### Part (i): Description of State Accountability System

**Part (i)(I)** the minimum number of students that the State determines are necessary to be included in each of the subgroups of students for use in the accountability system. The Texas accountability minimum size criteria are 25 tests for assessment related indicators or 25 students for non-assessment related indicators, such as graduation, for any student group, and 10 tests or students for the all student group.

Part (i)(II) the long-term goals and measurements of interim progress for all students and for each of the subgroups of students.

			9	State ESSA	A Goals							
		All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races		Special Educ	EL (Current & Former)
Academic Pe	erformance (At Meets Gra	de Level	or Above)									
Reading/ELA	Baseline 2016-17 Rates	44%	32%	37%	60%	43%	74%	45%	56%	33%	19%	29%
	2017-18 through 2021-22	44%	32%	37%	60%	43%	74%	45%	56%	33%	19%	29%
	2022-23 through 2026-27	52%	42%	46%	66%	51%	78%	53%	62%	43%	31%	39%
	2027-28 through 2031-32	62%	54%	58%	73%	62%	82%	63%	70%	55%	45%	52%
	2032-33	72%	66%	69%	80%	72%	87%	73%	78%	67%	60%	65%
Mathematics	Baseline 2016-17 Rates	46%	31%	40%	59%	45%	82%	50%	54%	36%	23%	40%
	2017-18 through 2021-22	46%	31%	40%	59%	45%	82%	50%	54%	36%	23%	40%
	2022-23 through 2026-27	54%	41%	49%	65%	53%	85%	57%	61%	45%	34%	49%
	2027-28 through 2031-32	63%	54%	59%	73%	63%	88%	66%	69%	57%	48%	59%
	2032-33	73%	66%	70%	80%	73%	91%	75%	77%	68%	62%	70%
<b>EL Progress</b>												
	Baseline 2016-17 Rates											41%
	2017-18 through 2021-22											36%
	2022-23 through 2026-27											38%
	2027-28 through 2031-32											40%
Graduation F	Rate: 4-Year Longitudinal	Rate $\Delta$										
	Baseline 2016-17 Rates	89%	85%	87%	93%	86%	95%	89%	92%	86%	78%	72%
	2017-18 through 2021-22	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
	2022-23 through 2026-27	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%
	2027-28 through 2031-32	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%

Δ Student groups that are at or above 90% are required to exceed that rate by at least a tenth of a percent in the following year(s).

Part (i)(III) the indicators used to meaningfully differentiate all public schools in the State:

- a. Academic Achievement Indicator: STAAR Performance Status (Percent at Meets Grade Level or Above)
- b. Other Academic Indicator for Non-High Schools: STAAR Growth Status
- c. Graduation Rate: Federal Graduation Status
- d. ELP Indicator: English Learner Language Proficiency Status
- **e.** School Quality or Student Success (SQSS) Indicators: College, Career, and Military Readiness for High Schools and K-12 Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR only for All Other Schools without Annual Graduates

**Part (i)(IV)** the State's system for meaningfully differentiating all public schools in the State, including -- (aa) the specific weight of the indicators in such differentiation

Campus Type	Indicator	Weight					
Elementary and Middle Schools	Academic Achievement	30%					
	Other Academic Indicator	50%					
	English Learner Language Proficiency	10%					
	SQSS: Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only	10%					
High Schools, K-12s, AEAs, and Districts	Academic Achievement	50%					
	Federal Graduation Status or Academic Growth Status1	10%					
	English Learner Language Proficiency	10%					
	SQSS: College, Career, and Military Readiness or Student Domain Score: STAAR Component Only2						

<sup>1</sup> If Federal Graduation Status is not available, Academic Growth Status is used.

<sup>2</sup> If College, Career, and Military Readiness is not available, Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only is used.

**(bb)** the methodology by which the State differentiates all such schools. A weighted average of the accountability indicators will be computed from the number of items meeting targets divided by the number of items evaluated. The weighted average will be scaled to grades A (90-100), B (80-89), C (70-79), D (60-69), and F (0-59) and further used to differentiate all public schools. https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/chapter-4-2022-closing-the-gaps-domain.pdf

(cc) the methodology by which the State differentiates a school as consistently underperforming for any subgroup of students. TEA uses the Closing the Gaps domain to identify campuses that have consistently underperforming student groups. A student group that misses the targets in at least the same three indicators, for three consecutive years, is considered consistently underperforming. Any campus not identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) that has at least one consistently underperforming student group is identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI). TSI identifies both Title I and non-Title I campuses. Data from 2018, 2019, and 2022 are considered consecutive years for 2022 TSI identification.

(dd) the methodology by which the State identifies a school for comprehensive support and improvement. Texas annually ranks all Title I campuses based on Closing the Gaps scaled scores. Beginning August 2022, TEA also evaluates overall scaled scores to make final comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) determinations. Title I campuses with both the lowest Closing the Gaps and lowest overall scaled scores are identified for CSI. First, Texas determines the bottom five percent of Closing the Gaps outcomes by rank ordering the scaled scores of Title I campuses by school type - elementary, middle, high school/ K - 12, and alternative education accountability. Texas then determines which campuses fell in the bottom five percent for each school type. Next, TEA rank orders the overall scaled scores for all Title I campuses statewide (without regard to campus type) to determine the scaled score cut point necessary to identify at least five percent of Title I campuses. Title I campuses with an overall scaled score cut point at or below the overall cut point and that rank in their school types bottom five percent are identified for CSI.

Part (i)(V) the number and names of all public schools in the State identified by the State for comprehensive support and improvement or implementing targeted support and improvement plans. Campuses Identified for Support under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) for the 2022-2023 school year: Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools, Targeted Support and Improvement Schools and Additional Targeted Support Schools.

Part (i)(VI) the exit criteria established by the State, including the length of years established. Campuses that do not rank in their school types bottom five percent of the Closing the Gaps domain for two consecutive years and have an overall scaled score that year that does not fall within the lowest percentile to be reidentified for CSI are considered as having successfully exited. Campuses previously identified as CSI based solely on a graduation rate below 67 percent must have a four or six-year federal graduation rate of at least 67 percent for two consecutive years to exit CSI status.

### Part (ii): Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides information on student achievement on the STAAR (State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness) performance for mathematics, reading/ELA, and science by grade level and proficiency level for the 2021-22 school year. These results include all students tested, regardless of whether they were in the accountability subset. (CWD: children with disability; CWOD: children without disability; EL: English learner)

## There is no data for this campus.

#### Part (iii): Academic Growth and Graduation Rate

Part (iii)(I): Academic Growth

This section provides information on students' academic growth for mathematics and reading/ELA for public elementary schools and secondary

schools which don't have a graduation rate, for the 2021-22 school year. These results include all students tested, regardless of whether they were in the accountability subset. (CWD: children with disability; CWOD: children without disability; EL: English learner)

### There is no data for this campus.

#### Part (iii)(II): Graduation Rate

This section provides information on high school graduation rates for the class of 2021.

## There is no data for this campus.

#### Part (iv): English Language Proficiency

This section provides information on the number and percentage of English learners achieving English language proficiency based on the 2022 TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System) data. (EL: English learner)



- Indicates there are no students in the group.
- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ♦ Indicates data reporting does not meet for Minimum Size.

### Part (v): School Quality or Student Success (SQSS)

This section provides information on the other indicators of school quality or student success, which is college, career and military readiness (CCMR) for high schools and average performance rate of the three STAAR performance levels of all students, regardless of whether they were in the accountability subset, for elementary and secondary schools without a graduation rate. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)

### Part (vi): Goal Meeting Status

This section provides information on the progress of all students and each student group toward meeting the long-term goals or interim objectives on STAAR academic performance, federal graduation rate, and English learners' language proficiency. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ		EL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	CWD	+
STAAR Performance Status	s										
Reading											
Interim Goals (2018-2022)	44%	32%	37%	60%	43%	74%	45%	56%	33%	19%	29%
Target Met											
Interim Goals (2023-2027)	52%	42%	46%	66%	51%	78%	53%	62%	43%	31%	39%
Target Met											
Interim Goals (2028-2032)	62%	54%	58%	73%	62%	82%	63%	70%	55%	45%	52%
Target Met											
Long-Term Goals	72%	66%	69%	80%	72%	87%	73%	78%	67%	60%	65%
Target Met											
Mathematics											
Interim Goals (2018-2022)	46%	31%	40%	59%	45%	82%	50%	54%	36%	23%	40%
Target Met											
Interim Goals (2023-2027)	54%	41%	49%	65%	53%	85%	57%	61%	45%	34%	49%
Target Met											
Interim Goals (2028-2032)	63%	54%	59%	73%	63%	88%	66%	69%	57%	48%	59%
Target Met											
Long-Term Goals	73%	66%	70%	80%	73%	91%	75%	77%	68%	62%	70%
Target Met											
<b>English Learner Language</b>	Proficienc	y Status									
Interim Goals (2018-2022)											36%
Target Met											
Interim Goals (2023-2027)											38%
Target Met											
Interim Goals (2028-2032)											40%

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	CWD	EL +
Target Met											
Long-Term Goals											40%
Target Met											
Federal Graduation Status	Federal Graduation Status∆										
Interim Goals (2018-2022)	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Target Met											
Interim Goals (2023-2027)	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%
Target Met											
Interim Goals (2028-2032)	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%
Target Met											
Long-Term Goals	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%
Target Met											

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

- + STAAR Performance and Graduation use EL(Current & Monitored), EL English Learner Language Proficiency uses EL (Current).
- Δ Student groups that are at or above 90% are required to exceed that rate by at least a tenth of a percent in the following year(s).

### Part (vii): STAAR Participation

This section provides the percentage of students assessed and not assessed on STAAR for mathematics, reading/ELA, and science for the 2021-22 school year. (CWD: children with disability; CWOD: children without disability; EL: English learner)

## There is no data for this campus.

### Part (viii): Civil Rights Data

Part (viii)(I) This section provides information from the 2017-18 CRDC surveys, submitted by school districts to the Office for Civil Rights on measures of school quality, climate, and safety, including counts of in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, expulsions, school related arrests, referrals to law enforcement, chronic absenteeism (including both excused and unexcused absences), incidences of violence, including bullying and harassment. (EL: English learner)

**Part (viii)(II)** This section provides information from the 2017-18 CRDC surveys, submitted by school districts to the Office for Civil Rights, on the number of students enrolled in preschool programs and accelerated coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school.

### There is no data for this campus.

#### Part (ix): Teacher Quality Data

This section provides information on the professional qualifications of teachers, including information disaggregated by high- and low-poverty schools on the number and percentage of (I) inexperienced teacher, principals, and other school leaders; (II) teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials; and (III) teachers who are not teaching in the subject or field for which the teacher is certified or licensed.

	All So	chool
	Number	Percent
Inexperienced Teachers, Principals, and Other School Leaders	9.5	61.3%
Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	1.0	8.0%
Teacher Who Are Not Teaching in the Subject or Field for Which the Teacher is Certified or Licensed	0.0	-

Indicates there are no data available in the group.
Blank cell indicates there are no data available in the group.

## Part (x): Per-pupil Expenditure

This section provides information on the per-pupil expenditures of federal, state, and local funds, including actual personnel expenditures and actual non-personnel expenditures, disaggregated by source of funds, for each school district and campus for the preceding fiscal year.

To be updated by June 30th, 2023.

### Part (xi): STAAR Alternate 2 Participation

This section provides information on the number and percentage of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who take STAAR Alternate 2, by grade and subject for the 2021-22 school year.

## Part (xii): Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

This section provides results on the state academic assessments in reading and mathematics in grades 4 and 8 of the 2022 National Assessment of Educational Progress, compared to the national average of such results.

	State Le	vel: 2022 Percentages at NA	EP /	Achi	ever	nen	t Leve	ls		
			% Below Basic		% At or Above Basic				% A Adva	t
Grade	Subject	Student Group	TX	US	TX	US	TX	US	TX	US
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	42	37	58	63	30	33	7	9
		Black	51	56	49	44	19	17	3	3
		Hispanic	52	50	48	50	20	21	3	4
		White	26	27	74	73	44	42	10	11
		American Indian	*	57	*	43	*	18	*	3
		Asian	8	17	92	83	71	58	31	24
		Pacific Islander	*	50	*	50	*	23	*	6
		Two or More Races		32	72	68	41	38	8	11
		EcoDis		52	46	48	18	19	3	3
		Students with Disabilities		73	23	27	7	10	1	2
		English Language Learners		67	43	33	16	10	2	1
	Mathematics	Overall	22	25	78	75	38	36	8	8
		Black	33	45	67	55	21	15	2	1
		Hispanic	27	36	73	64	27	22	3	3
		White		14	90	86	57	48	13	10
		American Indian	*	41	*	59	*	22	*	4
		Asian	3	9	97	91	73	63	27	24
		Pacific Islander	*	38	*	62	*	22	*	3
		Two or More Races	10	22	90	78	58	38	23	9
		EcoDis	31	38	69	62	24	20	3	2
		Students with Disabilities	51	56	49	44	18	14	2	2
		English Language Learners	31	48	69	52	26	14	3	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	34	30	66	70	23	31	2	4
	3	Black	45	47	55	53	17	16	1	1
		Hispanic	41	39	59	61	16	21	1	2
		White	24	22	76	78	30	38	2	5
		American Indian	*	45	*	55	*	18	*	2
		Asian	8	14	92	86	60	56	11	12

	State Level: 2022 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels									
			% Below Basic				% At or Above Proficient		% At Advanced	
Grade	Subject	Student Group	TX	US	TX	US	TX	US	TX	US
Grade 8	Reading	Pacific Islander	*	35	*	65	*	25	*	2
		Two or More Races	28	27	72	73	32	35	5	6
		EcoDis	42	42	58	58	16	19	1	1
		Students with Disabilities		69	26	31	5	7	1	n/a
		English Language Learners	53	68	47	32	10	5	n/a	n/a
	Mathematics	Overall	39	38	61	62	24	26	5	7
		Black	54	62	46	38	11	9	2	1
		Hispanic	46	51	54	49	16	14	2	2
		White	28	26	72	74	36	35	8	9
		American Indian	*	55	*	45	*	13	*	2
		Asian	10	14	90	86	57	58	27	27
		Pacific Islander	*	50	*	50	*	17	*	5
		Two or More Races	26	37	74	63	30	28	3	7
		EcoDis	49	54	51	46	15	13	2	2
		Students with Disabilities	81	77	19	23	4	5	n/a	1
		English Language Learners	60	76	40	24	8	4	1	n/a

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates reporting standards not met.n/a Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

State Level: 2022 NAEP Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and English Learners										
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Rate							
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	89%							
		English Learners	95%							
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	87%							
		English Learners	95%							
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	89%							
		English Learners	97%							
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	93%							
		English Learners	97%							

## Part (xiii): Cohort Rate of Graduates Enrolled in Postsecondary Education

This section provides information on the cohort rate at which students who graduated from high school in the 2019-20 school year enrolled in a Texas public postsecondary education institution in the 2020-21 academic year in (I) programs of public postsecondary education in Texas; (II) programs of private postsecondaryeducation in Texas; and (III) programs of postsecondary education outside Texas. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)

## There is no data for this campus.

### Part (xiv): Additional Information - Chronic Absenteeism

This section provides information on the Chronic Absenteeism per EDFacts definition: percent of unduplicated number of K - 12 students enrolled in a school for at least 10 days and absent for 10% or more days during the 2020-21 school year. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)