IDEA Public Schools

Harlingen College Prep

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: A

Distinction Designations:

Academic Achievement in Mathematics
Top 25 Percent: Comparative Academic Growth
Top 25 Percent: Comparative Closing the Gaps
Postsecondary Readiness

Board Approval Date: October 21, 2022 **Public Presentation Date:** September 14, 2022

Mission Statement

IDEA Public Schools prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

Core Values

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

| • | We achieve Academic Excellence |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| • | We deliver Results |
| • | We ensure Equity |
| • | We build Team & Family |
| • | We act with Integrity |
| • | We bring Joy |
| • | We Sweat the Small Stuff |

Table of Contents

| Comprehensive Needs Assessment | 4 |
|--|----|
| Demographics | 4 |
| Student Learning | 4 |
| School Processes & Programs | 5 |
| Perceptions | 7 |
| Priority Problem Statements | 8 |
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation | 10 |
| Goals | 12 |
| Goal 1: Increase staff retention | 13 |
| Goal 2: IDEA Harlingen will meet enrollment by the 10th day of school. | 14 |
| Goal 3: IDEA achieves an A rating | 16 |
| Goal 4: Increase student daily attendance | 23 |
| Goal 5: Increase student persistence | 25 |
| Title I Personnel | 30 |
| Campus Funding Summary | 31 |
| Addendums | 32 |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

We are a 6th-7th grade campus, serving roughly 200 students. We have students enrolled in advanced math and reading all year and humanities/science are taught for one semester each. We have 18 Special education students and 49 EL students.

Our school currently offers Decoding and Reading Success for our critical student intervention, as well as AR/Hotspot and PE as electives. Next year, we will offer CTE to our 8th graders. We also have five sports through athletics, dance and cheer through after school programs, as well as clubs like art club and GSA.

See PDF addendum for more information

Demographics Strengths

See PDF in addendum for more information

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): No differentiated PD for teachers who struggled with culture. **Root Cause:** Lack of planning in advance to have PD calendared and ready.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): No plan in place in our behavior management plan for multiple level 1 and level 2 behaviors **Root** Cause: Gap in leader preparation of the document because of the assumptions that those decisions could be made without guidance.

Problem Statement 3: No full time counselor/social worker. **Root Cause:** Hiring model does not prioritize this position, and at the campus level I had the student success advisor also managing EL, which took time away from counseling students.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

For the 21-22 school year, we were an A rated campus, earning 4/5 distinctions. Our Domain 3 rating was a 91, with 100% of sub-pops meeting growth goals on all exams and 65% of sub-pops meeting their academic achievement targets. Our campus earned the full 10 points for TELPAS within domain three. For Domain 2, the campus earned a 92 for growth and a 90 for relative performance.

The biggest gaps in student learning were academic achievement by the following sub-populations: 6th reading- non-continuously enrolled and EL and 7th math- Hispanic, EL, and non-continuously enrolled. Our special education percent passing (while this did not count as a sub-pop last year) was: 50% passing 6th grade exams and 40% passing 7th grade exams.

Last year, 100% of our students ended the year on track to graduate, due to teacher support in making up work during tutorials/Saturday schools, and during "OTG work time."

See PDF in addendum for more information.

Student Learning Strengths

See PDF in addendum for more information.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): EL students did not meet academic achievement benchmarks in 2/4 exams. **Root Cause:** Classroom instructional strategies do not prioritize our language learners.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Academic Achievement benchmarks were met for only 65% of sub-pops. **Root Cause:** Classroom instruction often focuses on getting 100% of students to mastery, often not pushing rigor for students who should be working towards meets/masters.

Problem Statement 3: Students struggled to perform on expository passages across all sub-pops and performance bands. **Root Cause:** District curriculum does not teach/test expository, and we did not adjust as a campus to fill the gap until later in the year.

Problem Statement 4: Our campus interviewed over 12 candidates before hiring for one math position, ultimately hiring a math teacher with gaps in content knowledge. **Root Cause:** Talent pool for math is weak.

Problem Statement 5: Our campus did not earn the ELA distinction for academic achievement. **Root Cause:** ELA instruction often lacks specific and sufficient at bats that align to STAAR assessments AND push students to explain their thinking.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Instructional leaders on campus are developed both in house and through a district-lead training program. Here on campus, I observe and coach calendar completion and fidelity, as well as the entire coaching cycle, tactical and huddle facilitation, and professional development on our School Leadership Levers rubric. I also model each of these components for them, and rate through Teachboost on the rubric. The district also provides them with Coaching Academy sessions for targetted instruction and practices on these things and a district coach follows up in the field for new APIs.

We plan two professional development sessions a month to increase student achievement through teacher development. These sessions are then followed up with classroom observations to ensure that our instruction is making an impact in the classroom.

See PDF addendum for more information.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

See PDF attachment for more information.

Curriculum & Instruction:

- At least one-third of IDEA students graduate with the "AP Scholar" designation, evidence of earning a qualifying score on at least three AP exams, demonstrating successful completion of college-level coursework prior to graduation.
- IDEA provides students access to accelerated instruction through the 1:1 technology device, including adaptive software for mathematics and literacy and access to hundreds of thousands of books in the district's digital library.
- IDEA curricular resources include high-quality instructional materials that earn the highest ratings on national evaluation measures.
- IDEA curriculum is regularly evaluated using a quality evaluation tool, ensuring alignment to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills and AP course standards, as well as the STAAR/EOC and AP exams.
- IDEA implements a variety of training opportunities for teachers and leadership staff with the goal of developing teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and leaders' coaching skills.
- For 15 years in a row, 100% of IDEA students have been accepted into college, demonstrating that IDEA academic programming is adequately preparing students for post-secondary education.
- In 2022, four IDEA schools were ranked in the top 10 Most Challenging Schools in the Nation and 13 were ranked in the top 1% of schools nationwide, by the Jay Mathews Challenge Index High School Rankings.

Special Programs

- IDEA exceeded the expected growth target for EL student performance on TELPAS in 2021 with 48% of ELs meeting that target.
- IDEA provide targeted research based instruction to students who have a 2.0 year gap in Reading and/or Math with the goal of closing that gap by 2.0 years during the school year with our initiative called Critical Student Intervention (CSI). During the 21-22 school year, 2,562 out of 6,632 students meet that goal for Reading and 703 out of 1,268 students met the goal for Math.
- IDEA is in Year 3 of our TEA awarded Autism Grant. Through this grant we were able to increase support for 195 students with autism in Rio Grande Valley and Austin who met the criteria outlined by TEA for this grant.
- IDEA submitted and was awarded a Dyslexia Grant by the TEA so that we will be able to provide increased professional development to teachers and leaders on working with students with dyslexia and increase the support resources for our students with dyslexia.

SEL/ Mental Health and Family Engagement

- IDEA has increased the number of licensed counselors and Social Workers by 50% over the past 2 years
- IDEA pre-pandemic ADA averaged 97.4%, district is committed to get back to that average
- IDEA reatins an average of 84% of students year over year
- IDEA parents rate IDEA as 4.8/5 on the statement "My school is preparing my child for college"
- IDEA parents rate IDEA as 4.8/5 on the statement "My school hold my child to high academic standards"
- IDEA students rate IDEA as 4.6/5 on the statement "My teachers challenge me to do my best"

IDEA's individualized coaching and development of its employees is a contributing factor in getting new employees to proficiency quickly and providing an internal pool for promotions.

Ongoing feedback, effective accountability mechanisms, and consistent follow through also provide a supportive environment where employees have clear expectations for performance.

IDEA is currently undertaking an initiative to redefine its employee value proposition (EVP) to better identify, promote, and implement recruitment and retention strategies that can provide the talent needed to be successful

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Our campus has not met the district-wide critical student intervention goal in two years. **Root Cause:** Last year, prioritized the wrong students during BOY testing, and did not prioritize the correct students when rostering classes.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): New instructional leader did not have a clear scope and sequence for development based on SLLs. **Root Cause:** Principal did not create scope and sequence until later in the year, and then did not follow with fidelity.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Our behavior management system is clearly documented and has restorative elements and pro-active plans to develop SEL skills in students. This includes all components of Yale's Center for Emotional Intelligence system- RULER, as well as GET 2 guiding reflection questions for teachers. If students are suspended OR have conflict with a staff member/peer, our counselor meets with them within 24 hours for mediation. We met the district goal of 85% staff retention, and also had 92% of staff agree that overall, IDEA HCP is a great place to work.

See PDF addendum for more information.

Perceptions Strengths

See PDF in addendum for more information.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There was a lack of SEL support time for individual student needs. Root Cause: SSA was also leading EL work, which took up a lot of her calendar.

Problem Statement 2: Only 3 family engagement events were held on campus last year. **Root Cause:** COVID guidelines were confusing at times, and we also had multiple teachers/staff who struggled with anxiety around large groups of people on campus (due to COVID).

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): SSA was unclear how much money she had to spend on events for students and families. Root Cause: Principal did not set a clear budget.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Family engagement at events never exceeded 70%. **Root Cause:** Creating more compelling invitations/incentives/communication around when events are.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: No differentiated PD for teachers who struggled with culture.

Root Cause 1: Lack of planning in advance to have PD calendared and ready.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: No plan in place in our behavior management plan for multiple level 1 and level 2 behaviors

Root Cause 2: Gap in leader preparation of the document because of the assumptions that those decisions could be made without guidance.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: EL students did not meet academic achievement benchmarks in 2/4 exams.

Root Cause 3: Classroom instructional strategies do not prioritize our language learners.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Academic Achievement benchmarks were met for only 65% of sub-pops.

Root Cause 4: Classroom instruction often focuses on getting 100% of students to mastery, often not pushing rigor for students who should be working towards meets/masters.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: Our campus has not met the district-wide critical student intervention goal in two years.

Root Cause 5: Last year, prioritized the wrong students during BOY testing, and did not prioritize the correct students when rostering classes.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: New instructional leader did not have a clear scope and sequence for development based on SLLs.

Root Cause 6: Principal did not create scope and sequence until later in the year, and then did not follow with fidelity.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 7: There was a lack of SEL support time for individual student needs.

Root Cause 7: SSA was also leading EL work, which took up a lot of her calendar.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 8: SSA was unclear how much money she had to spend on events for students and families.

Root Cause 8: Principal did not set a clear budget.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 9: Family engagement at events never exceeded 70%.

Root Cause 9: Creating more compelling invitations/incentives/communication around when events are.

Problem Statement 9 Areas: Perceptions

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Student failure and/or retention rates

Student Data: Student Groups

- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback

Employee Data

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- · Community surveys and/or other feedback

• Organizational structure data

Goals

Goal 1: Increase staff retention

Performance Objective 1: IDEA Harlingen will maintain or increase 92% agreement on GPTW statements by EOY.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Great Places to Work Survey

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | iews | |
|--|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Lead team will analyze statements from GPTW and choose focus areas to improve adult culture throughout the | Formative | | | Summative |
| year in alignment to these statements. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Great places to work survey will show 92% agreement or higher, and staff will | | | | |
| stay at IDEA Harlingen because they are happy at work. | 25% | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APIs, SSA, APO | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: | | | | |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals | | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | | |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Perceptions 1 | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Lead team will implement both in-the-moment feedback and more formal feedback from teachers throughout | Formative | | | Summative |
| the year. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will feel their voice matters and improve our campus systems/culture, | | - Jun | 17141 | June |
| which will benefit student learning. | 50% | 700/ | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal | 50% | 70% | | |
| Title I: | | | | |
| 2.6 | | | | |
| - TEA Priorities: | | | | |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals | | | | |
| - ESF Levers: | | | | |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Strategy 3 Details | Reviews | | | | |
|---|----------|--------|-----------|------|--|
| Strategy 3: IDEA Harlingen lead team will reflect and act on GPTW fall pulse check survey. | For | | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Results on the lowest 3-5 GPTW pulse check questions increase by 10-15% on the GPTW final survey. | Oct Jan | | Mar | June | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal | | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 | | | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | • | 1 | |

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: No differentiated PD for teachers who struggled with culture. Root Cause: Lack of planning in advance to have PD calendared and ready.

Problem Statement 2: No plan in place in our behavior management plan for multiple level 1 and level 2 behaviors **Root Cause**: Gap in leader preparation of the document because of the assumptions that those decisions could be made without guidance.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Academic Achievement benchmarks were met for only 65% of sub-pops. **Root Cause**: Classroom instruction often focuses on getting 100% of students to mastery, often not pushing rigor for students who should be working towards meets/masters.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: There was a lack of SEL support time for individual student needs. Root Cause: SSA was also leading EL work, which took up a lot of her calendar.

Goal 2: IDEA Harlingen will meet enrollment by the 10th day of school.

Performance Objective 1: 90% or more of IDEA Harlingen staff will participate in at least two super recruitment days.

Evaluation Data Sources: Super recruitment day sign in.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-------------|-------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: SRDs will be posted in Teacher Weekly one month in advance of SRD. | Formative S | | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase participation because teachers are aware of the expectation. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Perceptions 3, 4 | 100% | 100% | 100% | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: SSA was unclear how much money she had to spend on events for students and families. Root Cause: Principal did not set a clear budget.

Problem Statement 4: Family engagement at events never exceeded 70%. Root Cause: Creating more compelling invitations/incentives/communication around when events are.

Goal 2: IDEA Harlingen will meet enrollment by the 10th day of school.

Performance Objective 2: IDEA Harlingen will make 3 or more social media posts a week, hi-lighting academics/student life with a "now enrolling for 23-24" in the text.

Evaluation Data Sources: Social media accounts (instagram and facebook)

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: SSA will own a social media posting schedule | Formative | | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 3-5 posts per week with academic or student experience focus. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: SSA | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Perceptions 3, 4 | 60% | 10% | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: SSA was unclear how much money she had to spend on events for students and families. Root Cause: Principal did not set a clear budget.

Problem Statement 4: Family engagement at events never exceeded 70%. Root Cause: Creating more compelling invitations/incentives/communication around when events are.

Performance Objective 1: IDEA Harlingen will maintain up-to-date trackers for each component of the A rating.

Evaluation Data Sources: IHCP Lead Team Data Board

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----|------|
| Strategy 1: Share tracker weekly at lead team tactical | | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Accountability will lead to tracker being completed weekly | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2 | 20% | 50% | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: EL students did not meet academic achievement benchmarks in 2/4 exams. **Root Cause**: Classroom instructional strategies do not prioritize our language learners.

Problem Statement 2: Academic Achievement benchmarks were met for only 65% of sub-pops. **Root Cause**: Classroom instruction often focuses on getting 100% of students to mastery, often not pushing rigor for students who should be working towards meets/masters.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Our campus has not met the district-wide critical student intervention goal in two years. **Root Cause**: Last year, prioritized the wrong students during BOY testing, and did not prioritize the correct students when rostering classes.

Problem Statement 2: New instructional leader did not have a clear scope and sequence for development based on SLLs. **Root Cause**: Principal did not create scope and sequence until later in the year, and then did not follow with fidelity.

Performance Objective 2: Instructional coaches will complete 1 coaching cycle per STAAR tested teacher and AR facilitator each week (or bi-monthly if teacher is level 4/5).

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Lead team calendars and observations

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|-----------|-------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Principal conducts weekly observations of APIs in the field, to rate SLLs based on coaching cycle. | Formative | | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of APIs proficient in the coaching cycle by EOY | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: principal | | | | |
| Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2 | 50% | 75% | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: New instructional leader did not have a clear scope and sequence for development based on SLLs. **Root Cause**: Principal did not create scope and sequence until later in the year, and then did not follow with fidelity.

Performance Objective 3: Data conversations will happen after each benchmark exam.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Data conversation pre-work, observations of meetings.

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | |
|--|----------|-------------|------|------|
| Strategy 1: Principal will lead data conversation model, observations, and debrief. | | Formative 5 | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% APIs proficient in data convos by EOY. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.6, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 - School Processes & Programs 2 | | 100% | 100% | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | |

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: EL students did not meet academic achievement benchmarks in 2/4 exams. **Root Cause**: Classroom instructional strategies do not prioritize our language learners.

Problem Statement 2: Academic Achievement benchmarks were met for only 65% of sub-pops. **Root Cause**: Classroom instruction often focuses on getting 100% of students to mastery, often not pushing rigor for students who should be working towards meets/masters.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: New instructional leader did not have a clear scope and sequence for development based on SLLs. **Root Cause**: Principal did not create scope and sequence until later in the year, and then did not follow with fidelity.

Performance Objective 4: Reading and math teachers will receive HB 4545 tutorial training at BOY and support throughout the year to increase the percent of students passing reading and math exams.

HB3 Goal

Performance Objective 5: Critical Student Intervention (CSI) will lead to at least 50% of our CSI students growing two years or more in reading by EOY.

HB3 Goal

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Biweekly DAPS calls with implementation manager- feedback sent directly to teacher after each meeting. | Formative | | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Renstar results. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: API F. R. | | | | |
| Title I: | 80% | 80% | | |
| 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | | | | |
| Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | |
| Strategy 2: Use reading interventionist to increase RenStar results by teaching DI curriculum. | | Formative | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase RenStar growth | Oct Jan Mar | | | June |
| Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 | 75% | 75% | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | | Rev | iews | |
| Strategy 3: Utilize AR facilitator to ensure students are reading independently every week. | | Formative | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Renstar growth. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: API | | | | |
| Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 | 65% | 50% | | |
| Funding Sources: AR facilitator - Federal Grant | | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | l | |

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Our campus has not met the district-wide critical student intervention goal in two years. **Root Cause**: Last year, prioritized the wrong students during BOY testing, and did not prioritize the correct students when rostering classes.

Performance Objective 6: Our special education students will perform at a 23 SAS or higher on EOY STAAR Exams.

HB3 Goal

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Coach SpEd teachers to prioritize key points instruction during push in. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in SpEd student achievement | | Formative | | Summative |
| | | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: API Title I: 2.6 Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 - Perceptions 1 | 20% | 55% | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Academic Achievement benchmarks were met for only 65% of sub-pops. **Root Cause**: Classroom instruction often focuses on getting 100% of students to mastery, often not pushing rigor for students who should be working towards meets/masters.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: There was a lack of SEL support time for individual student needs. Root Cause: SSA was also leading EL work, which took up a lot of her calendar.

Goal 4: Increase student daily attendance

Performance Objective 1: Teachers and lead team will make daily ADA calls before 8:15 am.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Daily observations and call logs

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | iews | |
|---|----------|---------------|------|------|
| Strategy 1: Follow up observations weekly for ADA calls. | | Formative Sum | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in percent of ADA calls made and quality of notes. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO Title I: 2.5 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | 15% | 60% | | |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | |

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Academic Achievement benchmarks were met for only 65% of sub-pops. **Root Cause**: Classroom instruction often focuses on getting 100% of students to mastery, often not pushing rigor for students who should be working towards meets/masters.

Goal 4: Increase student daily attendance

Performance Objective 2: APO will maintain an up to date escalation matrix to ensure students with unexcused absences are recieving parent communication.

Evaluation Data Sources: school-wide escalation matrix

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | | |
|--|----------|----------------|-----|------|--|--|
| Strategy 1: Share escalation matrix weekly at tactical. | | Formative Summ | | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in fidelity to the matrix due to accountability | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | | |
| Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | 80% | 50% | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discor | tinue | • | • | | |

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Academic Achievement benchmarks were met for only 65% of sub-pops. **Root Cause**: Classroom instruction often focuses on getting 100% of students to mastery, often not pushing rigor for students who should be working towards meets/masters.

Performance Objective 1: SSA will maintain an updated family engagement tracker throughout the school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: family engagement tracker

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|-----|------|--|
| Strategy 1: Share tracker weekly at tactical | | Formative Summ | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased pro-active problem-solving around students at risk of leaving. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | |
| Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Perceptions 1 | 80% | 75% | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | | |

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 2: No plan in place in our behavior management plan for multiple level 1 and level 2 behaviors **Root Cause**: Gap in leader preparation of the document because of the assumptions that those decisions could be made without guidance.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: There was a lack of SEL support time for individual student needs. Root Cause: SSA was also leading EL work, which took up a lot of her calendar.

Performance Objective 2: API will manage OTG goal so that 96% of students are on track to graduate.

HB3 Goal

| Strategy 1 Details | Strategy 1 Details Reviews | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Send weekly OTG email | Formative Su | | | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in fidelity to updated and accurate gradebooks. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: API D.R. Title I: 2.4 Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 | 65% | 65% | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: There was a lack of SEL support time for individual student needs. Root Cause: SSA was also leading EL work, which took up a lot of her calendar.

Performance Objective 3: Harlingen CP will host MTT, report card nights, homecoming game for community involvement, and pep rallies, dances, team meetings for student engagement.

Evaluation Data Sources: IHCP year-long calendar

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|---|----------|-----------------|-----|------|--|
| Strategy 1: Send invitation via remind, facebook, and instagram for the report card night. | | Formative Summa | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent attendance. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | |
| Title I: 4.2 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Perceptions 4 | 65% | 75% | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | | |

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 4: Family engagement at events never exceeded 70%. **Root Cause**: Creating more compelling invitations/incentives/communication around when events are.

Performance Objective 4: Middle school teachers will faithfully implement Move This World Curriculum 4/5 days a week.

Evaluation Data Sources: MTW tracker, classroom observations

| Strategy 1 Details Reviews | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Monthly updates with SSA on MTW tracker, and including MTW observations in culture rounds. | Formative Summ | | | Summative |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| | 20% | 35% | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Performance Objective 5: Campus will earn PE honor roll for 6th-8th Grade

Evaluation Data Sources: PE Honor Roll Tracker

| Strategy 1 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|-----|------|--|
| Strategy 1: Utilize weekly check ins with coach to ensure we are on track weekly. | | Formative Summ | | | |
| | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | |
| | 35% | 50% | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discor | ntinue | | | |

Title I Personnel

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Position</u> | <u>Program</u> | <u>FTE</u> |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|
| Clarissa Ramirez | AR Facilitator | Title 1 | 1 |
| Nancy Nerio | Interventionist | Title 1 | 1 |

Campus Funding Summary

| Federal Grant | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------|----------|------------------|--------------|--------|--|--|
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount | | |
| 3 | 5 | 3 | AR facilitator | | \$0.00 | | |
| | Sub-Total | | | | | | |

Addendums

| | Ma | ster CNA & SAIP I | Reporting Checklist | PTG | 100% | PTG | 0% |
|--------------|---|--|--|----------|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| DEPT | Data Source | Data Tabs | Guidance | Status | Principal Notes or Questions | VP Verification | Notes & Next Steps |
| College Prep | KEYS Tab (Tab 3) | Student Achievement Improvement Plan 2021-2022 School Year | This SAIP plan will require logging concrete initiatives put in place for student improvement, as well as their associated timelines, resources, and responsible staff. Each initiative will be tracked mid-year and at the end of the year. Mid-year assessments should be completed by each campus between December 1, 2022 and no later than January 31, 2023. | Complete | | Action Required | |
| | | Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 English Language Arts | | Complete | | Action Required | |
| | Locus Dashboards: CIS STARR, AP | Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Math | These Pages should include the <u>percentage</u> of students who achieved each listed score for the 2021-2022 School Year. | Complete | | Action Required | |
| | Campus IB Reports EOY Assessments | Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Science | For each subject, list a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided. | Complete | | Action Required | |
| | | Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Humanities | | Complete | | Action Required | |
| | State TELPAS Report | Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 TELPAS | This data set will outline the <u>percentage</u> of students that earned proficiency in the areas of Listening, Speaking, Reading, and Writing, as indicated in the State TELPAS report. Llist a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided. | Complete | | Action Required | |
| | Locus Dashboards: ACT, AP, TSI Campus IB Reports Campus Matriculation Reports | 100% College Matriculation 2021-2022 School Year | This page will only be applicable to campuses that had graduating classes in the Spring of 2022. List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided. | Complete | N/A for this campus | Action Required | |
| | Locus Dashboard: Staff Rentention | Staff Quality, Retention, & Recruitment 2021-2022 School Year | Under the %SPED Data Point, this should relfect the <u>percentage</u> of SPED certified teachers, compared to the overall SPED staff. Under number of teacher applicants, note how many teachers were interviewed by campus leaders for positions during the 2021-2022 School Year. List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided. | Complete | | Action Required | |
| | Locus Dashboards: Student Persistence, Enrollment, ADA | School Culture & Climate 2021-2022 School Year | For the Withdrawals/Level 3 Offenses data point, list the <u>number</u> of withdrawals or offenses. All other data points should reflect <u>percentages</u> . List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided. | Complete | | Action Required | |
| | Campus Academic Counselor Tracking Systems | 2022 Family & Community Involvement | In addition to listing data percentages, list a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided. | Complete | | Action Required | |

Campus Name

2021-22 Student Achievement Improvement Plan - College Prep

COLLEGE PREP

| APO | Initiatives | Needs Assess. | Special Pops. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start/End | Resources: Human/ Material/Fiscal | Documentation | Formative Evaluation |
|-----|--|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Aru | Imuatives | Assess. | rops. | Responsible | Start/End | Dean's List for tracking | Documentation | Evaluation |
| | | | | | | School BM plan revision | | |
| | Ensuring equity through our behavior management | | | | | Professional Development | Dean's List tracking | |
| | system. | | | Kat Rdz | Aug-May | BOY/MOY/EOY | PD plans | |
| | | | | | | | Dean's list parent | |
| | | | | | | Year-long incentive plan | communication | |
| | | | | | | Incentives to fulfill plan | ADA MxM feedback | |
| | Increasing daily attendance through a culture of joy | | | | | Teacher/Leader PD around | ADA tracking via | |
| | and accountability. | | | Kurtis Sanchez | Aug-May | ADA minute by minute | PowerSchool | |
| | | | | | | | API SLL ratings | |
| | Coaching and retaining high quality teachers | | | | | API Salary | Teacher retention | |
| | through the addition of an API that is ESSR funded. | | | Kat Rdz | Aug-June | API coaching | GPTW Survey results | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | <u> </u> | | |

| Title I Q | ualifying P | rograms | Initiatives Status | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|--------------------|
| | ernal Use O | | Mid | Year | End o | f Year |
| Supplemental | New Program | Budget | | Increase/Decreas | | Increase/Decreas |
| Program (Y/N) | (Y/N) ations only need to | Allocation | Outcome Use these colum | ed by X% uns to assess your s | Outcome | ed by X% |
| | are <u>BOTH</u> Supple | | Ose these colum | | the year | t the initiale and |
| 1 0 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Annual Performance Objectives (APO)

PRIORITY #1: Students Graduate College-Ready

- 1A. % of graduates who matriculate to a College or University: 100%
- 1B. % of graduates matriculate to a Tier I/II College or University: 15% | 25%
- 1C. % of Seniors accepted to a College or University: 100%
- 1D. % of Seniors named AP scholars | Earn IB diploma: 30% | 25%
- 1E. Earned State Rating: A
- 1F. % Basic | % Mastery | % Advanced on LEAP: 82% | 44% | 11%
- 1G. % Approaches | % Meets | % Masters on STAAR/EOC: 90% | 60% | 30% |
- 1H. % Level 3 | % Level 4 | % Level 5 on FSA/FSAA: 70% | 40% | 20%
- 11. % of Pre-K-2nd Students End The Year On/Above Grade Level in Reading Year 1 Campuses | Year 2 Campuses: 80% | 90%
- 1J. % of students in CSI achieve 2 years growth in Reading | Math (measured by Ren STAR) 50% | 60%
- 1K. Average ACT score: 21
- 1L. % of students graduating college in 4 | 6 years: 25% | 55%

Needs Assessment

S-STAAR

D-DIBELS

E-EOC

A-ACT

RR-Reading Renaissance

ST-STAR for Math

DR-Discipline Report

AP-AP Tests

O-Other

Special Populations

All

AR-At Risk

ELL-English Language Learners

ED-Economically Disadvantaged

M-Migrant

SE-Special Education

Comprehensive Needs Assessment **COLLEGE PREP Data Source: CSI** % Meeting CSI **Reading CP** Goal **CSI** % CSI 14% (EOY Ren.) % of CSI Passing 61% STAAR % of SPED Passing **STAAR Data Source: STAAR** STAAR Reading STAAR Reading STAAR Reading STAAR Writing English I EOC **English II EOC** 6th 7th 8th 7th 73 92 % Approaches 38 60 % Meets 23 30 % Masters % Student 45 61 Achievement Average Data Source: AP/IB/Electives % Royal **AP Scores** Pass AP Lit Pass AP Lang **IB Scores** IB Group 1 Readers % Word Master % Score 1 % Score 1-3 % Score 2 % Score 4-5 17% 86% % Score 3+ % Score 6-7 WM Goal RR Goal Met/Not Met Met/Not Met Met Met

| Reflections | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Areas of Strength | Areas of Need | | |
| Strong curriculum | CSI goals aligned to STAAR goals OR a measuring | | |
| | tool that better aligns to the CSI curriculum | | |
| Review plan with all resources provided by curriculum team allowed | More Expository district-wide formative | | |
| for more focus on delivery and less on planning/finding resources | assessments throughout the year | | |
| Three reading/ELA teachers with 4+ years of experience, all level 4 | Normed school-wide STAAR test taking strategy | | |
| | (adopted mid-year this year) | | |

Campus Name

| Comprehensive Needs Assessment - College Prep | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| | COLLEGE PREP | | | | |
| | | Data Sour | ce: STAAR | | |
| | STAAR Math | STAAR Math | STAAR | | |
| | 6th | 7th | Alg I | | |
| % Approaches | 75 | 67 | | | |
| % Meets | 49 | 35 | | | |
| % Masters | 31 | 10 | | | |
| % Student Achievement Average | 52 | 37 | | | |
| | Data Source: AP/IB | | | | |
| | Pass AP | Pass AP | Pass AP Prob. | | |
| AP Scores | Calculus AB | Calculus CD | & Stats. | IB Scores | IB Group 5 |
| % Score 1 | | | | % Score 1-3 | |
| % Score 2 | | | | % Score 4-5 | |
| % Score 3+ | | | | % Score 6-7 | |

| Reflections | | |
|--|--|--|
| Areas of Strength | Areas of Need | |
| District curriculum is (mostly) STAAR aligned and very extensive | Quality candidates in our "math bucket" to decrease time spent in interviews and increase incoming teacher | |
| Illuminate makes data analysis effective and quick | API with math experience for coaching content expertise | |
| backwards planning using Lead4ward resources | specific resources for filling basic math gaps due to COVID | |

Campus Name

Comprehensive Needs Assessment **COLLEGE PREP Data Source: Internal Assessments** 7th Grade 6th Grade **EOY EOY** Assessment Assessment % Passing 67/39/18% 85/50/21% **Data Source: STAAR STAAR** STAAR 8th Biology Science % Approaches % Meets % Masters % Student Achievement Average Data Source: AP/IB Pass AP **Physics** Pass AP Pass AP Pass AP Biology (1, 2, & C) Env. Science IB Group 4 AP Scores Chemistry **IB Scores** % Score 1 % Score 1-3 % Score 4-5 % Score 2 % Score 3+ % Score 6-7

| Reflections | | |
|--|---|--|
| Areas of Strength | Areas of Need | |
| ample materials for experiments and hands on learning | Curriculum and/or teacher planning that emphasizes | |
| throughout the year | reading to learn | |
| API coaching in content he taught (content experience) | Additional NTI training for teachers onboarding at MOY | |
| | | |
| 1 0 | Clear resource plan for what materials need to be bought at BOY | |

Campus Name

Comprehensive Needs Assessment **COLLEGE PREP Data Source: Internal Assessments** 7th Grade 6th Grade **EOY EOY** Assessment Assessment 97/74/63% % Passing 97/90/87% **Data Source: STAAR** STAAR 8th **EOC US History US** History % Approaches % Meets % Masters % Student Achievement Average Data Source: AP/IB Pass AP Pass AP Pass AP World US Human Pass AP Pass AP IB Group 3 **AP Scores** Geography History History Goverment **Economics IB Scores** % Score 1 % Score 1-3 % Score 2 % Score 4-5 % Score 3+ % Score 6-7

| Reflections | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Areas of Strength | Areas of Need | | |
| API coached on backwards planning | District provided resources are not well organized, which makes finding and creating plans confusing | | |
| Curriculum that aligns to new STAAR with additional writing opportunities | More cross-curricular writing opportunities | | |
| teacher used aggressive monitoring tool from ELA | Curriculum and/or teacher planning that emphasizes reading to learn | | |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP

TELPAS Composite Rating (Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing)

| | Listening | Speaking | Reading | Writing | % of ELL Students who grew one or more levels |
|-----------------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|---|
| % Beginning | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | |
| % Intermediate | 18 | 36 | 32 | 11 | |
| % Advanced | 18 | 64 | 32 | 43 | |
| % Advanced High | 64 | 0 | 32 | 46 | 59% |

| Reflection | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Areas of Strength | Areas of Need | | |
| Lead team hosted writing tutorials specific to TELPAS writing | Increased data tracking for writing for TELPAS students throughout the year | | |
| Hostpot facilitator hosted weekly speaking tutorials with TELPAS students | PD around strategies to use when planning/teaching to EL students | | |
| TELPAS tutorials were well planned and lead | CSI schedule that prioritizes EL students | | |

Composite

| | Comprehensive Needs Assessment | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | C | OLLEGE PREP: N | N/A, no graduating | class | |
| | | 100% Colleg | ge Matriculation | | |
| Matriculation % | Matricuation % 4 year | Matriculation % 2 year | Tier 1/2 % Acceptances | Tier 1/2 % Matriculation | |
| | | | | | |
| Tier 1 % Matriculation | Tier 2 % Matriculation | Tier 3 % Matriculation | Tier 4 % Matriculation | Senior Class CCMR % | Senior Class TSI Completion % |
| | | Com | - Data | | |
| | | Cam | pus Data | | |
| Senior Class ACT Average | Junior Class ACT Average | Overall AP Scholars (3+ or more tests) | IB Medallion Scholars (Passing 3+ exams) | Overall % IB Diploma | Campus End of Year OTG |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | lections | | |
| Areas of Strength | | | | Areas of Need | |
| 1 | | 1 | | | |
| 2 | | 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | 3 | | |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP Staff Quality, Recruitment, Retention Percentage % School Lead Team Retention 100% % Instructional Support Retention 100% % Teacher Retention 83% % Campus Support Retention 76% **% SPED Certified Teachers** 20% % State/National Certified Teachers 25% 0% % State Certified Leaders % State/Board certified Counselors 0% Number of teacher applicants per 2020-21 school year

| Reflections | | |
|---|---|--|
| Areas of Strength | Areas of Need | |
| GPTW survey data- people feeled cared for, able to take days off, and that their work is meaningful | mid-year onboarding plan that helps teachers and co-teachers feel invtested and supported | |
| Events throughout the year for teachers (ex- two teacher appreciation weeks) | stronger candidates in math | |
| More subjects with clearly organized content (like reading and math) so teachers spend less time planning content and | API coaching specifically around emotional intelligence and building relationships | |

Comprehensi

C

Data Source: Scl

% Average Daily
Attendance

% Overall Persistence

% New Student Persistence

of Admin Withdrawals/

Level 3 Offenses

% SPED

% ELL

% Eco Dis

% Migrant

% Race: American-Indian-Alaska-

% Asian

% White-Hispanic

% Multi

% Black-African-American

% Native-Hawaiian-Pacific-Islander

% White

% Male

% Female

| Data Source: School Culture and Climate | | |
|---|--|--|
| Reflections | | |
| Areas of Strength Areas of Need | | |
| Clear behavior management plan | | |
| | Behavior management plan gap= no clear guidelines for mu | |
| Clearly scheduled culture rounds with follow up procedure | | |
| | New teachers/teachers that are struggling with culture- no | |
| Adult development in emotional intelligence (1x per month | | |
| | A full time school counselor/social worker | |

| ve Needs Assessment | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| ollege Prep | | |
| nool Culture and Climate | | |
| Campus % | | |
| 90.74 | | |
| 89.08 | | |
| 91.74 | | |
| 0 | | |
| 11.11 | | |
| 30 | | |
| 78.89 | | |
| 0 | | |
| 0 | | |
| 0.01 | | |
| 93.89 | | |
| 0 | | |
| 0 | | |
| 0.56 | | |
| 5.00 | | |
| 50.56 | | |
| 49.44 | | |

| COLLEGE PRED | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| COLLEGE PREP Data Source: Family and Community Involvement | | |
| Data Source: Family and | Community involvement | |
| | Percentage | |
| % Families Attended WTI | 50% | |
| % Families Attended Curriculum Night | N/A | |
| % Families Who Attended EOY Ceremonies | 50% | |
| % Families who attended Fall Festival | N/A | |
| % Families who attended virtual CTE parent night | 4% | |
| % Families who attended Spring Report Card Night | 30% | |

2

| Reflections | |
|---|--|
| Areas of Strength | Areas of Need |
| planning events with clear roll out- GRPI, map, invites etc. | SSA needs more time to meet with students and plan family engagement events (next year, will not be EL point |
| High volume of parenrts read parent weekly (gets 150+views a week) | Clearer budget from Day 1, so SSA knows what to spend for the year |
| teacher training around how to interact with parents at nights like report card night | More compelling invitations for nights like report card night |