IDEA Public Schools

Converse College Prep

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: A

Distinction Designations:

Academic Achievement in English Language Arts/Reading Academic Achievement in Mathematics Top 25 Percent: Comparative Academic Growth Top 25 Percent: Comparative Closing the Gaps Postsecondary Readiness



Board Approval Date: October 21, 2022 **Public Presentation Date:** September 15, 2022

Mission Statement

IDEA Public Schools prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

Core Values

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

•	We achieve Academic Excellence
•	We deliver Results
•	We ensure Equity
•	We build Team & Family
•	We act with Integrity
•	We bring Joy
•	We Sweat the Small Stuff

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	9
Priority Problem Statements	10
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	11
Goals	13
Goal 1: Increase staff retention	14
Goal 2: All IDEA students matriculate to college	14
Goal 3: IDEA achieves an A rating	15
Goal 4: Increase student daily attendance	17
Goal 5: Increase student persistence	19
Title I Personnel	21
Campus Funding Summary	22
Addendums	23

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Data Source: School Culture and Climate			
	Campus %		
% Average Daily Attendance	92.39%		
% Overall Persistence	88.71%		
% New Student Persistence	88.73%		
# of Admin Withdrawals/ Level 3 Offenses	1		
% SPED	18%		
% ELL	13%		
% Eco Dis	71%		
% Migrant	0%		
% Race: American-Indian- Alaska-Native	0%		
% Asian	2%		
% White-Hispanic	57%		
% Multi	0%		
% Black-African-American	26%		
% Native-Hawaiian-Pacific- Islander	2%		
% White	12%		
% Male	44%		
% Female	56%		

Comprehensive Needs Assessmen	t			
COLLEGE PREP				
Staff Quality, Recruitment, Retention				
	Percentage			
% School Lead Team Retention	100%			
% Instructional Support Retention	100%			
% Teacher Retention	100%			
% Campus Support Retention	100%			
% SPED Certified Teachers	100%			
% State/National Certified Teachers	90%			
% State Certified Leaders	90%			
% State/Board certified Counselors	0%			
Number of teacher applicants per 2020-21 school year	12			

We are a 6-12 campus. Currently we have 6-8 grades for the 2022-2023 school year with a current enrollment of 309 students.

Demographics Strengths

1. Strong onboarding plan to inform parents about campuses expectations.

- 2. Created a sense of belonging through after school clubs and sports (i.e. journalism, cross country, basketball, football, soccer, volleyball
- 3. Received community and student voice from our surveys

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Our overall persistence was at 88.71%, so we need to drastically improve this metric in order to reach our 90% goal **Root Cause:** There was a weak behavior management system which lacked restorative practices. There was a lack of accountability to follow the behavior management system.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): We fell short on our persistence goals for 90% for our new scholars returning each year because we landed at 88.73% Root Cause: A lack of strong onboarding, frequent touchpoints with new scholars led to withdrawals due to an unclear understanding of how our school operates.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Data Source: STAAR									
	STAAR Reading 6th	STAAR Reading 7th	STAAR Reading 8th	STAAR Writing 7th	English I EOC	English II EOC			
% Approaches	91	85							
% Meets	69	60							
% Masters	45	45							
% Student Achievement Average	68	63							

	-	Data Sour	ce: STAAR
	STAAR	STAAR	STAAR
	Math 6th	Math 7th	Alg I
% Approaches	83	85	n/a
% Meets	58	49	n/a
% Masters	30	23	n/a
% Student			
Achievement	57	52	n/a
Average			

Our scholars perform at a rate greater than ALL campuses aroudn us in our zipcode.

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Student Learning Strengths

- 1. Lesson planning and internalization was aligned to TEKS
- 2. Joint instructional rounds conducted with all lead team members in an effort to provide more support to teachers
- 3. Data tracking with teachers and scholars

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Percent of Sped scholars that mastered/met in 7th grade ELA and 7th grade Math was 0 **Root Cause:** Sped population had not been prioritized from the beginning of the year and individualized plans had not been created to meet each student where they are.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): 55% of ELL students in 6th Math mastered/met on STAAR Root Cause: Teachers did not set expectations soon enough for growth goals and incorporate it in their lesson planning.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

We develop our instructional leaders by providing clear exemplars on coaching processes and data reviews. This in turn allows our isntructional lead teams to focus teachers' attention on the key areas for excellent teaching such as: Strong/clear lesson planning, tight loop coaching and weekly coaching conversations. We have data-driven professional development targeting instructional gaps in order to address low performance observed in classrooms.

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Curriculum & Instruction:

- At least one-third of IDEA students graduate with the "AP Scholar" designation, evidence of earning a qualifying score on at least three AP exams, demonstrating successful completion of college-level coursework prior to graduation.
- IDEA provides students access to accelerated instruction through the 1:1 technology device, including adaptive software for mathematics and literacy and access to hundreds of thousands of books in the district's digital library.
- IDEA curricular resources include high-quality instructional materials that earn the highest ratings on national evaluation measures.
- IDEA curriculum is regularly evaluated using a quality evaluation tool, ensuring alignment to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills and AP course standards, as well as the STAAR/EOC and AP exams.
- IDEA implements a variety of training opportunities for teachers and leadership staff with the goal of developing teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and leaders' coaching skills.
- For 15 years in a row, 100% of IDEA students have been accepted into college, demonstrating that IDEA academic programming is adequately preparing students for post-secondary education.
- In 2022, four IDEA schools were ranked in the top 10 Most Challenging Schools in the Nation and 13 were ranked in the top 1% of schools nationwide, by the Jay Mathews Challenge Index High School Rankings.

Special Programs

- IDEA exceeded the expected growth target for EL student performance on TELPAS in 2021 with 48% of ELs meeting that target.
- IDEA provide targeted research based instruction to students who have a 2.0 year gap in Reading and/or Math with the goal of closing that gap by 2.0 years during the school year with our initiative called Critical Student Intervention (CSI). During the 21-22 school year, 2,562 out of 6,632 students meet that goal for Reading and 703 out of 1,268 students met the goal for Math.
- IDEA is in Year 3 of our TEA awarded Autism Grant. Through this grant we were able to increase support for 195 students with autism in Rio Grande Valley and Austin who met the criteria outlined by TEA for this grant.
- IDEA submitted and was awarded a Dyslexia Grant by the TEA so that we will be able to provide increased professional development to teachers and leaders on working with students with dyslexia and increase the support resources for our students with dyslexia.

SEL/ Mental Health and Family Engagement

- IDEA has increased the number of licensed counselors and Social Workers by 50% over the past 2 years
- IDEA pre-pandemic ADA averaged 97.4%, district is committed to get back to that average

- IDEA reatins an average of 84% of students year over year
- IDEA parents rate IDEA as 4.8/5 on the statement "My school is preparing my child for college"
- IDEA parents rate IDEA as 4.8/5 on the statement "My school hold my child to high academic standards"
- IDEA students rate IDEA as 4.6/5 on the statement "My teachers challenge me to do my best"

IDEA's individualized coaching and development of its employees is a contributing factor in getting new employees to proficiency quickly and providing an internal pool for promotions.

Ongoing feedback, effective accountability mechanisms, and consistent follow through also provide a supportive environment where employees have clear expectations for performance.

IDEA is currently undertaking an initiative to redefine its employee value proposition (EVP) to better identify, promote, and implement recruitment and retention strategies that can provide the talent needed to be successful.

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Inconsistent use of praise and exemplar sharing. Those that are high performing did not feel seen for their effort. **Root Cause:** We did not mechanize how/where we provided praise. This caused praise to be inconsistent.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Teachers did not engage in vertical planning. Root Cause: Did not have content level planning to allow for contents to plan across grade levels

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Perception of our campus is improving steadily each year. We have improved in consistency as it relates to solving student to student conflict, clarity in our academic programming model, and our great places to work survey revealed that we were #1 in the district with a score of 98%. We Only campus in Region w/100% Retention in both instructional and operations staff.

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Perceptions Strengths

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Only 35 % of families attended Curriculum Night. Root Cause: We did not provide more advanced notice for family engagements events to increase participation

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): There was no fall, winter, or spring family festivals planned in 21-22. Root Cause: Leadership did not create a year-long scope and sequence of calendar events

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Our overall persistence was at 88.71%, so we need to drastically improve this metric in order to reach our 90% goal
Root Cause 1: There was a weak behavior management system which lacked restorative practices. There was a lack of accountability to follow the behavior management system.
Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: We fell short on our persistence goals for 90% for our new scholars returning each year because we landed at 88.73%
Root Cause 2: A lack of strong onboarding, frequent touchpoints with new scholars led to withdrawals due to an unclear understanding of how our school operates.
Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: Percent of Sped scholars that mastered/met in 7th grade ELA and 7th grade Math was 0Root Cause 3: Sped population had not been prioritized from the beginning of the year and individualized plans had not been created to meet each student where they are.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: 55% of ELL students in 6th Math mastered/met on STAARRoot Cause 4: Teachers did not set expectations soon enough for growth goals and incorporate it in their lesson planning.Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: Inconsistent use of praise and exemplar sharing. Those that are high performing did not feel seen for their effort.
Root Cause 5: We did not mechanize how/where we provided praise. This caused praise to be inconsistent.
Problem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: Teachers did not engage in vertical planning.Root Cause 6: Did not have content level planning to allow for contents to plan across grade levelsProblem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 7: Only 35 % of families attended Curriculum Night.Root Cause 7: We did not provide more advanced notice for family engagements events to increase participationProblem Statement 7 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 8: There was no fall, winter, or spring family festivals planned in 21-22.Root Cause 8: Leadership did not create a year-long scope and sequence of calendar eventsProblem Statement 8 Areas: Perceptions

Converse College Prep Generated by Plan4Learning.com

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Federal Report Card and accountability data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback

- Class size averages by grade and subject
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: Increase staff retention

Performance Objective 1: 85% of leaders and teachers return to IDEA Public Schools for the 2023-24 school year

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Great Places to Work Survey results, weekly surveys

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: 100% of instructional staff will be observed weekly and have weekly check-in with direct manager		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 85% or more of instructional staff and leaders will return for 2023-24 school	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
year; 98% on Great Places to Work Survey; School will receive A-Rating Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Direct Managers		45%		
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: 100% of staff members will receive praise weekly, daily temperature checks, and monthly incentive surprises.	Formative Summ			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff will feel validated in their work and staff retention will remain high.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Direct Managers		55%		
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	-
Strategy 3: 100% of staff members will fill out survey monthly about job satisfaction, resources needed, and upward		Formative		Summative
feedback.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff will feel they have input in management decisions and that they have the resources needed to do their jobs.Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Direct Managers.		45%		
Image: Moment of the second	X Discor	ntinue		•

Performance Objective 1: 100% of scholars are on track to pass their current grade level.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Progress Reports, Report Cards, Daily Exit Ticket Sort Tracker, Mock and Semester Exams, STAAR results, PSAT

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Ensure teachers are implementing accommodations/modifications for our critical scholars in lesson plans	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will have access and support they need in order to master daily objectives	Oct	Oct Jan Mar		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API, SSA		35%		
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: General Education Teachers and Intervention Teachers jointly plan lessons and track our critical student (Sped,	Formative Su			Summative
504, ELL, and CSI) data weekly to determine appropriate action plan/intervention for each scholar.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Critical scholars will perform on grade level in comparison to their general education counterparts. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API, SSA 		35%		
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue	1	•

Performance Objective 1: Scholars in grades 6 through 9 will hit 90% approaches, 60% meets, and 30% masters on Reading and Math STAAR, Biology EOC, Algebra 1 EOC, and Spanish I EOC.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: 100% of teachers will report on exit ticket data daily, name student misconception and reteach plan if mastery is		Formative			
 under 80% Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Daily student mastery on learned objectives will increase and misconceptions will be addressed on a daily basis Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, API, Principal, Instructional Coach, VP TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 Funding Sources: AR Zone Facilitator - Federal Grant - \$36,796.32 	Oct	Jan 100%	Mar 100%	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: 100% of SPED teachers will report on SPED exit ticket data daily, name student misconception and reteach	Formative			Summative	
plan if mastery is under 80%	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: % of SPED students hitting meets/masters on daily objectives will increase Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API, SSA		0%			
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 3: 100% of teachers and instructional leaders will conduct data deep dive after every unit exam to determine		Formative		Summative	
misconception and create a reteach plan	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show an increase in approaches, meets, and masters on reteaches Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API		60%			
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	1	

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Percent of Sped scholars that mastered/met in 7th grade ELA and 7th grade Math was 0 **Root Cause**: Sped population had not been prioritized from the beginning of the year and individualized plans had not ben created to meet each student where they are.

Problem Statement 2: 55% of ELL students in 6th Math mastered/met on STAAR **Root Cause**: Teachers did not set expectations soon enough for growth goals and incorporate it in their lesson planning.

Performance Objective 1: 97% of students attend school daily as measured through OATT (Official Attendance Taking Time)

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Daily ADA (Average Daily Attendance), List of Chronic Absent Students)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: 100% of students not on campus will receive an ADA phone call by 8:00am daily.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: ADA will hit 97% or above for each grade level on a daily basis	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APO, Registrar		55%		
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: 100% of students who are chronically absent follow the ADA matrix with consequences and next steps.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Percentage of students with chronic absences will be less than 3% Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APO, Registrar	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
		55%	100%	
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 3: Calendar and promote teacher and student incentives for meeting ADA goal. Teachers will receive class		Formative		Summative
incentives when milestone ADA goals have been met (ex: highest perfect attendance homeroom) weekly, monthly & quarterly. Bi-weekly incentives/celebrations for students with 100% attendance.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More grade levels will consistently meet 100% attendance daily. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APO, Registrar		40%		
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 2: Students participate in daily moderate to vigorous physical activity

Evaluation Data Sources: minutes that students participated in physical activity

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Student engage in physical activity daily during school and are offered opportunities to participated in sports		Formative		
activities.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% ADA is met Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Athletic director, principal, assistant principal ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture 		100%	100%	
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1: 90 percent of students return for the 2023-2024 School Year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Persistence tracker

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Review mission list daily and respond to all request for communication within 24 hours	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents feel heard.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, SSA		100%	100%	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Improve parent communicate and investment on a weekly basis	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents are notified of events within 2 weeks and parents receive positive communication about scholars on a weekly basis Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, SSA		Jan	Mar	June
		100%	100%	
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 3: Track and Uphold a Positive School Culture on Weekly basis through scholar of the week, Move this World		Formative		Summative
observations, and weekly culture round observations.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in social emotional well-being of students and increased joyed experienced by scholars.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API, SSA		45%		
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 2: Our students and staff must first feel physically and emotionally safe to achieve our mission

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Move this World Curriculum and Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews				
Strategy 1: Teachers know the "what/how/why" and feel empowered to execute safety/security and SEL program		Summative					
initiatives.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Educator Handbook has less referrals for student misbehaviors Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API, SSA	0%	35%					
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture							
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews				
Strategy 2: Teachers engage actively with SEL curriculum and model alongside students when executing		Formative					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Educator Handbook has less referrals for student misbehaviors	Oct	Jan	Mar	June			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, API, SSA ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	0%	35%					
No Progress Ore Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	-			

Title I Personnel

Name	Position	Program	<u>FTE</u>
Elizabeth Suero Duran	INTERVENTIONIST		1

Campus Funding Summary

	Federal Grant						
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount		
3	1	1	AR Zone Facilitator		\$36,796.32		
	Sub-Total				\$36,796.32		

Addendums

Comprehensive Needs Assessment								
			OLLEGE PRE					
		1	Data Source: CS	I				
% Meeting CSI								
Goal	CSI							
% CSI (EOY Ren.)	25%							
% of CSI Passing STAAR	56%							
% of SPED Passing STAAR	56%							
	Data Source: STAAR							
	-	STAAR Reading	-	-				
	6th	7th	8th	7th	English I EOC	English II EOC		
% Approaches	91	85						
% Meets	69	60						
% Masters	45	45						
% Student Achievement Average	68	63						
		Data So	ource: AP/IB/E	lectives				
AP Scores	Pass AP Lit	Pass AP Lang	IB Scores	IB Group 1	% Royal Readers	% Word Master		
% Score 1			% Score 1-3					
% Score 2			% Score 4-5					
% Score 3+			% Score 6-7		RR Goal			
						WM Goal Met/Not Met		
	Met/Not Met Met/Not Met Met Met							

Reflections					
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need				
1) Lesson planning and internalization was aligned to TEKS	1) New resources to align to the new redesigned				
	STAAR test				
2) Joint instructional rounds conducted with all lead team members	2) Increase frequency with content huddle to allow				
in an effort to provide more support to teachers	for contents to plan across grade levels				
3) Self made blind assessments and data tracking	3) Onboard new ELA teachers				

Comprehensive Needs Assessment - College Prep								
COLLEGE PREP								
Data Source: STAAR								
	STAAR Math	STAAR Math	STAAR					
	6th	7th	Alg I					
% Approaches	83	85	n/a					
% Meets	58	49	n/a					
% Masters	30	23	n/a					
% Student								
Achievement	57	52	n/a					
Average								
		Data Sou	rce: AP/IB					
	Pass AP	Pass AP	Pass AP Prob.					
AP Scores	Calculus AB	Calculus CD	& Stats.	IB Scores	IB Group 5			
% Score 1				% Score 1-3				
% Score 2				% Score 4-5				
% Score 3+				% Score 6-7				

Refle	ections	
Areas of Strength		Areas of Need
1) Lesson planning and internalization was aligned to TI	EKS	1) New resources to align to the new red
		STAAR test
2) Joint instructional rounds conducted with all lead team	m members in	2) Increase frequency with content hudd
an effort to provide more support to teachers		for contents to plan across grade levels
3) Data tracking with teachers and scholars		3) Onboard new math teachers

esigned

le to allow

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP							
			Source: Inter	nal Assessmen	ts		
	6th Grade	7th Grade					
	EOY	EOY					
	Assessment	Assessment					
% Passing	100/99/88	93/77/45					
Data Source: STAAR							
	STAAR 8th	STAAR					
	Science Biology						
% Approaches							
% Meets							
% Masters							
% Student							
Achievement							
Average							
			Data Source	e: AP/IB			
			Pass AP				
	Pass AP	Pass AP	Physics	Pass AP			
AP Scores	Biology	Chemistry	(1, 2, & C)	Env. Science	IB Scores	IB Group 4	
% Score 1					% Score 1-3		
% Score 2					% Score 4-5		
% Score 3+					% Score 6-7		

Reflections					
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need				
1) Lesson alignment and effective backwards planning.	1) Additional resources to help align to ELA to prepare sch the new redesign STAAR test (cross curricular)				
2) Hands on science experiments that tied in learned vocabulary.	2) New teacher to 6th grade content, familarity with TEKS				
3) Daily morning science tutoring (StemScopes)	3) Gaps in learning from previous year. Intervnetion plan v put in place to account for gaps in 5th grade science.				

vas not

	Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP							
	Data Source: Internal Assessments							
	6th Grade	7th Grade						
	EOY	EOY						
	Assessment	Assessment						
% Passing	100/98/79	92/61/45						
Data Source: STAAR								
	STAAR 8th	EOC						
	US History	US History						
% Approaches								
% Meets								
% Masters								
% Student								
Achievement								
Average								
			Data Sour	ce: AP/IB				
	Pass AP	Pass AP	Pass AP					
	Human	World	US	Pass AP	Pass AP			
AP Scores	Geography	History	History	Goverment	Economics	IB Scores	IB Group 3	
% Score 1						% Score 1-3		
% Score 2						% Score 4-5		
% Score 3+						% Score 6-7		

Reflections					
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need				
1) Lesson alignment and effective backwards planning.	1) Both teachers were new to the content				
2) Engaging lessons that were tied to real world issues.	2) Increase planning time between the two hybrid teachers to align best practices				
3) Teachers were sure to present information in different modalities to cover all the way scholars learn.	3)				

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP							
TELPAS Composite Rating (Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing)							
Listening Speaking Reading Writing levels							
% Beginning	0	0	1	2	44%		
% Intermediate	8	17	7	11			
% Advanced	11	2	5	6			
% Advanced High	1	1	7	1			

Reflection		
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need	
1) ELL support is across all contents	1) Purchase additonal programs to assist with the speaking component.	
2) Strong emphasis on vocabulary development	2) Early interventions with Roseta Stone for scholars that have significant gaps in language.	
3) Daily practice with listening, speaking, reading, and writing.	3) Additonal tutoring for our sturggling ELL scholars	

Comprehensive Needs Assessment COLLEGE PREP					
		100% Colleg	ge Matriculation		
Matriculation %	Matricuation % 4 year	Matriculation % 2 year	Tier 1/2 % Acceptances	Tier 1/2 % Matriculation	
Tier 1 % Matriculation	Tier 2 % Matriculation	Tier 3 % Matriculation	Tier 4 % Matriculation	Senior Class CCMR %	Senior Class TSI Completion %
	Campus Data				
Senior Class ACT Average	Junior Class ACT Average	Overall AP Scholars (3+ or more tests)	IB Medallion Scholars (Passing 3+ exams)	Overall % IB Diploma	Campus End of Year OTG

Reflections		
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need	
1	1	
2	2	
3	3	

Comprehensive Needs Assessment		
COLLEGE PREP		
Staff Quality, Recruitment, Retention		
	Percentage	
% School Lead Team Retention	100%	
% Instructional Support Retention	100%	
% Teacher Retention	100%	
% Campus Support Retention	100%	
% SPED Certified Teachers	100%	
% State/National Certified Teachers	90%	
% State Certified Leaders	90%	
% State/Board certified Counselors	0%	
Number of teacher applicants per 2020-21 school year	12	

Reflections		
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need	
1) Strong onboarding plan for new teachers	1) Additional parnters to get more applicants (ie collleges, TFA, Alt. Cert Programs)	
	2) Continue to increase enrollment in order to sustain all position thats are avilable, avoid budget cuts.	
3) Relationship/team build during each meeting	3) Addional PD's on D.E.I	

Campus Name

Comprehensive Needs Assessment ACADEMY	
Data Source: School Culture	and Climate
	Campus %
% Average Daily Attendance	92.39%
% Overall Persistence	88.71%
% New Student Persistence	88.73%
# of Admin Withdrawals/ Level 3 Offenses	1
% SPED	18%
% ELL	13%
% Eco Dis	71%
% Migrant	0%
% Race: American-Indian- Alaska-Native	0%
% Asian	2%
% White-Hispanic	57%
% Multi	0%
% Black-African-American	26%
% Native-Hawaiian-Pacific- Islander	2%
% White	12%
% Male	44%
% Female	56%

Data Source: School Culture and Climate		
Reflections		
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need	
1) Strong onboarding plan to inform parents about		
campuses expectations.	1) Recruiting more scholars to enroll at IDEA Converse	
2) Created a sense of belonging through after school clubs and sports (i.e. journalism, cross country, basketball,		
	2) Hold culture rounds contiously to uphold our policies	
3) Received community and student voice from our		
surveys	3) Install more restorative practices and train staff on these p	

Converse College Prep

Comprehensive Needs Assessment ACADEMY	
Data Source: Family and Con	mmunity Involvement
	Percentage
% Families Attended WTI	62%
% Families Attended Curriculum Night	35%
% Families Who Attended EOY Ceremonies	45%
% Families who attended Fall Festival	N/A
% Families who attended Winter Festival	N/A
% Families who attended Spring Festival	N/A

Reflection		
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need	
1. There is strong participation for celebratory events hosted on campus.	1. Traffic and lack of parking causes frustration for families when hosting campus-wide events.	
2. We had strong participation from parent volunteers for Field Day with more than 50 parent volunteers.	2. For academic engagement events, we need to increase the frequency of opportunities for families to come.	
1 1	3. We need to give more advanced notice for family engagement events to increase participation.	