

Public Presentation Date: October 6, 2023

Mission Statement

IDEA Public Schools prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

Core Values

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

•	We achieve Academic Excellence
•	We deliver Results
•	We ensure Equity
•	We build Team & Family
•	We act with Integrity
•	We bring Joy
•	We Sweat the Small Stuff

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	6
Perceptions	7
Priority Problem Statements	8
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	12
Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating	12
Goal 2: Increase student persistence	17
Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance	20
Goal 4: Increase staff retention	22
Goal 5: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)	24
Campus Funding Summary	25

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: October 6, 2023

Demographics

Demographics Summary

IDEA Hidden Meadow Academy is a Title 1 campus that offers quality educational programs to students in grades Kinder through 5th grade. IDEA Hidden Meadow Academy is part of one of the fastest growing charter school networks in San Antonio. Currently, IDEA Hidden Meadow Academy has a rolling enrollment in the district with 444 students.

The school's demographic includes 72% Hispanic, 13% White, 11% African American, 70% economically disadvantaged, 8% with disabilities, and 12% English Language Learners.

Demographics Strengths

Strengths of our demographics include:

- 81% Teacher Retention
- 92.11% Overall Persistence
- 87% of K-2 scholars reading on grade level

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): K-3rd grade attendance is at 93.50%, which is 3.5% below the target benchmark of 97%. **Root Cause:** The lead team was not following up with high offenders throughout the school year.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): 36 students did not persist with the school to the following school year. Root Cause: The lead team did not plan for a higher benchmark goal for EOY placement test.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Reading K-2: 87% on grade level

Math K-2: To be determined

3rd Grade Reading: To be determined

3rd Grade Math: To be determined

Student Learning Strengths

- Teachers were able to create rigorous lesson plans for K-2 math and 3rd grade reading and math.
- Teachers followed the K-2 reading program to fidelity.
- Teachers in K-2 implemented the reading comprehension program.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): 87% of scholars reached grade level, but our scholars are lacking comprehension and writing skills. Root Cause: The teachers focus more on decoding than encoding and unpacking text.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): School lacks vertical alignment across contents. Root Cause: The school did not have operating mechanisms for the APIs and teachers to plan content together and align the material strategically.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

- IDEA provides students access to accelerated instruction through the 1:1 technology device, including adaptive software for mathematics and literacy and access to hundreds of thousands of books in the district's digital library.
- IDEA curricular resources include high-quality instructional materials that earn the highest ratings on national evaluation measures.
- IDEA curriculum is regularly evaluated using a quality evaluation tool, ensuring alignment to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills and AP course standards, as well as the STAAR/EOC and AP exams.
- IDEA implements a variety of training opportunities for teachers and leadership staff with the goal of developing teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and leaders' coaching skills.
- For 15 years in a row, 100% of IDEA students have been accepted into college, demonstrating that IDEA academic programming is adequately preparing students for post-secondary education.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- Leaders spend 80% of their day in classroom, providing feedback to teachers and scholars.
- Teachers receive daily communication through grade level huddle, grade team leaders, school newsletters, and school calendars.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The school does not have a consistent system to track ADA infractions that is available to all. **Root Cause:** The school did not have an assistant principal of operations until March that was overseeing this system.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Scholars with extreme behavior were not addressed consistently and created disruptions in the learning environment. Root Cause: We did not have a normed escalating matrix to respond to extreme behavior concerns.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Scholar persistence: 93.50%

Teacher persistence: 81%

Perceptions Strengths

- There was improvements for our Welcome to IDEA events, to explain our systems.
- We held 5 major events that were in-person for families and 11 family monthly projects.
- Principal was able to interact with more families and reach out within 24 hours for parent concerns.
- Our receptionist created a tracker to ensure all family communication was completed.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Families did not hear from teachers with regular communication. Root Cause: Teachers replaced regular parent phone calls with notes in the planner.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Scholars did not receive regular guidance lessons from school counselor. **Root Cause:** The school counselor was shared with college prep and that made the calendar tighter to incorporate regular guidance lessons within the class.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: K-3rd grade attendance is at 93.50%, which is 3.5% below the target benchmark of 97%.Root Cause 1: The lead team was not following up with high offenders throughout the school year.Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: 36 students did not persist with the school to the following school year.Root Cause 2: The lead team did not plan for a higher benchmark goal for EOY placement test.Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: 87% of scholars reached grade level, but our scholars are lacking comprehension and writing skills.Root Cause 3: The teachers focus more on decoding than encoding and unpacking text.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: School lacks vertical alignment across contents.

Root Cause 4: The school did not have operating mechanisms for the APIs and teachers to plan content together and align the material strategically. Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: The school does not have a consistent system to track ADA infractions that is available to all.Root Cause 5: The school did not have an assistant principal of operations until March that was overseeing this system.Problem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: Scholars with extreme behavior were not addressed consistently and created disruptions in the learning environment.Root Cause 6: We did not have a normed escalating matrix to respond to extreme behavior concerns.Problem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 7: Families did not hear from teachers with regular communication.Root Cause 7: Teachers replaced regular parent phone calls with notes in the planner.Problem Statement 7 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 8: Scholars did not receive regular guidance lessons from school counselor.Root Cause 8: The school counselor was shared with college prep and that made the calendar tighter to incorporate regular guidance lessons within the class.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Perceptions

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

• Student Achievement Domain

Student Data: Assessments

- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus leadership data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate

Support Systems and Other Data

• Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation

Goals

Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating

Performance Objective 1: HMA achieves 90/60/30 in approaches/meets/masters as measured by the STAAR testing.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: benchmark assessments and mock exams

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews				
 Strategy 1: Track each students performance in domains 1-3 by using the Locus dashboard and respond to data appropriately. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Each child will make growth in their exams. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API, and content teacher 		Formative				
		Jan	Mar	June		
		N/A				
Title I:						
2.4 - TEA Priorities:						
Build a foundation of reading and math						
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1						
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	I				

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: 87% of scholars reached grade level, but our scholars are lacking comprehension and writing skills. **Root Cause**: The teachers focus more on decoding than encoding and unpacking text.

Performance Objective 2: HMA will have 100% of identified scholars meet required minutes per House Bill 4545 (HB4545)

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: HB4545 tracker by student

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Interventionist will lead tutoring during elective times, afterschool, and on Saturdays for 4545 scholars.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Scholars will improve their exam scores.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal & Interventionist	N/A	N/A		
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: Intervention Teacher - Title I, Part A (4120)				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: 87% of scholars reached grade level, but our scholars are lacking comprehension and writing skills. **Root Cause**: The teachers focus more on decoding than encoding and unpacking text.

Performance Objective 3: HMA will have 30% of SPED Students attain approaches in STAAR by June 2024.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: SPED teachers will track scholar data and sub-pops strategically within the classroom and after class with exit		Formative		
tickets.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase data awareness for special pop groups and intervene as needed. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and 2 SPED teachers	N/A	N/A		
Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress ON Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4: HMA Third-grade students performing at or above grade level in reading as measured by the Meets Grade Level Standard on STAAR will increase from 60% to 62% in Spring 2024 (HB3)

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: benchmark exams, mock assessments, end of quarter PTGS with comparison to last year's exams

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Assess academic achievement for each student in the school in domains (1-2) using locus dashboard. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Scholars increase their data from last year.		Formative S		
		Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and API	N/A	N/A		
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: 87% of scholars reached grade level, but our scholars are lacking comprehension and writing skills. **Root Cause**: The teachers focus more on decoding than encoding and unpacking text.

Performance Objective 5: HMA Third-grade students performing at or above grade level in math as measured by the Meets Grade Level Standard on STAAR will increase from 58% to 60% in Spring 2024 (HB3)

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: benchmark exams, mock assessments, end of quarter PTGS with comparison to last year's exams

Performance Objective 1: HMA will receive a score of proficient or higher on the campus safety scorecard for the 2023-24 school year (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: surprise walk throughs by the region

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: HMA staff will be trained on safety expectations by end of August 2023 in order to follow all safety rules.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: HMA staff will know our security measures and be able to share with the class	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
and community. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APO, FM	N/A	N/A		
Title I: 4.1				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics					
Problem Statement 2: 36 students did not persist with the school to the following school year	Root Cause: The lead team did not plan for a higher benchmark goal for EOY				
placement test.					

Performance Objective 2: HMA will be in compliance with the Title 1 Family Engagement requirements through the following events: Meet the Teacher, Public Hearing, Spring Town Hall and Semester 1 Report Card Pick Up.

Evaluation Data Sources: Meeting Log

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Timely communication to families regarding school events to promote family engagement and attendance.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 80% parent participation of meetings	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APO, School Counselor	N/A	N/A		
Title I: 4.2 Problem Statements: Demographics 2 Funding Sources: - Title I, Part A (4120) - \$1,602.74				
Image: Moment of the second	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 2: 36 students did not persist with the school to the following school year. **Root Cause**: The lead team did not plan for a higher benchmark goal for EOY placement test.

Performance Objective 3: HMA will complete the Anti-Bullying and Safer, Smarter School curriculum by deadline indicated on the district calendar.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: National Survey of program verfication

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: School counselor will schedule out lessons (bullying, personal boundaries, reporting) for each grade level by October and personally deliver the lessons. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build awareness around harmful effects of bullying and how to handle these situations		Formative			
		Jan	Mar	June	
		N/A			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, School Counselor					
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Demographics 2					
	X Discon	tinue			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	👗 Discon	itinue			

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demograpi	nics
Problem Statement 2: 36 students did not persist with the school to the following school year.	Root Cause: The lead team did not plan for a higher benchmark goal for EOY
placement test.	

Performance Objective 1: HMA will have 100% of students from 2nd thru 5th Grade meet their MVPA minutes goal of 70%.

Evaluation Data Sources: IHT Monitor Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 1: Grades 3-5 will place IHT monitors at the start of the day (vs their elective period) in order to accumulate minutes on their watch throughout the entire day. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Accumulate minutes for whole day vs only one period Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, PE coaches		Formative			
		Jan	Mar	June	
		N/A			
Title I: 2.5 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2					
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics	
Problem Statement 1: K-3rd grade attendance is at 93.50%, which is 3.5% below the target benchmark of 97%. Root Cause: The lead team was not following up with high offenders throughout the school year.	h
Problem Statement 2: 36 students did not persist with the school to the following school year. Root Cause: The lead team did not plan for a higher benchmark goal for EO placement test.	γ

Performance Objective 2: HMA will achieve a 97% annual attendance rate for the 23-24 school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: ADA daily report from SIS

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 1: Revise ADA escalation matrix to hold families accountable to absences.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Less frequent offenders	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APO, SIS, homeroom teachers	N/A	N/A			
Title I:					
 4.1 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture 					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1					
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	Discontinue				

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics	
Problem Statement 1 : K-3rd grade attendance is at 93.50%, which is 3.5% below the target benchmark of 97%. offenders throughout the school year.	Root Cause: The lead team was not following up with high

Performance Objective 1: HMA will have 100% of full-time staff members complete all tasks within IDEA's staff development cycle including: goal setting, 2x2 and annual performance reviews during the 2023-24 school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: SDC performance report from national team

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Managers provide feedback and professional development/coaching for each staff member through each SDC		Summative		
cycle. Strategy's Exposted Desult/Impact: Teachers increase CET rubric ratings	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers increase GET rubric ratings Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API, teachers		N/A		
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: Scholars with extreme behavior were not addressed consistently and created disruptions in the learning environment. Root Cause: We did not have a normed escalating matrix to respond to extreme behavior concerns.

Performance Objective 2: HMA is 100% staffed for all teacher positions throughout the 2023-24 school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: staffing roster

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Recruit and hire high quality candidates per role by using high quality resume criteria	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 80% of staff agree we hire quality candidates	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	N/A	N/A		
Title I: 2.5 Problem Statements: Demographics 2				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	I	1

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 2: 36 students did not persist with the school to the following school year. **Root Cause**: The lead team did not plan for a higher benchmark goal for EOY placement test.

Goal 5: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)

Campus Funding Summary

	Title I, Part A (4120)					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
1	2	1	Intervention Teacher		\$0.00	
2	2	1			\$1,602.74	
				Sub-Total	\$1,602.74	
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				\$1,602.74		
				+/- Difference	\$0.00	
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$1,602.74	
				Grand Total Spent	\$1,602.74	
				+/- Difference	\$0.00	