistency with coaching and side by side planning with Regional, Leader, and Teacher. Instruction is often lacking in rigor since feedback is inconsistent.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): To get an A, we must have most of our scholars meet their growth goal and our HB4545 scholars meet approaches on the STAAR exam. Root Cause: Growth goals were given to scholars late and inconsistent tracking from scholars. HB4545 interventions had minimal coaching and follow up to ensure scholars were mastering the TEKS.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

We develop our leaders through daily real time coaching, classroom management and culture walks, SPED ACC audits, and coaching academy. We also ensure roles and responsibilities are clear by ensuring calendars are informed by data based priorities and check-ins. We ensure our scholars have multiple opportunities to engage in extracurricular activities.

- 1 to 1 technology
- Robotics Club
- Flag football
- Volleyball
- Basketball
- Cross Country
- Soccer
- Cheer

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- IDEA parents rate IDEA as 4.5|5 on the statement, "Based on your overall experience this year at IDEA, do you plan on returning in August of 2022."
- IDEA parents rate IDEA as 4.65 on the statement, "The school prepares my child to respond effectively during an emergency."
- IDEA Lake Houston has retained 96.55% of our scholars as of 5/26/23.
- 6th grade EOY assembly: 81 parents in attendance

7th grade EOY assembly: 79 parents in attendance

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Our staff retention is an area for improvement across the region and at our campus. **Root Cause:** Staff onboarding has been inconsistent and clear expectations have not been set for all staff members. Leadership has been inconsistent with accountability.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Our family engagement strategy is not comprehensive and cohesive across all schools. **Root Cause:** Our district has not worked cross-functionally to define the family experience from recruitment to graduation, which has produced a disjointed approach to family engagement work, and lack of prioritized time for training with principals and leadership.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

We conduct quarterly surveys to staff, have regular check-ins to set a clear priority for the upcoming week and to build connection. Our regional values are Competence, Care, Connection, and Clarity.

In addition, we have SEL/ Mental Health services provided by our School Counselor and Family Engagement Events.

Perceptions Strengths

- Our GPTW survey in the first semester was at 89%, and our Employee Survey was at 79%.
- 100% of leader retention
- 2 CSTAG reports

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): During the past year, we have seen an increase on bullying and cyberbullying which impacts our student mental health cases. **Root Cause:** There has been a lack of investment and prioritization of staff resources, training, curriculum, and programming specifically to build social emotional skills and build student mental health.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): The number of student infractions have increased during the past year due to verbal or physical altercations. **Root Cause:** There has been a lack of investment on trainings for interventions and curriculum for our scholars to learn how to interact with one another.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to ensure equitable interventions and disciplinary consequences for all students.

Root Cause 1: There has not been a clear system to train/develop staff members on intervention techniques. Staff must increase cultural competency and awareness to lead equitable interventions and disciplinary consequences. Families have not been onboarded correctly.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: To get an A, we must have most of our scholars meet their growth goal and our HB4545 scholars meet approaches on the STAAR exam.

Root Cause 2: Growth goals were given to scholars late and inconsistent tracking from scholars. HB4545 interventions had minimal coaching and follow up to ensure scholars were mastering the TEKS.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Our staff retention is an area for improvement across the region and at our campus.

Root Cause 3: Staff onboarding has been inconsistent and clear expectations have not been set for all staff members. Leadership has been inconsistent with accountability.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: During the past year, we have seen an increase on bullying and cyberbullying which impacts our student mental health cases.

Root Cause 4: There has been a lack of investment and prioritization of staff resources, training, curriculum, and programming specifically to build social emotional skills and build student mental health.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 5: There is a need to expand mile-radius for transportation to get scholars to and from campus daily.

Root Cause 5: Our campus is off a feeder road, and it is unsafe for scholars to get to and from campus. The set radius is 2 to 8 miles and stops are at a public business location.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 6: The percent of likely passed (6th ELA & Math, 7th Math) is less than 60%.

Root Cause 6: Inconsistency with coaching and side by side planning with Regional, Leader, and Teacher. Instruction is often lacking in rigor since feedback is inconsistent.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 7: Our family engagement strategy is not comprehensive and cohesive across all schools.

Root Cause 7: Our district has not worked cross-functionally to define the family experience from recruitment to graduation, which has produced a disjointed approach to family engagement work, and lack of prioritized time for training with principals and leadership.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 8: The number of student infractions have increased during the past year due to verbal or physical altercations.

Root Cause 8: There has been a lack of investment on trainings for interventions and curriculum for our scholars to learn how to interact with one another.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Perceptions

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- · Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data

Parent/Community Data

• Parent engagement rate

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Communications data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating

Performance Objective 1:

42% of EB students taking TELPAS assessments will maintain or increase a proficiency level by the end of the 23-24 school year. ((TEC 11.253(d)(2))

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS benchmark

TELPAS assessment

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Who: Teachers		Formative		Summative
What: Create effective LPs	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
How: 1. Use scaffolding questions				
2. Ensure EB accommodations are in place	15%			
3. Give EB scholars multiple opportunities to listen, read, write, and discuss				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase EB scholars' performance on TELPAS				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: EL Point Person				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: To get an A, we must have most of our scholars meet their growth goal and our HB4545 scholars meet approaches on the STAAR exam. **Root Cause**: Growth goals were given to scholars late and inconsistent tracking from scholars. HB4545 interventions had minimal coaching and follow up to ensure scholars were mastering the TEKS.

Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating

Performance Objective 2: 100% of identified IDEA Lake Houston CP scholars will meet required minutes per House Bill 4545 (HB4545) before the 2024 STAAR assessment. (CCMR)

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: PowerSchool

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: What: Track accelerated learning scholars and progress		Formative Su		
How:	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
I. Identify Accelerated Learning scholars Set goals Track daily progress	20%			
 Who: Teachers & Manager Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of accelerated learning scholars will receive at least 15+ hours of intervention. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Who: Teachers & Manager 				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating

Performance Objective 3: 60% of IDEA Lake Houston CP SpEd students attain approaches in STAAR by June 2024 (TEC 11.253(d)(2))

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Who: Instructional Coaches (Principal, API)	Formative			Summative	
What: Lesson plan feedback weekly	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
How:			11262		
1. Weekly LPs review with snapshots on hand					
2. Look-for individual accommodations	15%				
3. Rehearse scaffolding questions with SpEd teachers/GenEd teachers					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase % of approaches each quarter by 15%.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API					
Title I:					
2.4					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional					
Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	I	<u>'</u>	

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: To get an A, we must have most of our scholars meet their growth goal and our HB4545 scholars meet approaches on the STAAR exam. **Root Cause**: Growth goals were given to scholars late and inconsistent tracking from scholars. HB4545 interventions had minimal coaching and follow up to ensure scholars were mastering the TEKS.

Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating

Performance Objective 4: IDEA Lake Houston CP achieves 90/60/30 in approaches/meets/masters as measured by the STAAR testing for the 23-24 school year.

Required Strategy: Track each students performance in domains 1-3 by using the Locus dashboard and respond to data appropriately (TEC 11.253(d)(3))

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Assessment

Daily ET SE

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Track each students performance in domains 1-3 by using the Locus dashboard and respond to data		Formative		Summative
appropriately (TEC 11.253(d)(3))	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase Domains 1,2, and 3				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	20%			
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career				
and college, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever				
4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: What: STAAR Night	Formative			Summative	
When: September	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents will know their scholar's growth goal for each STAAR tested subject, number of questions, and what will be assessed.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API, SC, Teachers	15%				
Title I:					
2.4					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2					
Funding Sources: Trackers, Folders, Copies, and instructional resources - Title I, Part A (4120) - \$1,187.21					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discont	tinue	•	•	

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: To get an A, we must have most of our scholars meet their growth goal and our HB4545 scholars meet approaches on the STAAR exam. **Root Cause**: Growth goals were given to scholars late and inconsistent tracking from scholars. HB4545 interventions had minimal coaching and follow up to ensure scholars were mastering the TEKS.

Goal 2: Increase student persistence

Performance Objective 1: IDEA Lake Houston receive a score of proficient or higher on the campus safety scorecard for the 2023- 24 school year (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Locus Dashboard

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews					
Strategy 1: Who: APO		Formative			Formative		Summative
What: Ensures safety campus walkthroughs are conducted		Oct	Jan	Mar	June		
How: 1. APO/FM will conduct safety campus walkthroughs 3x/day 2. APO/FM will immediately address any safety breaches 3. APO will train 100% of staff on how to avoid safety breaches Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase school safety Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO, CCT		30%					
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Perceptions 1							

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Who: CCT	Formative			Summative
What: Adheres to CCT roles and responsibilities	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
How:				
1. Train 100% of staff 2. Conduct safety drills	30%			
3. Give immediate feedback	30%			
4. Meet monthly				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% on all safety audits				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, CCT				
TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: During the past year, we have seen an increase on bullying and cyberbullying which impacts our student mental health cases. **Root Cause**: There has been a lack of investment and prioritization of staff resources, training, curriculum, and programming specifically to build social emotional skills and build student mental health.

Goal 2: Increase student persistence

Performance Objective 2: IDEA Lake Houston will be in compliance with 100% of the Title 1 Family Engagement requirements through the following events: Meet the Teacher, Public Hearing, Spring Town Hall and Semester 1 Report Card Pick Up. (TEC 11.253(d)(9))
Required Strategy: timely communication to families regarding school events to promote family engagement and attendance. (TEC 11.253(d)(9))

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Sign in sheets

Upload to Plan4Learning

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Timely communication to families regarding school events to promote family engagement and attendance.		Formative		
(TEC 11.253(d)(9))	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Who: SC				
What:	30%			
1. Create message for the 4 events 2 weeks in advance				
2. Send message daily for 2 weeks via Remind and FB				
3. Include countdown.				
4. Personal phone calls from staff members for highest priority scholars				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in family engagement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: SC				
Title I:				
4.1, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

Goal 2: Increase student persistence

Performance Objective 3: IDEA Lake Houston CP will complete the Anti-Bullying and Safer, Smarter School curriculum by deadline indicated on the district calendar. (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Locus

SEL Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Who: SC	Formative			Summative
What:	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
1. Plan when to deliver trainings to stakeholders		9411	1,141	- June
2. Track progress				
3. Report progress to create urgency	30%			
4. Follow up with those not meeting expectation				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of students will receive the anti-bullying, and safe, smarter curriculum by				
th deadline.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: SC				
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: During the past year, we have seen an increase on bullying and cyberbullying which impacts our student mental health cases. **Root Cause**: There has been a lack of investment and prioritization of staff resources, training, curriculum, and programming specifically to build social emotional skills and build student mental health.

Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance

Performance Objective 1: IDEA Lake Houston CP will achieve a 97% annual attendance rate for the 23-24 school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Locus Dashboard-Stream

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Who: APO		Formative		
What: Create and manage an ADA minute by minute plan How:	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
1. Assign 1st/2nd round of calls to individuals				
2. Inspect ADA tracker for fidelity3. Identify scholars who are repeat offenders for ADA escalation	25%			
4. Hold weekly ADA escalation meetings				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase ADA by 2% from previous year.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO				
Title I:				
2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance

Performance Objective 2: 100% of IDEA Lake Houston CP students from 6th-7th grade meet their MVPA minutes goal of 120 minutes per week. (TEC 11.253(d)(10))

Evaluation Data Sources: Locus

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Who: PE Coach	Formative Summativ			Summative
What: 1. Plan lessons that include different exercise levels 2. Utilize time stamps 3. Track student progress using tracker When: A/B days Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MPVA minutes by 120 minutes per week	Oct 25%	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PE coach Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 4: Increase staff retention

Performance Objective 1: 100% of full-time staff members at IDEA Lake Houston complete all tasks within IDEA's staff development cycle including: goal setting, 2x2 and annual performance reviews during the 2023-24 school year.

Required Strategy: Managers provide feedback and professional development/coaching for each staff member through each SDC cycle.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Cornerstone

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
tegy 1: Principal and API provide feedback and professional development/coaching for each staff member through each		Formative Summati		Summative
SDC cycle.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of staff will meet deadlines set for completion				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and API	25%			
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 2: Strategic Staffing				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Our staff retention is an area for improvement across the region and at our campus. **Root Cause**: Staff onboarding has been inconsistent and clear expectations have not been set for all staff members. Leadership has been inconsistent with accountability.

Goal 4: Increase staff retention

Performance Objective 2: IDEA Lake Houston is 100% staffed for all teacher positions throughout the 2023-24 school year.

Required Strategy: recruit and hire high quality candidates per role by using high quality resume criteria

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Employee Survey

Locus

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Who: Principal		Formative		Summative
What:	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
 Hold strengths interviews with 100% of instructional staff Hold quarterly pulse checks Create surveys for feedback Follow up with next steps Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in staff retention from year to year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal ESF Levers: Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture 	15%			
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		•

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Our staff retention is an area for improvement across the region and at our campus. **Root Cause**: Staff onboarding has been inconsistent and clear expectations have not been set for all staff members. Leadership has been inconsistent with accountability.

Goal 5: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)

Performance Objective 1: IDEA Lake Houston CP SpEd scholars will reach 21% meets grade level or above on the 2023 Reading and Math STAAR exam and will be recognized by TEA as an A-rated campus.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Exam

TEA Accountability

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Who: APO, EC	Formative Summativ			Summative
What:	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
State key points on support offered to our special programs scholars Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 21% SpEd scholars will receive meets on Reading/Math STAAR Exam 100% enrollment based on academic results Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API, APO, Teachers	20%			
Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discont	tinue		

Goal 5: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)

Performance Objective 2: IDEA Lake Houston will meet 100% enrollment for the 24-25 school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Power Bi

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Who: APO, Principal, EC		Formative			
What: Recruit scholars and families How: Strategic recruitment plan, Super Recruitment Day	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% grade levels are 2:1 applications					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO, EC, Principals	20%				
Title I:					
2.4					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Campus Funding Summary

Title I, Part A (4120)					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	4	2	Trackers, Folders, Copies, and instructional resources		\$1,187.21
				Sub-Total	\$1,187.21
Budgeted Fund Source Amount			\$1,187.21		
+/- Difference			\$0.00		
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$1,187.21
				Grand Total Spent	\$1,187.21
				+/- Difference	\$0.00