IDEA Public Schools Elsa Academy 2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan Elsa **IDEA** Academy

Public Presentation Date: August 23, 2023

Mission Statement

IDEA Public Schools prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

Core Values

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

•	We achieve Academic Excellence
•	We deliver Results
•	We ensure Equity
•	We build Team & Family
•	We act with Integrity
•	We bring Joy
•	We Sweat the Small Stuff

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	9
Priority Problem Statements	11
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	13
Goals	15
Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating	15
Goal 2: Increase student persistence	22
Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance	26
Goal 4: Increase staff retention	28
Goal 5: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)	30
Campus Funding Summary	31

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: August 23, 2023

Demographics

Demographics Summary

IDEA Elsa Academy currently houses over 800 student enrollment for grades Pk-5th. Our female population 47.08%, male population 52.92%. We also house 94.53% of Hispanic race population. An open enrollment charter school that services students from within city of Elsa and outside Donna, Weslaco, Monte Alto and La Villa. Elsa Academy currently services over 52.92% Emergent Bilingual students and have 65.34% At Risk identified students.

The instructional programs at IDEA Elsa Academy consists of the 21st century after school program, Bilingual and EB program, 504, RTI the special education program, which consists of speech classes and life skills.

According to the Texas Education Agency Accountability System, IDEA Elsa Academy received and A for the 2021-2022 school year and received distinctions for Academic Achievement in English Language Arts Reading and Post secondary readiness.

Demographics Strengths

With over 94% Economically Disadvantaged students, our school is able to provide free meals to the entire student population. IDEA Public Schools offers our teachers professional development opportunities periodically throughout the year to improve quality learning in the classroom. Our organization continues to market and survey to provide all teachers a competitive salary compared to other school districts. Teachers work diligently through after school tutoring, sat school to support in closing the learning gaps for students At Risk.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There is a need to address disciplinary processes for students who struggle to adapt to IDEA student culture. Student referral did not decrease rather increased. **Root Cause:** Re establish a behavioral plan that includes positive reinforcements, consequence ladder and roles/ responsibilities of all stakeholders involved.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): There is a challenge with on boarding of new students and families that continuously supports the IDEA culture. Family on boarding occurs at the start of the school year through new student culture camp and Welcome to IDEA but does not have any further touch points throughout the school year. **Root Cause:** There is a need to ensure parent engagement is tracked for critical students so that parent acquire best practices and tools to best support students at home.

Problem Statement 3: There is a need to increase interventions to address the growing numbers of new to IDEA students who struggle/do not know how to read in 3rd-5th grade. **Root Cause:** One interventionist is made available for 3rd-5th and student schedules minimize flexibility to pull students for reading intervention.

Problem Statement 4: There is a need to increased focus on successful implementation of RTI, cohesive writing curriculum, and differentiated instruction and practices. **Root Cause:** Resources available, and different curriculums divide (Wit & Wisdom and BAW) limit an intentional implementation of writing instruction. Staff must increase awareness of RTI students and consistently practice implementing the supports RTI students need to be successful. Differentiating instructions begins with teachers setting a plan during lesson internalization and lesson planning.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

In Kindergarten through 2nd grade, our student learning outcomes were overall excellent . Kinder, 1st, and 2nd Grade Mathematics took End of Year Blind Exams in which we averaged about 92% of our students passing the exam at an Approaches Band. Mathematics Breakdown is as follows, Kindergarten 97%Approaches/69%Masters, 1st Grade Math 92% Approaches/61%Masters, 2nd grade Math 82%Approaches/22%Masters. In PK-2 students also showed high mastery. As per our Direct Instruction Reading Transformations Program, PK 100%, Kinder 97%, 1st 90%, 2nd 96% of students ended the year on or above grade level; this is the first year that 1st grade meets this benchmark; which attest to successful closing of gaps for our foundational grades.

In our CSI, Critical Student Intervention Program, in grades 3-5, our campus fell 8 students shy of meeting the district goal. In Reading, the goal is for 50% of students to grow two or more years as per the program Renaissance Star, our students ended the year at 44%. In Math, the goal is for 60% of students to grow two or more years as per the program Renaissance Star, our students ended the year at 48%. This outcome was due to not targeting foundational gaps in reading and math fluency and comprehension. The plan for the upcoming year is to create a scope and sequence of fluency and foundational gaps to target.

Spring 2023 was the first time 3rd- 5th grade students took the STAAR state assessment online. Pending Scores Aug 11th

STAAR ALT 10 out of 10 STAAR ALT exams in 3rd and 4th ELA and Math resulted in 100% Satisfactory -____% Accomplished . This outcome was the intentional execution of backwards planning to the rigor of the assessment as well as the Attainment Curriculum.

HB4545 students growth goal is 85%. Results showed ____ growth.

EB students goal is a student achievement score of 37. EB students score an SAS of _____.

SPED student goal is a student achievement score of 23. Our students scored an SAS of _____.

TELPAS goal is a student achievement score of 42acc

LAST Year Sample Below

Our dedication to ensure our students receive the best education possible begins in Kindergarten. Systems for instructional delivery will continue to be practiced and consistently monitored to create intentional and effective daily lesson delivery across all grade levels, not just 3rd-5th.

Student Learning Strengths

- 3rd-5th ELAR we were above district average in mock exams.
- 3rd Math we scored a student achievement average higher than 2022 STAAR on mock exam.
- 5th Grade Science scored 1st place in Mid-Valley region.
- Sped students in 3rd-5th showed an increase above district average and were highlighted at the district level.
- Teachers showed a proficiency of advanced skill in unpacking assessments consistently before beginning each module and unit.
- Telpas accountability measure was obtained in Mock Telpas assessment given in October.
- Students successfully tracked daily TEKS performance on mastery machine systems after mock exams.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1: There was no differentiated instruction in an effort to meet student needs to improve student performance among all low-performing groups. **Root Cause:** Teachers were trained in the skill of differentiating instruction for all student groups.

Problem Statement 2: The percent of 3rd-5th students passing quarterly reading and math assessments are minimal. Root Cause: Curriculum is often lacking the rigor and teachers often have to create lessons aligned to rigor of state assessment.

Problem Statement 3: Teachers lesson plans are not fully aligned to TEKS for reading and math. **Root Cause:** Teachers are still developing in how to create lessons that are fully aligned to the TEKS using the districts scope and sequence.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Sped and Emerging EB students' data on district wide assessments performed below Target Achievement Score on grades 3rd-5th STAAR tested subjects. Root Cause: Gen ed teachers, SPED teachers and leaders failed to execute best practices to close gaps for students to perform on grade level rigor.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Teachers did not deliver a first strong instruction lesson. Root Cause: Teachers submitted exemplars that did not reflect all the lesson plan components for a strong first teach.

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): There was a reactive approach to data -driven instruction such as Exit Ticket Data or Summative Assessment Data . Root Cause: Teachers lacked skill of using data to monitor student learning throughout the lesson cycle .

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Instructional leaders at IDEA Elsa Academy participate in classroom observations twice a week and develop skills in coaching, participate in coaching academies during their first year as leaders, and continue participating on ongoing professional development. Each leader is responsible for a specific content, which allows them to focus and develop necessary skills in that area. We plan for professional development for teachers based on trends in observations and gaps in student learning identified through data from state, district or exit tickets. Leaders support teachers in different content areas by providing modeling in classroom, weekly lesson rehearsals for 3rd-5th, and providing opportunity for teachers to have conference with same content teachers. This allows them to share ideas, develop skills and plan together in skills that align to their content.

We have programs including AR zone and Dreambox. Our AR Zone program supports students in developing comprehension by allowing students time to read and test on books. Dreambox allows students to participate in interactive engaging math lesson, which can be aligned to what students are learning in the classroom to strengthen their mathematics skills.

Programs:

- Special Education
- ESL
- 21st Century
- 504
- RTI

Processes:

- Faculty meetings- Bi-weekly
- RTI to support students academically or with behavior Every 6-9 weeks

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- 100% of students in the HB4545 program met required minutes.
- Students participated in after school programs

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Teachers did not effectively implement instructional best practices for working with EB's, and at-risk students. **Root Cause:** There's no targeted professional development to support teachers with strategies and tactics to target EB's and at-risk students need to be readily available.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): There is not a consistent system for disciplinary consequences for students. Root Cause: There is a lack of consistent accountability in school

culture expectations and documentation processes are not followed with fidelity.

Problem Statement 3: There is lack of diverse activities provided in after school programs. Root Cause: There is no teacher participation and budget limits the types of activities that can be offered.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

IDEA Elsa Academy provides staff, parents and students an opportunity to share their concerns by completing a school survey every year. We analyze student, staff and parent feedback to get a better understanding of areas we are excelling in and areas that might need more attention. Our mission is to provide a safe, joyful environment for all students and staff. It is essential for IDEA Elsa Academy to collaborate with parents and staff to ensure our students and staff members are successful emotionally, academically and professionally. We have a variety of avenues to communicate with parents, including Class Dojo, Remind, and Facebook. These communication mechanisms allows us to share events and student celebrations through out the year.

IDEA Public Schools has established 7 core values, which we follow diligently. These core values provide the foundation for building a strong atmosphere and are initiatives that push our teachers and students to achieve their best.

We Achieve Academic Excellence

We Deliver Results

We Act with Integrity

We Ensure Equity

We Sweat the Small Stuff

We build team and family

We bring joy

These core values are represented throughout our school and celebrated when teachers or students are found representing any of the 7 listed above. They also help align our mission standards and desired outcomes with our parents.

Perceptions Strengths

- We are currently over enrolled for 2023-2024 school year
- Teacher retention is currently at 93%
- Student persistence is currently at 95%

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: There is minimal mentoring supports for teachers in kinder-2nd. **Root Cause:** Instructional rounds and classrooms observations are based off of campus needs and priorities.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): There was a decrease in student and staff attendance. Root Cause: Lack of knowledge and follow through of student/staff handbook policy and expectations for staff and students.
Elsa Academy
Generated by Plan4Learning.com
9 of 31
Campus #108807119
March 18, 2024 11:38 AM

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): There is a minimal response to parental and community surveys. Root Cause: There was a lack of investment with teachers prioritizing and communicating with parents the importance of survey responses/feedback, along with lack providing parents the opportunities for parents to complete surveys on campus.

Problem Statement 4: As per parent responses on survey parents communicated there was little to no communication regarding safety drills. Root Cause: Leaders did not prioritize making safety drills public communication.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to address disciplinary processes for students who struggle to adapt to IDEA student culture. Student referral did not decrease rather increased. Root Cause 1: Re establish a behavioral plan that includes positive reinforcements, consequence ladder and roles/ responsibilities of all stakeholders involved. Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: Teachers did not effectively implement instructional best practices for working with EB's, and at-risk students.
Root Cause 2: There's no targeted professional development to support teachers with strategies and tactics to target EB's and at-risk students need to be readily available.
Problem Statement 2 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: There was a decrease in student and staff attendance.Root Cause 3: Lack of knowledge and follow through of student/staff handbook policy and expectations for staff and students.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 4: There is a minimal response to parental and community surveys.

Root Cause 4: There was a lack of investment with teachers prioritizing and communicating with parents the importance of survey responses/feedback, along with lack providing parents the opportunities for parents to complete surveys on campus.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 5: There is a challenge with on boarding of new students and families that continuously supports the IDEA culture. Family on boarding occurs at the start of the school year through new student culture camp and Welcome to IDEA but does not have any further touch points throughout the school year.
Root Cause 5: There is a need to ensure parent engagement is tracked for critical students so that parent acquire best practices and tools to best support students at home.
Problem Statement 5 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 6: Teachers did not deliver a first strong instruction lesson.

Root Cause 6: Teachers submitted exemplars that did not reflect all the lesson plan components for a strong first teach.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 7: Sped and Emerging EB students' data on district wide assessments performed below Target Achievement Score on grades 3rd-5th STAAR tested subjects.
Root Cause 7: Gen ed teachers, SPED teachers and leaders failed to execute best practices to close gaps for students to perform on grade level rigor.
Problem Statement 7 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 8: There was a reactive approach to data -driven instruction such as Exit Ticket Data or Summative Assessment Data . Elsa Academy Generated by Plan4Learning.com 11 of 31 Root Cause 8: Teachers lacked skill of using data to monitor student learning throughout the lesson cycle . Problem Statement 8 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 9: There is not a consistent system for disciplinary consequences for students.

Root Cause 9: There is a lack of consistent accountability in school culture expectations and documentation processes are not followed with fidelity.

Problem Statement 9 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- · Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate

Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A Rating

Performance Objective 1: Third-grade students performing at or above grade level in reading as measured by the Meets Grade Level Standard on STAAR will increase from 38% to 40% in Spring 2024 (HB3)

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: weekly assessments, district assessments, STAAR scores, campus data trackers

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
 Strategy 1: Teachers and leaders will track student performance and will create acceleration plans for students performing under the meets performance band after each unit assessment. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase student mastery by 5% Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional leaders, Reading Teachers, Assistant Principals of Instruction, Principal Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: 	Oct 25%	Formative Jan 50%	Mar	Summative June
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 5, 6 No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

S	Student Learning
Problem Statement 5 : Teachers did not deliver a first strong instruction lesson. a strong first teach.	Root Cause: Teachers submitted exemplars that did not reflect all the lesson plan components for

Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: There was a reactive approach to data -driven instruction such as Exit Ticket Data or Summative Assessment Data . Root Cause: Teachers lacked skill of using data to monitor student learning throughout the lesson cycle .

Performance Objective 2: IA: Third-grade students performing at or above grade level in math as measured by the Meets Grade Level Standard on STAAR will increase from 33% to 35% in Spring 2024. (HB3)

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: weekly assessments, district assessments, STAAR Scores, campus data trackers

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers and leaders will track student performance and will create acceleration plans for students performing		Formative		Summative
under the meets performance band after each unit assessment.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase by 5% in meets for Math Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Interventionist, API's, Principal	25%	50%		
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: 				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 5, 6 - School Processes & Programs 1				
No Progress ON Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: Teachers did not deliver a first strong instruction lesson. Root Cause: Teachers submitted exemplars that did not reflect all the lesson plan components for a strong first teach.

Problem Statement 6: There was a reactive approach to data -driven instruction such as Exit Ticket Data or Summative Assessment Data . Root Cause: Teachers lacked skill of using data to monitor student learning throughout the lesson cycle .

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers did not effectively implement instructional best practices for working with EB's, and at-risk students. **Root Cause**: There's no targeted professional development to support teachers with strategies and tactics to target EB's and at-risk students need to be readily available.

Performance Objective 3: 49% of students taking TELPAS assessments will maintain or increase a proficiency level. ((TEC 11.253(d)(2))

Evaluation Data Sources: Mock TELPAS, TELPAS

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide quarterly professional development opportunities for staff that introduces the best practices for working		Formative		Summative
 with EB population. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase EB student meets percentages in state assessments and Telpas. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, counselor, assistant principals, prinicipal. 	Oct 25%	Jan 50%	Mar	June
 Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6 - School Processes & Programs 1 				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	1

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Sped and Emerging EB students' data on district wide assessments performed below Target Achievement Score on grades 3rd-5th STAAR tested subjects. **Root Cause**: Gen ed teachers, SPED teachers and leaders failed to execute best practices to close gaps for students to perform on grade level rigor.

Problem Statement 6: There was a reactive approach to data -driven instruction such as Exit Ticket Data or Summative Assessment Data . Root Cause: Teachers lacked skill of using data to monitor student learning throughout the lesson cycle .

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers did not effectively implement instructional best practices for working with EB's, and at-risk students. **Root Cause**: There's no targeted professional development to support teachers with strategies and tactics to target EB's and at-risk students need to be readily available.

Performance Objective 4: 100% of identified scholars meet required minutes per House Bill 4545 (HB4545)

Evaluation Data Sources: campus trackers, HB4545 dashboard

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Campus will roll out an operating mechanism for identifying, servicing, and logging in minutes on a weekly		Formative		Summative
 basis based on tutoring and minute tracking in PowerTeacher. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase amount of students passing state assessment. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Assistant principals, principal, interventionists. Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 5, 6 - School Processes & Programs 1 	Oct	Jan 50%	Mar	June
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Learning
Problem Statement 5: Teachers did not deliver a first strong instruction lesson. Root Cause: Teachers submitted exemplars that did not reflect all the lesson plan components for a strong first teach.
Problem Statement 6: There was a reactive approach to data -driven instruction such as Exit Ticket Data or Summative Assessment Data . Root Cause: Teachers lacked skill of using data to monitor student learning throughout the lesson cycle .
School Processes & Programs
Problem Statement 1: Teachers did not effectively implement instructional best practices for working with EB's, and at-risk students. Root Cause: There's no targeted professional development to support teachers with strategies and tactics to target EB's and at-risk students need to be readily available.

Performance Objective 5: 40% of SPED Students attain approaches in STAAR by June 2024 (TEC 11.253(d)(2))

Evaluation Data Sources: campus data trackers, weekly assessments, district assessments, STAAR scores

Strategy 1 Details				
Strategy 1: Teachers will provide quality lessons on foundational reading, writing and math skills on a daily basis as per the Performance Level Descriptors Blueprint.	Oct	Formative Jan	Mar	Summative June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student number of sped students to reach meets or masters in state assessment. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Sped point person, gen ed teachers, sped teachers, assistant principals, principal. 	25%	50%		June
 Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6 - School Processes & Programs 1 				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Sped and Emerging EB students' data on district wide assessments performed below Target Achievement Score on grades 3rd-5th STAAR tested subjects. Root Cause: Gen ed teachers, SPED teachers and leaders failed to execute best practices to close gaps for students to perform on grade level rigor.

Problem Statement 6: There was a reactive approach to data -driven instruction such as Exit Ticket Data or Summative Assessment Data . Root Cause: Teachers lacked skill of using data to monitor student learning throughout the lesson cycle .

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers did not effectively implement instructional best practices for working with EB's, and at-risk students. **Root Cause**: There's no targeted professional development to support teachers with strategies and tactics to target EB's and at-risk students need to be readily available.

Performance Objective 6: School achieves 90/60/30 in approaches/meets/masters as measured by the STAAR testing

Evaluation Data Sources: Locus dashboard, weekly assessments, district assessments

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Track each students performance in domains 1-3 by using the Locus dashboard and respond to data		Formative		Summative
 appropriately (TEC 11.253(d)(3)) Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the student achievement score to 57 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, assistant principals, principal. Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 5, 6 - School Processes & Programs 1 	Oct	Jan 50%	Mar	June
No Progress ON Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Sped and Emerging EB students' data on district wide assessments performed below Target Achievement Score on grades 3rd-5th STAAR tested subjects. **Root Cause**: Gen ed teachers, SPED teachers and leaders failed to execute best practices to close gaps for students to perform on grade level rigor.

Problem Statement 5: Teachers did not deliver a first strong instruction lesson. Root Cause: Teachers submitted exemplars that did not reflect all the lesson plan components for a strong first teach.

Problem Statement 6: There was a reactive approach to data -driven instruction such as Exit Ticket Data or Summative Assessment Data . Root Cause: Teachers lacked skill of using data to monitor student learning throughout the lesson cycle .

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers did not effectively implement instructional best practices for working with EB's, and at-risk students. **Root Cause**: There's no targeted professional development to support teachers with strategies and tactics to target EB's and at-risk students need to be readily available.

Performance Objective 1: Campus receive a score of proficient or higher on the campus safety scorecard for the 2023-24 school year (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

Evaluation Data Sources: campus drill tracker, dashboard data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers and staff will be trained on monthly safety drills to ensure student safety.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase awareness and effective safety systems.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant principal of operations, principal, crisis team. Title I: 4.1, 4.2 • TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals • ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Perceptions 2	25%	50%		
No Progress Ocomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

	Perceptions
Problem Statement 2 : There was a decrease in student and staff attendance. expectations for staff and students.	Root Cause: Lack of knowledge and follow through of student/staff handbook policy and

Performance Objective 2: 100% of schools will be in compliance with the Title 1 Family Engagement requirements through the following events: Meet the Teacher, Public Hearing, Spring Town Hall and Semester 1 Report Card Pick Up. (TEC 11.253(d)(9))

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent event sign in documentation, parent survey responses.

oct		Mar	Summative June Summative
	50% Rev	views	
5%	Re		Summative
5%	Re		Summative
			Summative
			Summative
			Summativ
			Summativ
			Summative
	Formative		Summative
Formative Summa			Jummative
et	Jan	Mar	June
	oun		
5%	50%		
		Discontinue	

Demographics

Problem Statement 2: There is a challenge with on boarding of new students and families that continuously supports the IDEA culture. Family on boarding occurs at the start of the school year through new student culture camp and Welcome to IDEA but does not have any further touch points throughout the school year. **Root Cause**: There is a need to ensure parent engagement is tracked for critical students so that parent acquire best practices and tools to best support students at home.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: There is a minimal response to parental and community surveys. **Root Cause**: There was a lack of investment with teachers prioritizing and communicating with parents the importance of survey responses/feedback, along with lack providing parents the opportunities for parents to complete surveys on campus.

Performance Objective 3: 100% of schools will complete the Anti-Bullying and Safer, Smarter School curriculum by deadline indicated on the district calendar. (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

Evaluation Data Sources: Safer Smarter Schools Excel tracker

Rev		
Formative	Formative	Summative
Jan	Mar June	
50%	25% 50%	
ontinue		
ontin	Continue Con	ue

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics					
Problem Statement 1: There is a need to address disciplinary processes for students who struggle to adapt to IDEA student culture. Student referral did not decrease rather increased. Root Cause: Re establish a behavioral plan that includes positive reinforcements, consequence ladder and roles/ responsibilities of all stakeholders involved.					
School Processes & Programs					
Problem Statement 2: There is not a consistent system for disciplinary consequences for students. Root Cause: There is a lack of consistent accountability in school culture expectations and documentation processes are not followed with fidelity.					

Performance Objective 1: 100% of students from 2nd thru 5th Grade meet their MVPA minutes goal of X. (TEC 11.253(d)(10))

Evaluation Data Sources: Excel Student tracker, watches.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Increase student physical activity during the allotted PE times and track student goals in a weekly basis.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the amount of students reaching goal by 80%	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PE coach, assistant principal, and principal. Title I: 2.6 • TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools • ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Student Learning 6	25%	50%		
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

 Student Learning

 Problem Statement 6: There was a reactive approach to data -driven instruction such as Exit Ticket Data or Summative Assessment Data .
 Root Cause: Teachers lacked skill of using data to monitor student learning throughout the lesson cycle .

Performance Objective 2: IDEA Elsa Academy will achieve a 96.20% annual attendance rate for the 23-24 school year

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Tracker for Daily Attendance Average through powerschool, Excel tracker

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Escalation matrix with fidelity at each level of offense involving all campus staff and stake holders.	Formative Summ			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase daily average attendance by 4%	Oct Jan Mar			June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant principal of operations, teachers, principals, counselors. Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2 - Perceptions 2, 3	25%	50%	N/A	
Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs					
Problem Statement 2: There is not a consistent system for disciplinary consequences for students. Root Cause: There is a lack of consistent accountability in school culture expectations and documentation processes are not followed with fidelity.					
Perceptions					
Problem Statement 2: There was a decrease in student and staff attendance. Root Cause: Lack of knowledge and follow through of student/staff handbook policy and expectations for staff and students.					
Problem Statement 3 : There is a minimal response to parental and community surveys. Root Cause : There was a lack of investment with teachers prioritizing and communicating with parents the importance of survey responses/feedback, along with lack providing parents the opportunities for parents to complete surveys on campus.					

Performance Objective 1: 100% of full-time staff members complete all tasks within IDEA's staff development cycle including: goal setting, 2x2 and annual performance reviews during the 2023-24 school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Cornerstone, assistant principals.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews				
Strategy 1: Managers provide feedback and professional development/coaching for each staff member through each SDC	Formative			staff member through each SDC Formative			Summative
cycle.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teachboost documentation by 20% Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant principals, principal	25%	50%					
Title I:							
 2.4, 2.5 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 5 							
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue					

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: Teachers did not deliver a first strong instruction lesson. Root Cause: Teachers submitted exemplars that did not reflect all the lesson plan components for a strong first teach.

Performance Objective 2: IDEA Elsa Academy is 95% staffed for all teacher positions throughout the 2023-24 school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Persistence tracker, surveys, and assistant principals.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Recruit and hire high quality candidates per role by using high quality resume criteria	Formative Summ			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maintain a 95% or higher of staff retention	Oct Jan Mar Ju			June
 Title I: 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals ESF Levers: Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 5 	25%	50%		
Image: No Progress	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning	
Problem Statement 5: Teachers did not deliver a first strong instruction lesson. Root Cause: Teachers submitted exemplars that did not reflect all the a strong first teach.	lesson plan components for

Goal 5: Increase student enrollment (no required performance objectives/strategies)

Campus Funding Summary

Title I, Part A (4120)						
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
2	2	2	Translating materials, technology, resources		\$3,774.34	
				Sub-Total	\$3,774.34	
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				\$3,774.34		
+/- Difference					\$0.00	
Grand Total Budgeted				\$3,774.34		
				Grand Total Spent	\$3,774.34	
				+/- Difference	\$0.00	