IDEA Public Schools

Rio Vista College Prep

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: A

Distinction Designations:

Academic Achievement in English Language Arts/Reading Academic Achievement in Mathematics Academic Achievement in Social Studies Top 25 Percent: Comparative Academic Growth Top 25 Percent: Comparative Closing the Gaps Postsecondary Readiness



Board Approval Date: October 21, 2022 **Public Presentation Date:** September 15, 2022

Mission Statement

IDEA Public Schools prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

Core Values

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

•	We achieve Academic Excellence
•	We deliver Results
•	We ensure Equity
•	We build Team & Family
•	We act with Integrity
•	We bring Joy
•	We Sweat the Small Stuff

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	5
Demographics	5
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	6
Perceptions	8
Priority Problem Statements	9
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	11
Goals	13
Goal 1: Increase staff retention	14
Goal 2: All IDEA students matriculate to college	14
Goal 3: IDEA achieves an A rating	16
Goal 4: Increase student daily attendance	19
Goal 5: Increase student persistence	20
Title I Personnel	23
Campus Funding Summary	24
Addendums	25

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: September 15, 2022

Demographics

Demographics Summary

IDEA Rio Vista College Prep is an open enrollment public sharter serving grades 6th - 10th grade. We proudly serve a diverse array of schoalrs. Our special programs include CTE, Emerging Bilingual, Special Education, and Athletics).

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Demographics Strengths

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Enrollment numbers are decreasing in transitional years such as 5th grade and 8th grade. We have seen the highest persistence leavers during summer months (June-August). **Root Cause:** Scholars in the Socorro community are attracted to early college and dual credit options. For the community, the idea of graduating with an associates degree has more value than attaining AP credit.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): EB scholars enrollment rates have increased significantly. As such, campus must adapt and incorporate more urgent interventions for our beginner and intermediate EB scholars. **Root Cause:** A significant increased of EB scholars has enrolled this year. Many of them, are first year in America students who need more urgent support with language acquisition.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

We are proud to be an A rated campus (94), having earned domain ratings of 86, 92, and 99, for student achievement, school progress, and closing the gaps respectively. Our scholars have increased their achievement scores for meets and masters over 30% points in the last school year. IDEA Rio Vista also earned all eligible disctiontions.

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Student Learning Strengths

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Scholars in Special education performed significantly lower than scholars in other accountable subgroups. **Root Cause:** Interventions were primarily fluency based and did not emphasize standard and skill based supports.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): RTI is not being implemented effectively. No clear communication between SEL department and instructional team. **Root Cause:** Ownership at the campus levels was associated with the counseling department.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Our campus uses the School Leadership Levers and Relay School of Education coaching structures to develop leadership. Development goals and individual learning plans for leaders are also clearly communicated to them during weekly coaching session. We host monthly coaching academies to emphasize leadership levers of focus.

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Curriculum & Instruction:

- At least one-third of IDEA students graduate with the "AP Scholar" designation, evidence of earning a qualifying score on at least three AP exams, demonstrating successful completion of college-level coursework prior to graduation.
- IDEA provides students access to accelerated instruction through the 1:1 technology device, including adaptive software for mathematics and literacy and access to hundreds of thousands of books in the district's digital library.
- IDEA curricular resources include high-quality instructional materials that earn the highest ratings on national evaluation measures.
- IDEA curriculum is regularly evaluated using a quality evaluation tool, ensuring alignment to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills and AP course standards, as well as the STAAR/EOC and AP exams.
- IDEA implements a variety of training opportunities for teachers and leadership staff with the goal of developing teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and leaders' coaching skills.
- For 15 years in a row, 100% of IDEA students have been accepted into college, demonstrating that IDEA academic programming is adequately preparing students for post-secondary education.
- In 2022, four IDEA schools were ranked in the top 10 Most Challenging Schools in the Nation and 13 were ranked in the top 1% of schools nationwide, by the Jay Mathews Challenge Index High School Rankings.

Special Programs

- IDEA exceeded the expected growth target for EL student performance on TELPAS in 2021 with 48% of ELs meeting that target.
- IDEA provide targeted research based instruction to students who have a 2.0 year gap in Reading and/or Math with the goal of closing that gap by 2.0 years during the school year with our initiative called Critical Student Intervention (CSI). During the 21-22 school year, 2,562 out of 6,632 students meet that goal for Reading and 703 out of 1,268 students met the goal for Math.
- IDEA is in Year 3 of our TEA awarded Autism Grant. Through this grant we were able to increase support for 195 students with autism in Rio Grande Valley and Austin who met the criteria outlined by TEA for this grant.
- IDEA submitted and was awarded a Dyslexia Grant by the TEA so that we will be able to provide increased professional development to teachers and leaders on working with students with dyslexia and increase the support resources for our students with dyslexia.

SEL/ Mental Health and Family Engagement

- IDEA has increased the number of licensed counselors and Social Workers by 50% over the past 2 years
- IDEA pre-pandemic ADA averaged 97.4%, district is committed to get back to that average
- IDEA reatins an average of 84% of students year over year

- IDEA parents rate IDEA as 4.8/5 on the statement "My school is preparing my child for college"
- IDEA parents rate IDEA as 4.8/5 on the statement "My school hold my child to high academic standards"
- IDEA students rate IDEA as 4.6/5 on the statement "My teachers challenge me to do my best"

IDEA's individualized coaching and development of its employees is a contributing factor in getting new employees to proficiency quickly and providing an internal pool for promotions.

Ongoing feedback, effective accountability mechanisms, and consistent follow through also provide a supportive environment where employees have clear expectations for performance.

IDEA is currently undertaking an initiative to redefine its employee value proposition (EVP) to better identify, promote, and implement recruitment and retention strategies that can provide the talent needed to be successful

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): While there is strong TEKS alignments, the campus has gaps implementing the ELPS. Root Cause: No clear vision for ELPS and EB support across campus.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Gap communicating goals and performance expectations to all stakeholders. Root Cause: Campus has no effectively invested parents in students individual performance and progress goals.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Perceptions Strengths

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Inconsistent and reactive disciplinary practices led to administrative and parent withdrawals. This could have been prevented with more consistent discipline management system. Root Cause: The campus does not have a clear and consistent discipline management system.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Unclear vision to reduce the threat of bullying. High volume of family and student reported bullying concerns led to persistence concerns. Root Cause: The campus does not have a clear and consistent vision to educate all stakeholders on bullying. Campus does not have a clear protocol to address bullying when reported.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Enrollment numbers are decreasing in transitional years such as 5th grade and 8th grade. We have seen the highest persistence leavers during summer months (June-August).

Root Cause 1: Scholars in the Socorro community are attracted to early college and dual credit options. For the community, the idea of graduating with an associates degree has more value than attaining AP credit.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: EB scholars enrollment rates have increased significantly. As such, campus must adapt and incorporate more urgent interventions for our beginner and intermediate EB scholars.

Root Cause 2: A significant increased of EB scholars has enrolled this year. Many of them, are first year in America students who need more urgent support with language acquisition.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: RTI is not being implemented effectively. No clear communication between SEL department and instructional team.Root Cause 3: Ownership at the campus levels was associated with the counseling department.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: While there is strong TEKS alignments, the campus has gaps implementing the ELPS.Root Cause 4: No clear vision for ELPS and EB support across campus.Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: Gap communicating goals and performance expectations to all stakeholders.Root Cause 5: Campus has no effectively invested parents in students individual performance and progress goals.Problem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: Inconsistent and reactive disciplinary practices led to administrative and parent withdrawals. This could have been prevented with more consistent discipline management system.

Root Cause 6: The campus does not have a clear and consistent discipline management system.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 7: Unclear vision to reduce the threat of bullying. High volume of family and student reported bullying concerns led to persistence concerns.

Root Cause 7: The campus does not have a clear and consistent vision to educate all stakeholders on bullying. Campus does not have a clear protocol to address bullying when reported.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Perceptions

Rio Vista College Prep Generated by Plan4Learning.com Problem Statement 8: Scholars in Special education performed significantly lower than scholars in other accountable subgroups.Root Cause 8: Interventions were primarily fluency based and did not emphasize standard and skill based supports.Problem Statement 8 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain

Student Data: Assessments

- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Advanced Placement (AP) and/or International Baccalaureate (IB) assessment data
- Observation Survey results

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- · Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

• Professional learning communities (PLC) data

- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus leadership data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data

Goals

Goal 1: Increase staff retention

Performance Objective 1: By June 2022, we will increase staff retention by 5% for instructional staff in grades six through ten.

Evaluation Data Sources: Great Places to Work Survey

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Recognizing teachers' success through shout out during weekly faculty meetings, teacher weekly newsletter,		Formative		Summative
and/or social media. Recognizing teachers who exhibit IDEA core values every month.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher retention				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: This would be monitored by all campus managers Title I:	75%	75%	X	
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2				
Funding Sources: - Federal Grant - \$69,500				
No Progress ONO Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Scholars in Special education performed significantly lower than scholars in other accountable subgroups. **Root Cause**: Interventions were primarily fluency based and did not emphasize standard and skill based supports.

Problem Statement 2: RTI is not being implemented effectively. No clear communication between SEL department and instructional team. **Root Cause**: Ownership at the campus levels was associated with the counseling department.

Performance Objective 1: By June 2022, 96% of scholars on grade levels six through ten are on track to graduate.

HB3 Goal

Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Academic Support Program for scholars failing more than one subject area.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in failure rates.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: College Counselor Title I: 2.6 Funding Sources: - Federal Grant - \$65,520	50%	70%		
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue		

Goal 2: All IDEA students matriculate to college

Performance Objective 2: By June 2022, 90% of Special Education scholars on grade levels six through ten are on track to graduate.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR/EOC/TSI On track to graduation metrics

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

	Strateg	y 1 Details			Reviews		
Strategy 1: Academic Intervention	s for scholars needing ad	ditional support.			Formative		
				Oct	Jan	Mar	June
				85%	85%		
	No Progress	Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1: By June 2022, the percent of students reading at or above grade level for grades six through ten will increase by at least three percentage points as measured by STAAR and monitored by district wide universal screener.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Benchmark and summative assessments

Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Hold after-school intervention for scholars who failed the STAAR assessment in reading.		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Team	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 Funding Sources: - Federal Grant - \$36,648.80, - Federal Grant - \$31,204.80	60%	70%		
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Enrollment numbers are decreasing in transitional years such as 5th grade and 8th grade. We have seen the highest persistence leavers during summer months (June-August). **Root Cause**: Scholars in the Socorro community are attracted to early college and dual credit options. For the community, the idea of graduating with an associates degree has more value than attaining AP credit.

Problem Statement 2: EB scholars enrollment rates have increased significantly. As such, campus must adapt and incorporate more urgent interventions for our beginner and intermediate EB scholars. **Root Cause**: A significant increased of EB scholars has enrolled this year. Many of them, are first year in America students who need more urgent support with language acquisition.

Performance Objective 2: By June 2022, the percent of students math performance at or above grade level for grades six through ten will increase by at least three percentage points as measured by STAAR and monitored by district wide universal screener.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Benchmark and summative assessments

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Hold tutoring after school for scholars who did not meet grade level on the previous year STAAR assessment.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase academic performance of scholars	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Lead Team Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools	80%	80%		
No Progress Owner Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: By June 2022, the percent of students meeting CCMR indicators in grade ten will increase by at least three percentage points as measured by TSI and ACT and monitored by district wide universal screener.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Benchmark and summative assessments

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Hold tutoring after school for scholars who did not meet growth on the TSI assessment.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase academic outcomes for scholars	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Lead Team Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools	80%	65%		
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1: By June 2022, we achieve our college preparatory attendance goal of 97%

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Average Daily attendance

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Invest teachers and staff around the instructional impact of ADA.		Formative Summ		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase ADA	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO	40%	40%		
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Develop and implement an escalation matrix to address severe attendance gaps.		Formative Summ		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Prevent chronic absentiism	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO	65%	65%		
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		-

Performance Objective 1: By June 2022, 90% of scholars on grade levels six through ten will persist to the 2023-2024 school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Mission List

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Weekly strategy persistence meetings to discuss mission list.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in scholar persistence	Oct	Oct Jan Mar		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counseling Team	50%	50%	100%	
No Progress Owner Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2: Provide 100% of guided lesson for scholars on school safety and violence prevention.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Counselor sign-in sheets and calendar.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
trategy 1: Calendar lessons to be done monthly by SSA		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in persistence	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: SSA Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college	70%	50%		
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		1

Goal 5: Increase student persistence

Performance Objective 3: Ensure that 100% of all CP meet the fitness gram metrics by EOY.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: IHT minutes Healthy kids here

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Measuring scholars achieving fitness ambassador metric		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increasing daili attendance and scholar persistence	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	65%	60%		
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Title I Personnel

Name	Position	Program	<u>FTE</u>
Angelica March	ILEARNING HOT SPOT FACILITATOR		1
Azereth Mendoza	INTERVENTIONIST		1
Joshua Ugarte	AR ZONE FACILITATOR		1
Krystal Adams	INTERVENTIONIST		1
Zachary Torres	COLLEGE COUNSELOR		1

Campus Funding Summary

	Federal Grant									
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount						
1	1	1		\$69,500.00						
2	1	1		\$65,520.00						
3	1	1		\$31,204.80						
3	1	1		\$36,648.80						
	•		Sub-Total	\$202,873.60						

Addendums

	Comprehensive Needs Assessment											
	COLLEGE PREP											
	Data Source: Internal Assessments											
	6th Grade	7th Grade										
	EOY	EOY										
	Assessment	Assessment										
% Passing												
	Data Source: STAAR											
	STAAR 8th	EOC										
	US History	US History										
% Approaches	82											
% Meets	35											
% Masters	13											
% Student												
Achievement	43											
Average												
			Data Sour	ce: AP/IB								
	Pass AP	Pass AP	Pass AP									
	Human	World	US	Pass AP	Pass AP							
AP Scores	Geography	History	History	Goverment	Economics	IB Scores	IB Group 3					
% Score 1	88%					% Score 1-3						
% Score 2	43%					% Score 4-5						
% Score 3+	12%					% Score 6-7						

Refle	ctions
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need
1 What and How Key Points - What and How key points allowed teacher to zero in on what information students needed to know in order to understand how to answer the questions	1 Address TEKS and What and How Key Points integrated in WW curriculum and completing TEK based weeks with a focus on a particular set of TEKs within a genre
2 Targeted intervention - Outside of tutoring needs	2 While interventions were data based and instruction was scaffolded, there was a lack of oversight when it came to tutoring which led to a lack of rigor during tutoring hours

3 Student work Analysis	3 Particularly for EOC, writing components, student work analysis was completed and feedback provided but at times, there was a delay in the return of feedback which resulted students potentially carrying misconceptions into the next day
-------------------------	---

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP											
TELPAS Composite Rating (Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing)											
	Listening Speaking Reading Writing										
% Beginning	8%	19%	9%	12%							
% Intermediate	29%	29%	33%	17%	41%						
% Advanced	35%	50%	53%	53%	4170						
% Advanced High	29%	1%	5%	5%							

Reflection								
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need							
1 Deliberate practice scohlars' listening and speaking before assessments.	1 We did not have a cross-curricular approach for literacy. Reading and writing were isolated to the ELAR classroom.							
2 Targetted vocabulary through membeam during the intervention block.	2 Teachers did not receive tools to implement SIOP strategies and differentiate material for LEP scholars.							
3 DISE intervention for Spanish speakers showed positive impact for the speaking component.	3 We did not monitor progress on TELPAS levels through out the school year. Once we received mock data, we did not utilize it to monitor progress or support scholars in their areas of need.							

Ma	ster CNA & SAIP I	Reporting Checklist	PTG	90%	PTG	
Data Source	Data Tabs	Guidance	Status	Principal Notes or Questions	VP Verification	Notes & Next S
ep KEYS Tab (Tab 3)	Student Achievement Improvement Plan 2021-2022 School Year	This SAIP plan will require logging concrete initiatives put in place for student improvement, as well as their associated timelines, resources, and responsible staff. Each initiative will be tracked mid-year and at the end of the year. Mid-year assessments should be completed by each campus between December 1, 2022 and no later than January 31, 2023.	Complete		Action Required	
	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 English Language Arts		Complete		Action Required	
Locus Dashboards: CIS STARR, AP	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Math	These Pages should include the <u>percentage</u> of students who achieved each listed score for the 2021-2022 School Year.	Complete		Action Required	
Campus IB Reports EOY Assessments	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Science	For each subject, list a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete		Action Required	
	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Humanities		Complete		Action Required	
State TELPAS Report	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 TELPAS	This data set will outline the <u>percentage</u> of students that earned proficiency in the areas of Listening, Speaking, Reading, and Writing, as indicated in the State TELPAS report. Llist a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete		Action Required	
Locus Dashboards: ACT, AP, TSI Campus IB Reports Campus Matriculation Reports	100% College Matriculation 2021-2022 School Year	This page will only be applicable to campuses that had graduating classes in the Spring of 2022. List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Pending	Not applicable for our campus	Action Required	
Locus Dashboard: Staff Rentention	Staff Quality, Retention, & Recruitment 2021-2022 School Year	Under the %SPED Data Point, this should relfect the <u>percentage</u> of SPED certified teachers, compared to the overall SPED staff. Under number of teacher applicants, note how many teachers were interviewed by campus leaders for positions during the 2021-2022 School Year. List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete		Action Required	
Locus Dashboards: Student Persistence, Enrollment, ADA	School Culture & Climate 2021-2022 School Year	For the Withdrawals/Level 3 Offenses data point, list the <u>number</u> of withdrawals or offenses. All other data points should reflect <u>percentages</u> . List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete		Action Required	
Campus Academic Counselor Tracking Systems	2022 Family & Community Involvement	In addition to listing data percentages, list a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete		Action Required	

2021-22 Student Achievement Improvement Plan - College Prep

COLLEGE PREP

	COLLEGE PREP										
APO	Initiatives	Needs Assess.	Special Pops.	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline Start/End	Resources: Human/ Material/Fiscal	Documentation	Formative Evaluation			
1A	Hybrid Lab Blended Learning	S	ALL	Hybrid lab facilitator: Ugarte	8/13-6/2	AR zone, ST math, Think through math	Weekly ;lead team walkthrough, Student growth progress measures	Student data trackers, District weekly data review			
1A	Instructional Rounds	S,E	ALL	Principal; Lead Team	At least 2 times a week	Surface Pro, walk-through form (OCS)	Campus Lead Team	Walk-through form (OCS)			
1A	Laying the foundation Training/AP Institute	S,E	ALL	Lead Team	June & July	PD budget	Weekly specific skill observations of teachers by lead team	Weekly specific skill observations of teachers by lead team			
1A	Kagan Training	S,E	ALL	Lead Team	July-May	PD budget	Lesson Plans, Weekly specific skill observations of teachers by lead team,	Weekly specific skill observations of teachers by lead team			
1A	DI Instruction	S,E	AR	Interventionists: Adams, Mendoza, medellin Sp. Ed. Teacher Carrera, Mendez, Quintero	Aug May	DI Instructional Materials	Weekly Assessment, IA, benchmarks, STAAR Prefomance indicators	Student performance data trackers, District weekly data review			
1A	Lead4ward Training	S , E,	ALL	Content Leads	8/24-5/25	Lead4ward Documents/Pacing Charts/Etc.	Weekly check ins with manager to ensure proper implementation	Visual Displays, Student work/assessments			
1A	Academic Block	S, E,	ALL	Interventionists: Adams, Mendoza, medellin Sp. Ed. Teacher Carrera, Mendez, Quintero	8/13-6/2	COWS, Achieve 3000, Study Island, Shmoop, Khan Academy	Weekly Check ins and scheduling	Student Performance Reports, Pre- Test/Post-Test			
1A	Witlow	S, E	ELL,SE, AR	API - Trujillo	8/13-6/2	Line item in discretionary budget: Learning compass personnel, curricular resources provided	Student progress, Student STAAR assessments, teacher feedback	IA Results STAAR/EOC CSR			
1A	Writer's Workshop Consultants	S,E	ALL	API- Elguea & API Trujilo	8/31-5/11	Line item in discretionary budget: Writer's Workshop personnel, curricular resources provided	Student progress, Studer	IA Results STAAR/EOC CSR			
1A	STAAR/EOC Consumable Resources	S,E	ALL	API- Elguea & API Trujilo	10/12-5/11	Buckle down, mentoring minds, STAAR coach, Dana Center	Teacher feedback on res	Teacher feedback on resources			
2D	Person of influence (POI)	О	AR	Lead Team	8/31-5/25	Google docs, progress reports, teacher feedback, student data, merit store	Peer observation of mee	Google doc student tracker			

2021-22 Student Achievement Improvement Plan - College Prep

COLLEGE PREP

				COLLEGE I	REP			
2C/2D	Perfect Attendance Incentives	0	ALL	APO - Ramon Salazar	8/13-6/2	Ipads, Ipods, MP3, Bikes, Board Games, Footballs, Basketballs, Soccer Balls	Weekly Check Ins with Principal	Check In Doc
1A	Check for Understanding (CFU Training)`	S, E,	All	Lead Team	8/13-6/2	PD Line Item	Teacher Observation Debriefs or Coaching documents/ feedback forms	Weekly specific skill observations of teachers by lead team
1A	Student Intervention Pull Out Program/DI	S,E	AR	Interventionists: Adams, Mendoza, medellin Sp. Ed. Teacher Carrera, Mendez, Quintero	8/31-5/15	Google docs, progress reports, teacher feedback, student data, merit store items	weekly lead team observation	student trackers
1A	RTI (electronic data base)	S,E	AR	API Laurel & API Mendoza	10/5-5/25	RTI Packet amd EdClick	Weekly Assessment, IA, STAAR Prefomance indicators	RtI Student Folder
1A	Saturday School	S,E	.R, ELL,S	All Staff	1/13-5/7	tested area teachers, cnp, out of box resources, COWs, Study Island/Achieve 3000	% student & teacher Participation	% student & teacher Participation. Online Google DocumentationSTA AR scores
1A	Spring break tutoring	S,E	ALL	API- ELguea & API Trujilo	3/14-3/18	tested area teachers, cnp, STAAR/EOC Materials, COWs	% student & teacher Participation	% student & teacher Participation STAAR scores
1A	STAAR software support	S,E	ALL	Interventionists	8/24-5/25	Achieve 3000, Grade Cam, Khan Academy, Study	Student trackers	IA scores, Staar scores
1A	STAAR summer camp	S,E	All	API- ELguea & API Trujilo	5/30-6/24	tested area teachers, cnp, out of box resources, COWs	% student & teacher Participation (pre-post test)	% student & teacher Participation pre- post test
	Student data Reviews (data conversations & trackers)	S,E	AR, SE, ELL	lead team & all faculty	8/13-5/25	teacher training at beginning of school year, data binders	weekly lead team meetings with teachers	student trackers
1A	Sub pop Academies/DI Program	S,E	AR, ELL, SE	Interventionist- MS Interventionist- Trevino/ HS Interventionist Hernadez/Saenz, Sp. Ed. Teachers	9/7-5/25	Rosette Stone, Achieve 3000, I Pads (with ELL Apps), DI Program Materials	student trackers, observation of instructors, POI	student trackers
1A	Teacher Data Reviews (Weekly Assessments, IA, PTGs, etc.)	S,E,A,A P	SE,ELL	lead team & all faculty	8/31-5/25	Data binders, Grade Cam data	IA, PTG, , Exit slips	Google doc, student progress
1A	Writing across content	S,E,A,A P	ALL	API- Elguea & API Trujilo	8/13-5/25	writing techniques per content	teacher use in class	student use in class
2A	Teachboost	0	ALL	Lead Team, Admin. Assist.	Fall (1) & Spring (1) Semester	District provided software	Coaching Tracker	Coaching Tracker and Next Steps

2021-22 Student Achievement Improvement Plan - College Prep

COLLEGE PREP

1B	ACT SOFTWARE SUPPORT	A, AP	ALL	Director of College counseling: Torres	8/13-5/25	KEVIEW	Student progress reports	student & TOT
1F	RTTC Program (counselors & tutors)	A,AP,O		College counselors- Torres & RTTC Teachers			Observation of tutors, & RTTC classroom instructor	RTTC binder, lesson plans

Title I Q	ualifying P	rograms	Initiatives Status						
	ernal Use O		Mid	Year	End o	End of Year			
Supplemental Program (Y/N)	New Program (Y/N)	Budget Allocation	Outcome	Increase/Decreas ed by X%	Outcome	Increase/Decreas ed by X%			
	tions only need to					the middle and end			
	are <u>BOTH</u> Supple				e year				
Y	N								
Y	Ν								
Y	N								
Y	N								
Y	Ν								
Y	Y	\$3,000							
Y	N								
Y	Ν								
Y	Y	\$7,000							
Y	Ν								
Y	Ν								
•	. ,								

Title I Qualifying Programs			Initiatives Status				
Internal Use Only			Mid Year		End of Year		
Supplemental Program (Y/N)	New Program (Y/N)	Budget Allocation	Outcome	Increase/Decreas ed by X%	Outcome	Increase/Decreas ed by X%	
Y	N						
Y	N						
Y	N						
Y	Y						
Y	N						
Y	N						
Y	Ν						
Y	N						
Y	N						
Y	N						
Y	N						
Y	N						
Y	N						

Title I Qualifying Programs			Initiatives Status			
Internal Use Only		Mid Year		End of Year		
Supplemental Program (Y/N)	New Program (Y/N)	Budget Allocation	Outcome	Increase/Decreas ed by X%	Outcome	Increase/Decreas ed by X%
Y	Ν					
Y	Ν					

Annual Performance Objectives (APO)

PRIORITY #1: Students Graduate College-Ready

1A. % of graduates who matriculate to a College or University: 100%

1B. % of graduates matriculate to a Tier I/II College or University: $15\% \mid 25\%$

1C. % of Seniors accepted to a College or University: 100%

1D. % of Seniors named AP scholars | Earn IB diploma: 30% | 25%

1E. Earned State Rating: A

1F. % Basic | % Mastery | % Advanced on LEAP: 82% | 44% | 11%

1G. % Approaches | % Meets | % Masters on STAAR/EOC: 90% | 60% | 30%

1H. % Level 3 | % Level 4 | % Level 5 on FSA/FSAA: 70% | 40% | 20%

1I. % of Pre-K-2nd Students End The Year On/Above Grade Level in Reading - Year 1 Campuses | Year 2 Campuses: 80% | 90%

1J. % of students in CSI achieve 2 years growth in Reading | Math (measured by Ren STAR) 50% | 60%

1K. Average ACT score: 21

1L. % of students graduating college in 4 | 6 years: 25% | 55%

Needs Assessment

S-STAAR D-DIBELS E-EOC A-ACT RR-Reading Renaissance ST-STAR for Math DR-Discipline Report AP-AP Tests O-Other

All

Special Populations

AR-At Risk ELL-English Language Learners ED-Economically Disadvantaged M-Migrant SE-Special Education

	Comprehensive Needs Assessment - College Prep							
	COLLEGE PREP							
Data Source: STAAR								
	STAAR Math	STAAR Math	STAAR					
	6th	7th	Alg I					
% Approaches	70	80	85					
% Meets	30	50	51					
% Masters	8	15	31					
% Student Achievement Average	36	48	56					
		Data Sou	rce: AP/IB					
	Pass AP	Pass AP	Pass AP Prob.					
AP Scores	Calculus AB	Calculus CD	& Stats.	IB Scores	IB Group 5			
% Score 1				% Score 1-3				
% Score 2				% Score 4-5				
% Score 3+				% Score 6-7				

Refle	ections
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need
1 Cohesive Math team with strong, knowledeable content lead.	1 Monitor the quality of the testing environment during assessments to ensure quality data.
2 Math team members have strong content knowledge and leveraged best practices including multiple STAAR/EOC aligned resources to maximize scholar access to oppotunities to show mastery.	2 Implement system to monitor/verify quality of testing environment during assessments, then use authentic data to drive interventions (after school, Saturdays, & Inter- sessions)
3 Math Team share vision of student success and leveraged parent involvement.	3 Identify CFS for Lead Team for managing intervention sessions.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment							
COLLEGE PREP							
	Data Source: CSI						
% Meeting CSI Goal	Reading CP CSI						
% CSI (EOY Ren.)	72.58%						
% of CSI Passing STAAR	85%						
% of SPED Passing STAAR	50%						
		Da	ata Source: STAA	AR			
	STAAR Reading 6th	STAAR Reading 7th	STAAR Reading 8th	English I EOC	English II EOC	Overall	
% Approaches	71	79	93	73		79	
% Meets	36	55	73	54		55	
% Masters	18	29	48	13		27	
% Student Achievement Average	42	54	71	47		54	
		Data So	ource: AP/IB/E	lectives			
AP Scores	Pass AP Lit	Pass AP Lang	IB Scores	IB Group 1	% Royal Readers	% Word Master	
% Score 1			% Score 1-3				
% Score 2			% Score 4-5				
% Score 3+			% Score 6-7				
					RR Goal Met/Not Met	WM Goal Met/Not Met	
					Met	Met	

Reflections	
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need
I What and How Key Points - What and How key points allowed teacher to zero in on what information students needed to know in order to understand how to answer the questions	1 Address TEKS and What and How Key Points integrated in WW curriculum and completing TEK based weeks with a focus on a particular set of TEKs within a genre

2 Targeted intervention - Outside of tutoring needs. We did not successfully differentiate scholars needs by objective.	2 While interventions were data based and instruction was scaffolded, there was a lack of oversight when it came to tutoring which led to a lack of rigor during tutoring hours
3 Student work Analysis	3 Particularly for EOC, writing components, student work analysis was completed and feedback provided but at times, there was a delay in the return of feedback which resulted students potentially carrying misconceptions into the next day

	Comprehensive Needs Assessment					
			COLLEG			
		Data	Source: Inter	nal Assessmen	ts	
	6th Grade EOY	7th Grade EOY				
	Assessment	Assessment				
% Passing	41/12/2	75/55/30				
			Data Source	e: STAAR		
	STAAR 8th	STAAR				
	Science	Biology				
% Approaches		93				
% Meets		70				
% Masters		26				
% Student						
Achievement		63				
Average						
			Data Source	e: AP/IB		
			Pass AP			
	Pass AP	Pass AP	Physics	Pass AP		
AP Scores	Biology	Chemistry	(1, 2, & C)	Env. Science	IB Scores	IB Group 4
% Score 1					% Score 1-3	
% Score 2					% Score 4-5	
% Score 3+					% Score 6-7	

Reflect	ions
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need
1 Cohesive Biology team with strong, knowledeble content	1 Lack of content internalization of the content by 9th
lead.	Chemistry teacher
2 Biology team members have strong content knowledge and leveraged best practices including multiple STAAR/EOC aligned resources to maximize scholar access to opportunities to show mastery.	
3 Math Team shared vision of student success and leveraged parent involvement.	3 Assessment benchmarks were given too close to EOC date, minimizing time for effective time for effective intervention.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment						
COLLEGE PREP						
Staff Quality, Recruitment, Retention						
	Percentage					
% School Lead Team Retention	100%					
% Instructional Support Retention	88%					
% Teacher Retention	85%					
% Campus Support Retention	100%					
% SPED Certified Teachers	17%					
% State/National Certified Teachers	26%					
% State Certified Leaders	26%					
% State/Board certified Counselors	0%					
Number of teacher applicants per 2020-21 school year	25					

Reflections					
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need				
1 Strong GTL and content leader model for teachers	1 Poor onboarding during the transition.				
2 Strong CL model for teacher content support	2 Curricular support and mentoring for new teachers.				
3 Quarterly touchpoint with principals for all staff.	3 No implementation of a mentoring program.				

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP							
	100% College Matriculation						
Matriculation %	Matricuation % 4 year	Matriculation % 2 year	Tier 1/2 % Acceptances	Tier 1/2 % Matriculation			
Tier 1 % Matriculation	Tier 2 % Matriculation	Tier 3 % Matriculation	Tier 4 % Matriculation	Senior Class CCMR %	Senior Class TSI Completion %		
Campus Data							
Senior Class ACT Average	Junior Class ACT Average	Overall AP Scholars (3+ or more tests)	IB Medallion Scholars (Passing 3+ exams)	Overall % IB Diploma	Campus End of Year OTG		

Reflections			
Areas of Need			

NOT Applicable Yet

Comprehensive Needs Assessment				
College Prep Data Source: School Culture and Climate				
	Campus %			
% Average Daily Attendance	89.50%			
% Overall Persistence	82.63%			
% New Student Persistence	87.50%			
# of Admin Withdrawals/ Level 3 Offenses	0			
% SPED	12%			
% ELL	30%			
% Eco Dis	87%			
% Migrant	0%			
% Race: American-Indian- Alaska-Native				
% Asian	1%			
% White-Hispanic	4%			
% Multi	1%			
% Black-African-American	3%			
% Native-Hawaiian-Pacific- Islander	0%			
% White	5%			
% Male	52%			
% Female	48%			

Data Source: School Culture and Climate				
Reflections				
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need			
1 Early identification of domain 3 scholars	1 Identification of specific Tier II interventions for subgrops			
2 Early goal setting with all scholars in subgroups	2 Monitoring progress of special populations as they progress through the year			
3	3 No consistent strategy around parent investment			

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP				
Data Source: Family and Community Involvement				
	Percentage			
% Families Attended WTI	60%			
% Families Attended Curriculum Night	74%			
% Families Who Attended EOY Ceremonies	91%			
% Families who attended Fall Festival	N/A			
% Families who attended Winter Festival	30%			
% Families who attended Spring Festival	N/A			

Reflections				
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need			
	1 Inconsistent Tracking Systems to identify family attendance between academy or college Prep			
01	2 Inconsistent invite systems and tracking mechanisms for RSVP			
3	3 Lack of Planning of events ahead of time.			