IDEA Public Schools Ambrose & Freda Robinson College Prep 2023-2024 Improvement Plan



Public Presentation Date: August 24, 2023

Mission Statement

IDEA Public Schools prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

Core Values

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

 We deliver Results We ensure Equity We build Team & Family We act with Integrity We bring Joy We Sweat the Small Stuff 	•	We achieve Academic Excellence
 We build Team & Family We act with Integrity We bring Joy 	•	We deliver Results
• We act with Integrity • We bring Joy	•	We ensure Equity
• We bring Joy	•	We build Team & Family
	•	We act with Integrity
• We Sweat the Small Stuff	•	We bring Joy
	•	We Sweat the Small Stuff

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	5
Demographics	5
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	8
Priority Problem Statements	9
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	12
Goal 1: IDEA Robinson College Prep achieves an A Rating	13
Goal 2: Increase student persistence	19
Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance	22
Goal 4: Increase staff retention	24
Goal 5: Increase student enrollment	26
State Compensatory	27
Budget for Ambrose & Freda Robinson College Prep	28
Personnel for Ambrose & Freda Robinson College Prep	28
Campus Funding Summary	28

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: May 2, 2023

Demographics

Demographics Summary

IDEA Robinson College Prep is located in the far West side of San Antonio, Texas.

Enrollment

- Grade 6 54
- Grade 7 65

Student Demographics

- 86% Hispanic
- 12% African American
- 12% White
- 2% Asian/Pacific Islander
- 1% American Indian

Staff Demographics

- 60% Hispanic
- 40% White

Income Status:

- 82% Low Income
- 18% Non-Low Income

Demographics Strengths

We have a diverse student population.

We increased the diversity of staff (admin, teachers, counselor)

Our average, teacher experience is 4 years.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): ELL students are significantly behind peers in reading and math Root Cause: We did not find the right interventions for ELL students Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Teacher retention is at 72% Root Cause: Admin did not hire the right fit candidates.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

From the beginning of 2022-2023 school year, our scholars have drastically increased their skill at writing. In fact, scholars in 7th grade scored 1 point higher in writing than in reading (on average). Also, we had a significant amount of scholars hit the "high" bar for potential passing on the preliminary STAAR (#1 in the region for 7th ELA and Math)

6 Grade scholars came to IDEA Robinson with only 45% passing the reading and math STAAR, with 6 analytical writing the lowest skill campus wide with only 22% mastery on essays during the October benchmark.

Student Learning Strengths

- · ELA students are ahead of peers in the region and district
- Math students are ahead of peers in the region and district

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): We relied on a curriculum and did not prioritize the reading and writing connection. Root Cause: Not enough time was spent modifying current curriculum.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Students make simple mistakes in math which throws off their thinking later on. Root Cause: We did not teach scholars to slow down, annotate word problems, and align numbers

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

We have done a good job implementing both paper and computer instruction this school year. We have also improved upon the communication with families by implementing deliberate communication calendar.

ELA did not have the quality of homework practice that math did until later in the year. The principal and counselor were the owners and drivers of restorative practices - not all staff were aligned on what and how.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

1-to-1 technology is working very well.

Online homework for math is working well.

Athletics teams are doing well this school year.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Online homework for ELA did not catch up to the level that math is at. Root Cause: We did not prioritize ELA homework at the same rate as math.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Not all staff are proficient with restorative practices. Root Cause: Admin did not train staff sufficiently in restorative practices.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

We have improved our parent engagement this year by offering more specific events for College Prep families. For teachers, the GPTW survey shows team work is one of the campus strengths. Also, because of our safety processes, students report feeling safe on campus and are comfortable in each drill.

This year with increase teaching and planning load, only 40% of teachers reported that IDEA was a great place to work, down from 100% last year. Student retention is at 82% and staff retention is at 62% for College Prep.

Perceptions Strengths

Parent engagement in community events is at an all-time high.

Students report that they feel physically safe here at school.

GPTW survey results with "best friend at work" is 80%

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Student retention is at 82% Root Cause: I did not ensure all scholars were connected to teachers or to each other

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Staff report only 40% think IDEA is a great place to work on GPTW survey. **Root Cause:** I did not find the right balance of planning/ celebrations for staff who teach multiple grades and contents.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: ELL students are significantly behind peers in reading and mathRoot Cause 1: We did not find the right interventions for ELL studentsProblem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: Teacher retention is at 72%Root Cause 2: Admin did not hire the right fit candidates.Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: We relied on a curriculum and did not prioritize the reading and writing connection.Root Cause 3: Not enough time was spent modifying current curriculum.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Students make simple mistakes in math which throws off their thinking later on.Root Cause 4: We did not teach scholars to slow down, annotate word problems, and align numbersProblem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: Online homework for ELA did not catch up to the level that math is at.Root Cause 5: We did not prioritize ELA homework at the same rate as math.Problem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: Not all staff are proficient with restorative practices.Root Cause 6: Admin did not train staff sufficiently in restorative practices.Problem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 7: Student retention is at 82%Root Cause 7: I did not ensure all scholars were connected to teachers or to each otherProblem Statement 7 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 8: Staff report only 40% think IDEA is a great place to work on GPTW survey.Root Cause 8: I did not find the right balance of planning/celebrations for staff who teach multiple grades and contents.Problem Statement 8 Areas: Perceptions

Ambrose & Freda Robinson College Prep Generated by Plan4Learning.com

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card and accountability data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- · Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- School safety data

• Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus leadership data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

• Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation

Goals

Revised/Approved: June 12, 2023

Goal 1: IDEA Robinson College Prep achieves an A Rating

Performance Objective 1: 60% of students taking TELPAS assessments will maintain or increase a proficiency level. ((TEC 11.253(d)(2))

Evaluation Data Sources: Mock TELPAS, TELPAS

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Strong TELPAS tracking system in place		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: We will identify struggling students early and often.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Interventionist				
Title I:	75%	75%	75%	
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
		_		
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	<u> </u>
Strategy 2: Differentiate intervention based on individual need		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Each ELL scholar will receive the "just right" intervention.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Interventionist				
	20%	20%	20%	
Title I:	2070	2070	2070	
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments - Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 1: ELL students are significantly behind peers in reading and math Root Cause: We did not find the right interventions for ELL students

Performance Objective 2: 100% of identified scholars meet required minutes per House Bill 4545 (HB4545)

Evaluation Data Sources: HB4545 tracker

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Build HB4545 intervention into student's schedules using interventionist.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be sufficient hours to close achievement gaps.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Interventionist - Title I, Part A (4120)	75%	100%	100%	
No Progress Or Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue	1	1

Performance Objective 3: 60% of SPED Students attain approaches in STAAR by June 2024 (TEC 11.253(d)(2))

Evaluation Data Sources: Mock Exams, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Strong data monitoring system in place		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: We will identify struggling students early and often.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 Funding Sources: Interventionist - Title I, Part A (4120)	70%			
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Targeted and effective in-class supports		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: We will provide the "just right" support.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Title I: 2.4 - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy	50%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	I

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: We relied on a curriculum and did not prioritize the reading and writing connection. Root Cause: Not enough time was spent modifying current curriculum.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Students make simple mistakes in math which throws off their thinking later on. **Root Cause**: We did not teach scholars to slow down, annotate word problems, and align numbers

Performance Objective 4: School achieves 90/60/30 in approaches/meets/masters as measured by the STAAR testing in preparation to be College and Career ready.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Mock Exams, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Track each students performance in domains 1-3 by using the Locus dashboard and respond to data		Formative	Summative	
appropriately (TEC 11.253(d)(3))	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Make timely shifts in instruction to increase student performance				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration	5%			
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 2: Effective real time coaching of all teachers		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Make timely shifts in the moment to increase student performance	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
	100%	100%	100%	
Title I:	100%	100%	100%	
2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Results Driven Accountability				
- Results Driven Accountability				
\sim No Progress \sim Accomplished \rightarrow Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinuo	I	1

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: We relied on a curriculum and did not prioritize the reading and writing connection. Root Cause: Not enough time was spent modifying current curriculum.

Performance Objective 1: Campus receive a score of proficient or higher on the campus safety scorecard for the 2023-24 school year (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Safety walkthrough

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Calendar all required drills well in advance	Formative Su			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% compliance with both the law and district expectations	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture	100%	100%	100%	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Train and follow up as needed with staff on safety measures		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% compliance with both the law and district expectations	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning	75%			
Image: Moment with the second seco	X Discon	tinue		•

Performance Objective 2: 100% of schools will be in compliance with the Title 1 Family Engagement requirements through the following events: Meet the Teacher, Public Hearing, Spring Town Hall and Semester 1 Report Card Pick Up. (TEC 11.253(d)(9))

Evaluation Data Sources: attendance tracker

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: timely communication to families regarding school events to promote family engagement and attendance. (TEC		Formative		
11.253(d)(9))	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	80%			
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

Performance Objective 3: 100% of schools will complete the Anti-Bullying and Safer, Smarter School curriculum by deadline indicated on the district calendar. (TEC 11.253(d)(8))

Evaluation Data Sources: Lesson Completion Tracker

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Schedule and train staff in advance of the lessons.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students receive effective anti-bullying instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Title I: 2.5 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	100%	100%	100%	
Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: No Progress	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1: IDEA Robinson College Prep will achieve a 97% annual attendance rate for the 23-24 school year

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Daily attendance tracker

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: We will rewrite and follow an extensive ADA matrix		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Hold scholars and parents accountable for attendance.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Title I: 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	100%	100%	100%	
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2: 100% of students from 6th-8th grade meet their MVPA minutes goal of 1200. (TEC 11.253(d)(10))

Evaluation Data Sources: MVPA tracker

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Create and follow a effective tracking system for MVPA minutes.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of scholars track their progress	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PE Teacher Title I: 2.5 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	10%			
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Performance Objective 1: 100% of full-time staff members complete all tasks within IDEA's staff development cycle including: goal setting, 2x2 and annual performance reviews during the 2023-24 school year.

High Priority

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	ews			
Strategy 1: Managers provide feedback and professional development/coaching for each staff member through each SDC		Formative					
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Scholars show professional growth Title I: 2.5 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals ESF Levers: Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2 		Jan	Mar	June			
No Progress Own Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue					

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 2: Teacher retention is at 72% Root Cause: Admin did not hire the right fit candidates.

Performance Objective 2: IDEA Robinson College Prep is 85% staffed for all teacher positions throughout the 2023-24 school year.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: recruit and hire high quality candidates per role by using high quality resume criteria	Formative Sur			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers are proficient or higher on GET by Dec.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: principal					
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2	80%				
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Maintain consistent support and coaching		Formative	e Summativ		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of staff feel supported and professional developed	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals ESF Levers: Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Demographics 2 	85%				

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics				
Problem Statement 2 : Teacher retention is at 72%	Root Cause: Admin did not hire the right fit candidates.			

Performance Objective 1: 100% Enrollment by the 10th day of school.

High Priority

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews				
Strategy 1: Create and follow solid recruitment plan involving all students	I	Formative				
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase the amount of school applications Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO Title I: 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 	Oct 90%	Jan	Mar	June		
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews				
Strategy 2: Coach all staff around a compelling recruitment pitch		Formative		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: each staff receive applications for the school	Oct	Jan	Mar	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Problem Statements: Perceptions 1	90%					
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/M	odify X Discontin	nue	1			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Perceptions
Problem Statement 1: Student retention is at 82% Root Cause: I did not ensure all scholars were connected to teachers or to each other

State Compensatory

Budget for Ambrose & Freda Robinson College Prep

Total SCE Funds: Total FTEs Funded by SCE: 1 Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Personnel for Ambrose & Freda Robinson College Prep

Name	Position	FTE
Emma Tapia	Interventionist	1

Campus Funding Summary

	Title I, Part A (4120)					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code		
1	2	1	Interventionist		\$0.00	
1	3	1	Interventionist		\$0.00	
				Sub-Total	\$0.00	
Budgeted Fund Source Amount			\$85,000.00			
+/- Difference			+/- Difference	\$85,000.00		
Grand Total Budgeted			Grand Total Budgeted	\$85,000.00		
Grand Total Spent			Grand Total Spent	\$0.00		
				+/- Difference	\$85,000.00	