IDEA Public Schools

Alamo Academy

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: B

Distinction Designations: Academic Achievement in English Language Arts/Reading Top 25 Percent: Comparative Closing the Gaps



Board Approval Date: October 21, 2022 **Public Presentation Date:** September 15, 2022

Mission Statement

IDEA Public Schools prepare students from underserved communities for success in college and citizenship.

Vision

To ensure students reach their potential, IDEA Public Schools will become the region's largest creator of college graduates.

Core Values

Our drive to translate our mission and vision into reality are based upon the following core values:

•	We achieve Academic Excellence
•	We deliver Results
•	We ensure Equity
•	We build Team & Family
•	We act with Integrity
•	We bring Joy
•	We Sweat the Small Stuff

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	5
Perceptions	6
Priority Problem Statements	7
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	9
Goals	11
Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A rating	12
Goal 2: All IDEA students matriculate to college	14
Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance	15
Goal 4: Increase student persistence	17
Goal 5: Increase staff retention	21
Title I Personnel	24
Campus Funding Summary	25
Addendums	26

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Idea Academy Alamo was founded in 2010 and is located in Alamo, TX at 325 E FM 495, 78516. We are an open enrollment charterschool and current enrollment for the 2022-2023 school year is 813. We serve students in pre-kindergarten to 5th grade.

See PDF in Addendum for more information

Demographics Strengths

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Less than 90% new student persistence. Root Cause: Communication during recruitment process is not clear in regards to what our school offers and doesn't offer.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Our RTI process is not strong causing identification of special needs students not to happen in a timely manner. Root Cause: Leadership team lacks knowledge and skill to build and manage RTI process.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Our attendance has fallen below the 97.5% target. Root Cause: No clear plan for ADA and parent communication.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Successes

When comparing 2021 to 2022 overall data, Domain 1 improved 17 points, Domain 2 32 points, Domain 3 - 4 points, and overall grew 23 points. Approaches levels increased nearly 19 points, Meets levels increased 20 points and Masters levels increased 11 points. During the 2022 STAAR admin, IDEA Alamo Academy was able to show higher SASs scores overall.

Areas of Improvement

Mathematics continues to be an area of improvement which currently shows approximately a 20 point gap in Approaches levels to reach the performance of reading. Diving deeper into historical trends showed that 3rd, 4th and 5th Math are areas of slowest growth and has not recovered as quickly when compared to other grades and subjects.

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Student Learning Strengths

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Math data has seen the biggest instructional gaps in the last 2 years. Root Cause: Teachers did not spiral re-teach objectives from the beginning of the year due to lack of experience and leadership did not prioritize.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Reading data show biggest gaps overall in 3rd grade and in meets and masters in 4th and 5th Root Cause: Lack of tracking and re-teaching based on student needs after assessments.

Problem Statement 3: Overall academic achievement was low in domain 1. Root Cause: Lack of teacher data monitoring and teaching alignment.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Our campus has a teacher leader program that allows teachers who want to grow in and out of the classroom. This program allows teachers to take on a coaching role while they stay in their teaching role, which allows them to experience the administrative role before completely transitioning over.

Professional development is created based on campus priorities set from the previous years and from trends found during instructional rounds. Teachers are invited to attend as a whole for priorities and as needed for trends found.

To support powerful teaching and learning, teachers are recognized publicly every year and are idenitifed as master teachers for others to observe and visit. Teachers are tiered according to level of support needed for coaching and lesson planning support.

Our campus offers extracurricular activities such as sports, dance, cheer, arts and crafts Monday thru Friday free of charge, through 21st century programs.

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

See PDF Addendum for more information

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Staff feels overwhelmed with the amount of time it takes to prepare for lesson plans. **Root Cause:** Leadership team has not provided enough professional development to build proficient/advanced skill that will allow teachers to plan more efficiently.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): There is a gap in teacher pedagogy outside of instructional strategies. **Root Cause:** District focus is on instructional pedagogy and campus does the same and therefore teachers struggle with other issues such and SPED process and behavior strategies

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Our teacher retention 82% and SPED Teacher 66%. All new staff receive focused onboarding and training aligned to meeting their needs as a new teacher.

Staff receive information regarding academic expectation during beginning of year PD via a one pager classroom and content expectations checklist. They also attend weekly checkins with their manager, biweekly grade level meetings, and use phone app as their main source of campus communication amongst staff.

Staff absences has shown an increase in the last couple of years due to COVID, but only a couple have received

According to our Great Places to Work Survey, 80%+ of staff strongly agree that they work in a great place at IDEA Alamo Academy.

Parent participation rates are measured via sign-in sheets for every event hosted. During our meet the teacher this year, 70% of families attended. During out Welcome to IDEA event in May, 52% showed up in person while the remaining 48% received a virtual onboarding.

See PDF in Addendum for more information.

Perceptions Strengths

See PDF in Addendum for more information

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Less than 50% parent participation or feedback for in school events Root Cause: Data tracking systems for family events do not focus on collecting attendance unless its a structured meeting.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Parent response to communication is not as strong as it needs to be. Root Cause: System for updating parent information lacks a system and follow through from operations team.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Math data has seen the biggest instructional gaps in the last 2 years.

Root Cause 1: Teachers did not spiral re-teach objectives from the beginning of the year due to lack of experience and leadership did not prioritize. Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Reading data show biggest gaps overall in 3rd grade and in meets and masters in 4th and 5thRoot Cause 2: Lack of tracking and re-teaching based on student needs after assessments.Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Our RTI process is not strong causing identification of special needs students not to happen in a timely manner.
Root Cause 3: Leadership team lacks knowledge and skill to build and manage RTI process.
Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 4: Less than 90% new student persistence.

Root Cause 4: Communication during recruitment process is not clear in regards to what our school offers and doesn't offer. Problem Statement 4 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 5: Staff feels overwhelmed with the amount of time it takes to prepare for lesson plans.
Root Cause 5: Leadership team has not provided enough professional development to build proficient/advanced skill that will allow teachers to plan more efficiently.
Problem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: There is a gap in teacher pedagogy outside of instructional strategies.
Root Cause 6: District focus is on instructional pedagogy and campus does the same and therefore teachers struggle with other issues such and SPED process and behavior strategies.
Problem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 7: Less than 50% parent participation or feedback for in school eventsRoot Cause 7: Data tracking systems for family events do not focus on collecting attendance unless its a structured meeting.Problem Statement 7 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 8: Parent response to communication is not as strong as it needs to be.Root Cause 8: System for updating parent information lacks a system and follow through from operations team.Problem Statement 8 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 9: Our attendance has fallen below the 97.5% target.Root Cause 9: No clear plan for ADA and parent communication.Problem Statement 9 Areas: Demographics

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- · STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- · Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- Equity data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Study of best practices
- Other additional data

Goals

Goal 1: IDEA achieves an A rating

Performance Objective 1: 3rd-5th Grade will earn 80% or more Academic Achievement Domain 3 interim targets for math and reading

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Use campus based bi-weekly assessments data, mock, and semester assessments to monitor and adjust instruction. Final data will be STAAR Results from students

Strategy 1 Details Reviews		views		
Strategy 1: Provide differentiated instruction for students in Meets & Masters groups through enrichment activities, small group intervention, after-school tutoring. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the number of Meets and Masters by 5% points in each grade and each subject area.	Oct	Formative Jan	Mar	Summative June
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, instructional coaches, APIs, Principal, VP Title I: 2.4, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 Funding Sources: Hotspot Facilitator (Kristi Villanueva) - Federal Grant - \$32,852.16, AR Zone Facilitatator (Nathan Martinez) - Federal Grant - \$32,614.56 	15%	25%		
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning Problem Statement 1: Math data has seen the biggest instructional gaps in the last 2 years. Root Cause: Teachers did not spiral re-teach objectives from the beginning of the year due to lack of experience and leadership did not prioritize. Problem Statement 2: Reading data show biggest gaps overall in 3rd grade and in meets and masters in 4th and 5th Root Cause: Lack of tracking and re-teaching based on student needs after assessments. Alamo Academy Campus #108807107 Performance Objective 2: Increase student performance for ELL and Sped students to meet state targets.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Monitor ELL and SPED data at every testing point. STAAR Results from students

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
 Strategy 1: Implement an operating mechanism to allow coordination and collaboration between Special Education and General Education teachers on a monthly basis. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Special education students will be served appropriately based on their academic needs./Student growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Claribel Garza, teachers, and Principal 		Formative 5			
		Jan	Mar	June	
		Fav			
		50%			
Title I:					
2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Demographics 2					
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•	

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 2: Our RTI process is not strong causing identification of special needs students not to happen in a timely manner. Root Cause: Leadership team lacks
knowledge and skill to build and manage RTI process.

Performance Objective 3: Increase data for reading and math CSI students by targeting small group instruction to close instructional gaps.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Renstar assessments and campus assessments.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews				
Strategy 1: Use Math CSI implementation and New LLI CSI Reading Program Interventionists to improve 3rd-5th math	Formative		rmative S		Formative Sur	
and reading performance.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 50%+ of CSI students will on grade level and pass STAAR Assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Reading-API Roxanna Castaneda Math-Principal, Ana Garza	25%	25%				
 Title I: 2.4, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Interventionist (Sara Barajas) - Federal Grant - \$60,500, Hotspot Facilitator (Kristi Villanueva) - Federal Grant - \$32,852.16 						
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	-		

Performance Objective 1: IDEA Alamo staff will increase positive student and family relationships

Evaluation Data Sources: 100% of seniors will have two PWIs (Academy and CP)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 1: 1. Increase positive student relationships via the PWI program	Formative			Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of seniors will have quality TLORs and Personal statements	Oct	Jan	Mar	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Marisol Flores DCC						
TEA Priorities:	0%	10%				
Connect high school to career and college						
- ESF Levers:						
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture						
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 2: 2. Essay Help - Admin and others will be trained on how to provide feedback and this will also create		Summative				
relationships and trust building in the process	Oct	Jan	Mar	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of seniors will have quality TLORs and Personal statements						
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Marisol Flores DCC	0%					
ESF Levers:	0.0					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture						
Level 1. Strong School Leadership and Franning, Level 5. Fositive School Culture						
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue				

Goal 3: Increase student daily attendance

Performance Objective 1: Increase meaningful relationships to promote student achievement.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Student surveys, Panorama, Kickboard reports, Attendance

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Increase student investment in coming to school everyday through daily, weekly, and monthly challenges.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 97% EOY ADA	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO, Lee Garcia and Lead Team		30%		
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2: 100% of teachers will have positive call interactions with parents on a weekly basis.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent communication logs, parent surveys, and student attendance.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Weekly data collection with immediate feedback via email and teacher weekly	Formative Sur		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 97% EOY ADA	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: APO, Lee Garcia and Lead Team, Teachers Title I: 4.1, 4.2	15%	30%		
Funding Sources: PRE-KINDERGARTEN CO-TEACHER (Alejandra Flores) - Federal Grant - \$27,355.68, PRE- KINDERGARTEN TEACHER (Alma Garza) - Federal Grant - \$57,500, PRE-KINDERGARTEN CO-TEACHER (Veronica Ramirez) - Federal Grant - \$32,551.20, PRE-KINDERGARTEN TEACHER (Nadia Vasquez) - Federal Grant - \$57,500, INTERVENTIONIST CO-TEACHER (Jacqueline Tafolla) - Federal Grant - \$24,171.84				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Performance Objective 1: 90% of new students will persist at Idea Academy Alamo

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Locus dashboard, PowerSchool enrollment, Mission List

Strategy 1 Details				
Strategy 1: Increase parent communication by the use of Parent communication logs updated weekly with 3 positive calls from all staff/teachers		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased participation at school events/strong partnerships are built with parents and students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Title I:	Oct 25%	Jan 40%	Mar	June
 4.1, 4.2 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Perceptions 1 				
Funding Sources: PRE-KINDERGARTEN TEACHER (Nadia Vasquez) - Federal Grant - \$57,500, PRE- KINDERGARTEN TEACHER (Alma Garza) - Federal Grant - \$57,500, PRE-KINDERGARTEN CO-TEACHER (Alejandra Flores) - Federal Grant - \$27,355.68, PRE-KINDERGARTEN CO-TEACHER (Veronica Ramirez) - Federal Grant - \$32,551.20, INTERVENTIONIST (Sara Barajas) - Federal Grant - \$60,500				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

 Demographics

 Problem Statement 1: Less than 90% new student persistence. Root Cause: Communication during recruitment process is not clear in regards to what our school offers and doesn't offer.

 Perceptions

 Problem Statement 1: Less than 50% parent participation or feedback for in school events Root Cause: Data tracking systems for family events do not focus on collecting attendance unless its a structured meeting.

Performance Objective 2: Increase parent satisfaction through strong customer service.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent communication logs and student persistence.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
ategy 1: Follow the district discipline management flowchart to ensure all required steps are followed within 24 hours to		Formative			
have a strong parent communication process.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents give school A rating on parent surveys					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor	50%	100%	100%		
Title I:					
4.1, 4.2					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
No Progress Or Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue			

Goal 4: Increase student persistence

Performance Objective 3: 100% of students and staff feel physically and emotionally safe to achieve our mission.

Strategy 1 D	etails		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Upgrade campus security at all levels.				Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 90+ rating on Parent and student surveys			Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor and APO			20%	35%		
No Progress	Mccomplished		X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4: Increase student fitness assessment data.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Create opportunities for student participation in physical activity outside of PE and recess, through campus		Formative		Summative
celebrations and events.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fitness gram goal is met Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Coaches and Principal				
Stan Responsible for Monitoring: Coaches and Frincipal	30%	45%		
No Progress Complished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1: 87% of staff will agree that IDEA Academy Alamo is a Great Place to Work.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: GPTW survey, 2x2 feedback during check ins

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Build strong relationships with staff members through daily and weekly touchpoint opportunities with leaders.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: GPTW survey results and participation in school events.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All lead team and GTLs				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1, 2	50%	70%		
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Create scheduled opportunities for recognition and opportunities for growth throughout the year.		Rev	iews	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase staff morale and GPTW survey	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lead Team and GTLs TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 	25%	40%		
No Progress Or Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	I	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Staff feels overwhelmed with the amount of time it takes to prepare for lesson plans. **Root Cause**: Leadership team has not provided enough professional development to build proficient/advanced skill that will allow teachers to plan more efficiently.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: There is a gap in teacher pedagogy outside of instructional strategies. Root Cause: District focus is on instructional pedagogy and campus does the same and therefore teachers struggle with other issues such and SPED process and behavior strategies

Performance Objective 2: 100% of teachers earn a proficient overall rating in GET by EOY

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Teachboost and EOY APR

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide high quality PD and implement coaching cycle to ensure teachers are given the practice they need to		Formative		Summative
master skills.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers attend all PDs and earn proficient ratings				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ILT and district coaches.	50%	60%		
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1, 2				
No Progress ONO Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Staff feels overwhelmed with the amount of time it takes to prepare for lesson plans. **Root Cause**: Leadership team has not provided enough professional development to build proficient/advanced skill that will allow teachers to plan more efficiently.

Problem Statement 2: There is a gap in teacher pedagogy outside of instructional strategies. Root Cause: District focus is on instructional pedagogy and campus does the same and therefore teachers struggle with other issues such and SPED process and behavior strategies

Title I Personnel

Name	Position	Program	<u>FTE</u>
Alejandra Flores	PK CO-TEACHER	Title 1	1
Alma Garza	Pre-Kindergarten Teacher	Title I	1
Jacqueline Tafolla	Interventionist Co-Teacher	Title 1	1
Kriti Villanueva	ILearning Hotspot Facilitator	Title I	1
Nadia Vasquez	Pre-Kindergarten Teacher	Title I	1
Nathan Martinez	AR Zone Facilitator	Title I	1
Sara Barajas	NTERVENTIONIST Title 1		
Veronica Ramirez	PK CO-TEACHER	Title 1	1

Campus Funding Summary

	Federal Grant					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Account Code	Amount		
1	1	1	Hotspot Facilitator (Kristi Villanueva)		\$32,852.16	
1	1	1	AR Zone Facilitatator (Nathan Martinez)		\$32,614.56	
1	3	1	Hotspot Facilitator (Kristi Villanueva)		\$32,852.16	
1	3	1	Interventionist (Sara Barajas)		\$60,500.00	
3	2	1	INTERVENTIONIST CO-TEACHER (Jacqueline Tafolla)		\$24,171.84	
3	2	1	PRE-KINDERGARTEN TEACHER (Nadia Vasquez)		\$57,500.00	
3	2	1	PRE-KINDERGARTEN TEACHER (Alma Garza)		\$57,500.00	
3	2	1	PRE-KINDERGARTEN CO-TEACHER (Veronica Ramirez)		\$32,551.20	
3	2	1	PRE-KINDERGARTEN CO-TEACHER (Alejandra Flores)		\$27,355.68	
4	1	1	PRE-KINDERGARTEN CO-TEACHER (Veronica Ramirez)		\$32,551.20	
4	1	1	INTERVENTIONIST (Sara Barajas)		\$60,500.00	
4	1	1	PRE-KINDERGARTEN TEACHER (Nadia Vasquez)		\$57,500.00	
4	1	1	PRE-KINDERGARTEN CO-TEACHER (Alejandra Flores)		\$27,355.68	
4	1	1	PRE-KINDERGARTEN TEACHER (Alma Garza)		\$57,500.00	
•		•	·	Sub-Total	\$593,304.48	

Addendums

	Maste	er CNA & SAIP Re	porting Checklist	PTG	67%	PTG	
	Data Sources	Data Tabs	Guidance	Status	Principal Notes or Questions	VP Verification	Notes & Next Steps
ЛҮ	KEYS Tab (Tab 3)	Student Achievement Improvement Plan 2021-2022 School Year	This SAIP plan will require logging concrete initiatives put in place for student improvement, as well as their associated timelines, resoures, and responsible staff. Each initiative will be tracked mid-year and at the end of the year. Mid- year assessments should be completed by each campus between December 1, 2022 and no later than January 31, 2023.	Complete		Action Required	
		Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 English Language Arts		Complete		Action Required	
	Locus Dashboards: CSI, STARR, AP	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Math	These pages should include the <u>percentage</u> of students who achieved each listed score for the 2021-2022 School Year.	Pending		Action Required	
	CampusIB Reports EOY Assessments	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Science	For each subject, list a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Pending		Action Required	
		Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 Humanities		Complete		Action Required	
	State TELPAS Report	Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 TELPAS	This data set will outline the <u>percentage</u> of students that earned proficiency in the areas of Listening, Speaking, Reading, and Writing, as indicated in the State TELPAS report. List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Pending		Action Required	
	Locus Dashboard: Staff Retention	Staff Quality, Rentention, & Recruitment 2021-2022 School Year	Under the %SPED Data Point, this should reflect the <u>percentage</u> of SPED certified teachers, compared to the overall SPED staff. Under number of teacher applicants, note how many teachers were interviewed by campus leaders for positions during the 2021-2022 School Year. List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete		Action Required	
	Locus Dashboards: Student Persistence, Enrollment, ADA	School Culture & Climate 2021-2022 School Year	For the Withdrawals/Level 3 Offenses data point, list the <u>number</u> of withdrawals or offenses. All other data points should reflect <u>percentages</u> . List a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete		Action Required	
(Campus Academic Counselor Tracking Systems	2022 Family & Community Involvement	In addition to listing data percentages, list a minimum of 3 areas of strength and 3 areas of need in full sentences, rather than phrases, in the space provided.	Complete		Action Required	

Idea Academy Alamo 2021-22 Student Achievement Improvement Plan

ACADEMY

				ACADEN				
APO	Initiatives	Needs Assess.	Special Pops.	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline Start/End	Resources: Human/ Material/Fiscal	Documentation	Formative Evaluation
			~	Roxanna Castaneda,				
2C	LLI Fountas and Pinnell	S	All	API	BOY/EOY	\$30,000	Weekly Reports	Ren Star Results
2A	Staff Culture Plan	0	All	Doria Gonzalez, API	BOY/EOY	\$ 7,000.00		GPTW surveys
				Amanda Champion,				
2C, 2B	Parent Investment Plans	0	All	SC	BOY/EOY	\$ 3,000.00	Persistence Reports	TCP Parent Surveys
2B. 2C	Student Incentive Plans	0	All	Amanda Champion, SC	BOY/EOY	\$ 10,000.00	ADA and Persistence Reports	TCP Student Surveys
,	Imagine Learning	RR	All	Jocelyn Garza		Human/Fiscal	Weekly Reports	Monthly Reports

Title I Qualifying Programs Internal Use Only			Initiatives Status				
			Mid Year		End of Year		
Supplemental	New Program	Budget		Increase/Decreas		Increase/Decreas	
Program (Y/N)	(Y/N)	Allocation	Outcome	ed by X%	Outcome	ed by X%	
	ations only need to		Use these column	s to assess your stra		he middle and end	
programs that	are <u>BOTH</u> Supple	mental & New		of the	e year	T	

Annual Performance Objectives (APO)

PRIORITY #1: Students Graduate College-Ready

1A. % of graduates who matriculate to a College or University: 100%

1B. % of graduates matriculate to a Tier I/II College or University: 15% | 25%

1C. % of Seniors accepted to a College or University: 100%

1D. % of Seniors named AP scholars | Earn IB diploma: 30% | 25%

1E. Earned State Rating: A

1F. % Basic | % Mastery | % Advanced on LEAP: 82% | 44% | 11%

1G. % Approaches | % Meets | % Masters on STAAR/EOC: 90% | 60% | 30%

1H. % Level 3 | % Level 4 | % Level 5 on FSA/FSAA: 70% | 40% | 20%

11. % of Pre-K-2nd Students End The Year On/Above Grade Level in Reading - Year 1 Campuses | Year 2 Campuses: 80% | 90%

1J. % of students in CSI achieve 2 years growth in Reading | Math (measured by Ren STAR) 50% | 60%

1K. Average ACT score: 21

1L. % of students graduating college in 4 | 6 years: 25% | 55%

PRIORITY #2: Build a Strong & Sustainable Organization

2A. % Teacher Retention | Employee Retention: 85% | 85%

2B. 80% composit score on GPTW: 82%

2B. %Average Daily Attendance: 97.50%

2C. New Student Persistence: 90%

2D. Operating Income: \$133M

2E. FIRST Rating: A

PRIORITY #3: Achieve Mission at Scale

3A. % of students with low socio-economic status: 80%

3B. Enrollment in August 2020: 83,894

3C. Schools in operation in August 2020: 149

3D. Total Funds Raised (millions): \$93M

Needs Assessment

D-DIBELS E-EOC A-ACT RR-Reading Renaissance ST-STAR for Math DR-Discipline Report AP-AP Tests O-Other

S-STAAR

Special Populations

All AR-At Risk ELL-English Language Learners ED-Economically Disadvantaged M-Migrant SE-Special Education

		Comprehe	ensive Needs As	ssessment		
			ACADEMY			
	Ĺ	ata Sources: Eu	reka Math & El	ectives Academ	у	
	DISTAR	Eureka	Eureka	Eureka		
	Pre-K	Kinder	First	Second	% Math Masters	
	on Grade Level	on Grade Level	on Grade Level	on Grade Level	Pk-2	Met/Not Met
% Students	100%	93	93	87	66%	Met
		Data So	ource: STAAR A	cademy		
	STAAR Math Grade 3	STAAR Math Grade 4	STAAR Math Grade 5	% Math Masters 3-5	3-5 MM Goal Met/Not Met	
% Approaches	59%	66%	72%	59%	Not Met	
% Meets	29%	25%	39%	5770	i vot met	
% Masters	9%	11%	10%			
% Student Achievement Average	32%	34%	40%			
% Meet 2 year growth	N/A	74%	96%			
		Data	Source: CSI Aca	demy		
	Math AC CSI					
% CSI (EOY Ren.)	NA					
% of CSI Passing STAAR	NA					
% of SPED Passing STAAR	NA					

	Reflections				
Areas of Strength Areas of Need					
PK-I					
 *PreK - met district goal for eoy. Constant communication with parents for testing and intervention 	1. Pk- poor attendance.				
1. Exposing students to all numbers 1-30 since BOY	1. Working closely with our co-teacher to provide additional				
K-2nd	Eureka				

	*Kinder had 2 teachers teaching Eureka for first time new at
*Kinder met Eureka achivement goal for eoy.	planning.
*1st/2nd -veteral teachers planning and delivering lessons.	1st/2nd grade- did not met AS goal
*1st grade Mr Salinas able to cover math teachers' maternity	*1st/2nd had teachers on maternity leave- 1 teacher for
leave for beginning of year.	grade level/sub as facilitator.
	*large percentage of 1st/2nd students are emergent
	readers/writers and *scored low on written statements
	questions.
3rd-St	n Math
3rd-St	n Math *Kinder had 2 teachers teaching Eureka for first time new at
*Kinder met Eureka achivement goal for eoy.	
	*Kinder had 2 teachers teaching Eureka for first time new at
*Kinder met Eureka achivement goal for eoy.	*Kinder had 2 teachers teaching Eureka for first time new at planning. 1st/2nd grade- did not met AS goal
*Kinder met Eureka achivement goal for eoy. *1st/2nd -veteral teachers planning and delivering lessons.	*Kinder had 2 teachers teaching Eureka for first time new at planning. 1st/2nd grade- did not met AS goal *1st/2nd had teachers on maternity leave- 1 teacher for grade level/sub as facilitator.
*Kinder met Eureka achivement goal for eoy. *1st/2nd -veteral teachers planning and delivering lessons. *1st grade Mr Salinas able to cover math teachers' maternity	*Kinder had 2 teachers teaching Eureka for first time new at planning. 1st/2nd grade- did not met AS goal *1st/2nd had teachers on maternity leave- 1 teacher for

			•			1
		-	sive Needs Ass	essment		
			ACADEMY			
		Data Source	es: DI ELA & H	Liectives		
	DI Pre-K on Grade Level	DI Kinder on Grade Level	DI First on Grade Level	DI Second on Grade Level	% Royal Readers	% Word Masters
% Students	100%	98%	64%	91%	20%	76%
					RR Goal Met/Not Met	WM Goal Met/Not Met
					Met	Met
		Data	Source: STAA	R		
	STAAR Reading Grade 3	STAAR Reading Grade 4	STAAR Reading Grade 5	Writing Grade 3	Writing Grade 4	
% Approaches	72%	88%	83%	88%	86%	
% Meets	37%	66%	61%	28%	53%	
% Masters	17%	34%	36%	8%	33%	
% Student Achievement Average	42%	63%	60%	41%	57%	
		Da	ta Source: CSI			
% Meeting CSI Goal	Reading AC CSI					
% CSI (EOY Ren.)	22%					
% of CSI Passing STAAR	49%					

Reflections							
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need						
PK-K DI							
1. Pre-K 100% and Kinder 98% of students met the end of the year	1. Parent call log for communiction accountability.						
goal.	2. Low attendance BOY and January due to COVID cases.						
2. Constant communication with parents when students were	3. DI reading level and grades reported on report card.						
absent.	4. New program for teachers. Backwards planning needs						
3. Kinder-In-person Intervention-after school Tutoring gains in LP.	improvement.						
4. Coteacher role in DI teachign additional groups with new							
schedue.							
5. Re-grouping as needed based on data.							
Areas of Strength 1st-2nd D	Areas of Need						

1)1st grade met goal 91%	*43% of 1st grade students did not meet EOY goal.			
2) 1st grade grade increase from 0% to 64% at EOY.	*Low attendance BOY and January due to COVID cases.			
3). Constant communication with parents when students were	* Gaps were too big to be closed completely in one year.			
absent and impact on academics.				
4). Kinder -2nd grade -In-person Intervention-after school				
Tutoring gains in LP.				
5) Coteacher role in DI teachign additional groups with new				
schedue.				
6). FC implementation starting Q2				
7 Re-grouping as needed based on data.				
3rd-5th Reading				
1. Above 90% in student participation for all semester and	1. Tutoring starting early in October			
mock exams	2. Continue coaching and developing with literacy coach			
2. Targeted weekly reteach with tracking after mock exam	around CFS and implementing reading strategies to boost			
3. Focused coaching on aligning CFS to reteach 🛛	skill in the ELA classrooms			
2	3. Small Group reteach after formative assessements			
2				
3rd & 4th V	Writing			
1. Teacher created an aligned scope and sequence to target	1. Integrate reading responses using Gretchen Burnabai			
Writing skills.	stems to respond to W&W texts.			
2. Teachers followed scope and sequence on HUB for skills	2. Create skills Do Nows based on W& W content			
3. Teachers completed publishing parties for students	3. Follow Writer's Toolsbox in order to close any gaps that			
	are found in BAW			

	Comprehensive Needs Assessment					
		Α	CADEMY			
		Data Sou	rces: Science P	k-2		
	Science	Science	Science	Science	Science	Science
	Pre-K	Kinder	First	Second	Third	Fourth
	on Grade Level	on Grade Level	on Grade Level	on Grade Level	on Grade Level	on Grade Level
% Students	NA	99/99/94	95/38/58	59/54/6	87/66/36	66/23/6
		Data So	urce: Science 3	-5		
	STAAR					
	Science Grade					
	5					
% Approaches	74%					
% Meets	44%					
% Masters	26%					
% Student Achievement Average	48%					

Reflections				
K-2nd Amplify Science				
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need			
*Kinder1st grade -EOY final exam-met achievement score goal.	*2nd grade struggled with content. Backwards planning			
	not in place.			
* Resources provided for all lessons by district.				
* Teachers attended training for course collab and biweekly	*2nd grade teacher on maternity leave.			
seminars.				
* Student engagement was high for subject.	*Internalization of unit.			
	* Data not tracked on regular basis.			
3rd-4th Science				
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need			
1. 3rd grade Science assessments trending above the district.	1. Bi-weekly webinars were a struggle for teachers			
2. Engaging labs to introduce new objectives.	(supporting tutorials) to attend.			
3. All manipulatives for labs and material resources provided by	2. Unit plans in Science were not being uploaded in			
district.	advance for teachers to prepare/internalize within enough			
	time.			
	3. Daily student mastery not tracked through ETs.			
5th Scie	nce			
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need			
• Leachers Knowledge and Skills in the content	1. Lack of hands on labs.			
Basic Science Equipment and tools Available	2. Materials arrived late.			
•District Scope & Sequence alignment with TEKS				
•KDA lesson breakdowns	3. Priority Math and Reading to target low			
•Bi-Weekly Data convos (after exams)	performing students.			
DI-WEEKIV DATA COHVOS (ATTEL EXAITIS)				

Bi-Weekly Data convos (after exams)District Course Collaboration days

	Comprehensive Needs Assessment						
	ACADEMY						
	Data Sources: Humanities Pk-2						
	HumanitiesHumanitiesHumanitiesHumanitiesHumanitiesPre-KKinderFirstSecondThirdFourthFifth					Humanities Fifth	
	on Grade Level on Grade Level						
% Students	NA	100/100/95	100/93/97	94/80/62	63/38/27	90/77/59	94/85/67

Reflections			
K-2nd Social Studies			
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need		
*k-2nd grade -EOY final exam-met 90/60/30	*Students not completing tests/assginmentsThis		
Achievement score goal.	affected 2nd grade tremendously.		
*Student engagement with content.	 * Unit plans in social studies were not being uploaded in advance for teachers to prepare/internalize within enough time. 3. Daily student mastery not tracked through ETs. 		
3rd-5th S	Social Studies		
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need		
1. 4th and 5th grade Social Studies assessments	1. Bi-weekly webinars were a struggle for teachers		
trending above the district for all units.	(supporting tutorials) to attend.		
2. Unit Projects at the end of each unit.	2. Teacher out on FMLA for 2 units in 4th.		
3. Writing across the curriculum was seen daily.	3. Daily student mastery not tracked through ETs.		

Т	Comprehensive Needs Assessment ACADEMY TELPAS Composite Rating (Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing)					
Listening Speaking Reading Writing more levels						
% Beginning	12%	21%	19%	0%	36%	
% Intermediate	22%	45%	38%	21%		
% Advanced	42%	28%	27%	63%		
% Advanced High	24%	7%	16%	16%		

Reflections		
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need	
1. Growth Goal was met at a 36%	1. Since so many of our students are ELs we don't do a good job of differentiating for our students.	
2. Teachers know who their students are.	2. Lesson planning doesn't have section to name interventions for Els.	
3	3	

Comprehensive Needs Assessment		
ACADEMY		
Staff Quality, Recruitment, Retention		
	Percentage	
% School Lead Team Retention	100%	
% Instructional Support Retention	100%	
% Teacher Retention	82%	
% Campus Support Retention	100%	
% SPED Teachers	66%	
% State/National Certified Teachers	0%	
% State Certified Leaders	0%	
% State/Board certified Counselors	100%	
Number of teacher applicants per 2020-21 school year		

Reflection			
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need		
Teacher recognition on FB, Teacher Weekly, EOY TCP, TOY, & CO-TOY Recognition Out of Uniform Days for Staff as Incentives Early Dismissal Tickets as Incentives Shout Outs during Staff Meetings, Teacher Weekly Staff Surveys	Teacher Dojo Store Return to Griffin Nights Return to BOY & EOY Staff Create a box for people to put their questions and opinions and go over them with team leaders during bi-weekly meetings to make adjustments or changes to what we are doing Discuss with staff what each of these questions really mean and what it needs to look like in action for them to feel that their needs are being met.		
3	3		

Comprehensive Needs Assessment		
ACADEMY Data Source: School Culture and Climate		
Campus %		
% Average Daily Attendance	90.09%	
% Overall Persistence	96.02%	
% New Student Persistence	85.88%	
# of Admin Withdrawals/ Level 3 Offenses	0	
% SPED	3.86%	
% ELL	68.90%	
% Eco Dis	94.30%	
% Migrant	0%	
% Race: American-Indian- Alaska-Native	0%	
% Asian	0%	
% White-Hispanic	95.10%	
% Multi	0%	
% Black-African-American	0%	
% Native-Hawaiian-Pacific- Islander	0%	
% White	4.80%	
% Male	49.03%	
% Female	50.90%	

Data Source: School Culture and Climate		
Reflections		
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need	
Student of the Week (PW & FB)	Uniform Behavior Tracking System (rewards &	
Trunk or Treat	consequences)	
Turkey Basket Giveaway	Schoolwide Assemblies	
Teacher Treats (Random are appreciated)	Unifom Expecations & Follow Through (varied by grade	

STAAK Field Day Out of Uniform Days as Awards (students & staff) EOY TCP, TOTY, & COTOTY Celebrations Dr. Seuss Celebrations Spirit Weeks to boost attendance Ievei) Coupons/Certificates for Student Accomplishments Dr Seuss Week Celebrations Schoolwide Field Day Griffin & Special Olympics Implementation

Comprehensive Needs Assessment		
Data Source: Family and Community Involvement		
	Percentage	
% Families Attended WTI	80%	
% Families Attended Curriculum Night	N/A	
% Families Who Attended EOY Ceremonies	90%	
% Families who attended Thanksgiving Feast	85%	
% Families who attended Winter Festival	N/A	
% Families who attended Spring Festival	N/A	

Reflection	
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need
Parent Communication (Remind, Class Dojo, Parent Weekly,	Parent Technology Skills
Grade Level Weekly, FB)	Return to In Person Events
Facebook Student of the Week Posts	Return to Parents on Campus
	Revised Family Engagement Calendar of Events